ā	1
48 P)	2
2 04:4	3
8/2022	4
05/18	5
ğ	6
eceived	7
y Re	8
ically	9
ectronical	10
Elec	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

S

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Shannon E. Royster (SBN 314126)		
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI		
An Association of Independent Law Corporations		
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W		
Beverly Hills, California 90212		
Telephone: 310.623.1926		
Facsimile: 310.623.1930		
Attornava for Plaintiff		
Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.		

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

05/20/2022

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: ______ Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 20STCV17732

[Assigned for All Purposed to the Hon. Michelle Williams Court, Dept. 74]

TAWA SUPERMARKET, INC. DBA 99 RANCH MARKET DBA 168 MARKET, a California Corporation *et al.*, REVISED CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Defendants.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant, KIM SENG COMPANY DBA IHA BEVERAGE (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Products

1.2.1 CAG alleges that Defendant is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and are subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking

Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

Powder and Dried Cinnamon Powder, including but not limited to (a) "SUPERBRAND®"; "DRIED CINNAMON POWDER"; "100%"; "Net Wt: 160z (454g)"; "PRODUCT OF CHINA"; "DISTRIBUTOR: IHA BEVERAGE COMMERCE, CA, 90040"; UPC#610232012612 and (b) "Super Brand TM"; "Dried Cinnamon Powder"; "Net Wt: 4 oz (113g)"; "UPC 6 10232 01247 6"; "Product of China" and (ii) Broken Rice including but not limited to "Jasmine Broken Rice"; "Gao Tam Thom Dac Biet"; "Nang Thom Cho Dao"; "Thai Hom Mali Broken Rice"; "Double Parrot Brand"; "Net Wt. 4 Lbs. (1.816 KGS.)"; "Qualified System Certified: HACCP/GMP/ISO 9001/BRC"; "UPC 6 10232 009902" "Product of Thailand" "Distributor: IHA Beverage, Commerce, CA. 90040" (herein after referred to as the "Covered Products"). This Consent Judgment includes the Covered Products manufactured through the date of this Consent Judgment and those chemicals in the Covered Products.

1.3 **Listed Chemicals**

- 1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds (hereinafter "Lead") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds (hereinafter "Cadmium") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.3 Inorganic Arsenic and Inorganic Arsenic Compounds ("Arsenic") is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 **Notices of Violation**

1.4.1 On or about July 2, 2019, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation," Attorney General Notice Number 2019-01266 (hereinafter "Notice 1"), that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium, Arsenic and Lead, contained in Ground Spices, specifically Ground

Cinnamon Powder and Dried Cinnamon Powder, sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice 1. Kim Seng agrees that Notice 1 is part of this settlement and Consent Judgment.

- 1.4.2 On or about August 26, 2019, 2019, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation," Attorney General Notice Number 2019-01656 (hereinafter "Notice 2") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Broken Rice sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in Notice 2. Kim Seng agrees that Notice 2 is part of this settlement and Consent Judgment.
- 1.4.3 On or about May 14, 2020, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation," Attorney General Notice Number 2020-01224 (hereinafter "Notice 3") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Cinnamon Powder 16 oz. (barcode 610232-012117) sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in Notice 3. Kim Seng agrees that Notice 3 is part of this settlement and Consent Judgment.
- 1.4.4 On or about December 3, 2020, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation," Attorney General Notice Number 2020-03282 (hereinafter "Notice 4") that provided the Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Dried Cinnamon Powder sold and/or distributed by Defendant. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in Notice 4. Kim Seng agrees that Notice 4 is part of this settlement and Consent Judgment.

1.4.4 Notice 1, Notice 2, Notice 3 and Notice 4 collectively are referred to as the "Notices."

1.5 **Complaint**

- 1.5.1 On May 8, 2020, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 1") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV17732 against Defendant. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products. Upon acceptance by the court of this Consent Judgment, Kim Seng and CAG agree that this lawsuit will be dismissed with prejudice as to Kim Seng only.
- 1.5.2 On July 12, 2021, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 2") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV25539 against Defendant. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from Dried Cinnamon Powder. Kim Seng contends it was never served with this lawsuit, but consents to the jurisdiction of this Court to enter and enforce the Consent Judgment, and upon acceptance by the court of this Consent Judgment, Kim Seng and CAG agree that this lawsuit will be dismissed with prejudice as to Kim Seng.
 - 1.5.3 Complaint 1 and Complaint 2 are referred to as the "Complaints."

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

1.6.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Notices and Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of all the allegations against Defendant contained in the Notices and Complaints and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts

5

4

6 7

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 **No Admission**

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant expressly maintains that all of its warnings on the Covered Products provide a clear and reasonable warning of exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products and that said Proposition 65 compliant warnings were on the Covered Products prior to the filing of the Complaints. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaints (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

1.8 **Purpose of Consent Judgment**

1.8.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. The Parties intend

that this Consent Judgment provide, to the maximum extent permitted by law, *res judicata and collateral estoppel* protection for Defendant against any and all other claims based on the same or similar allegations under Proposition 65 pertaining to the Covered Products.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Dried Cinnamon Powder (alleged in Notices 1, 3, and 4), Ground Cinnamon Powder (as alleged in Notice 1), and Broken Rice (as alleged in Notice 2) sold or supplied by Defendant, manufactured through the date of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means Lead and Lead Compounds.
 - 2.4 "Cadmium" means Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds.
 - 2.5 "Arsenic" means Inorganic Arsenic and Inorganic Arsenic Compounds.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Dried Cinnamon Powder or Ground Cinnamon Powder unless the level of Lead does not exceed 200 parts per billion ("ppb") the level of Cadmium does not exceed 85 ppb, and the level of Arsenic does not exceed 20 ppb. After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Broken Rice unless the level of Lead does not exceed 56 ppb.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products that will be offered by Defendant for sale in California exceeding the levels specified in section 3.1, after the Effective Date Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on these products as set forth below. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual

under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning must be set off from other surrounding information, enclosed in a box. Where the packaging of the Covered Product includes consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. The Parties agree that current law permits the long form or short form warning to be used for food products. Where a short form warning is used, the entire warning must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product. In no case shall the warning appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type. The Parties also agree that current law does not require the use of the "/food" on short-form warnings URL, but that such is recommended under a proposed regulation of OEHHA still in the regulatory process. Should the proposed regulation be adopted, Defendant agrees to follow any compliance period specified by the California Code of Regulations for parties to redesign their labels to comply with the new law. The Parties agree that the following long form and short form warning language reflects the current law as it presently exists and shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to all chemicals alleged in this Lawsuit and Notice as to the Covered Products placed into the steam of commerce by Defendant after the Effective Date:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

or

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Cadmium, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

or

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Inorganic Arsenic, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

or

▲ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.p65Warnings.ca.gov.

- 3.3 For any Covered Products still existing in the Defendant's inventory as of the Effective Date, Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on them, unless the Covered Products does not exceed their respective levels of Lead, Arsenic, or Cadmium. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall comply with the warning requirements under Section 3.2 above.
- 3.4 The Parties have agreed that an essential term of this settlement is that the injunctive relief agreed to herein is a full and complete recitation of this settlement term, as enumerated above, and acceptable to both Parties such that warnings may be provided without product reformulation when the Covered Products contain levels of Lead, Arsenic, and/or Cadmium in exceedance of levels enumerated in section 3.1.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date,
Defendant shall pay an all-inclusive total of One Hundred Eighty Four Thousand dollars
(\$184,000) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for monetary relief of any kind, including but not limited to claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees, or any other claim for costs, expenses, or monetary relief of any kind, for all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices and Complaints (the "Total Settlement Payment"). The \$184,000.00 Total Settlement Payment shall be allocated as follows:

- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Defendant shall issue separate checks totaling Twenty-Four Thousand Two Hundred dollars (\$24,200.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of Eighteen Thousand and One Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$18,150.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendant will issue a separate check to CAG in the amount of Six Thousand and Fifty dollars (\$6,050.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments:

 Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486). Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100

 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d), Defendant shall make a separate payment, in the amount of Eighteen Thousand and Fifty dollars (\$18,050.00) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." Defendant will issue a separate check to CAG for the Additional Settlement Payment. CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty five percent (85%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; fifteen percent (15%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such

exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** In full and complete resolution of any claims to Plaintiff's attorney's fees and expenses related to this matter, notwithstanding sections 6.2 and 9.2 below, Defendant shall pay One Hundred Forty-One Thousand and Seven Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$141,750.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as full and complete reimbursement for any and all costs, reasonable investigation fees, expenses, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses of any kind incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, preparing and serving the Notices, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with payment to OEHHA, Defendant shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendant for the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warning of exposure to Lead from Broken Rice and Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from Dried Cinnamon Powder and Ground Cinnamon Powder as set forth in the three Notices and two filed lawsuits, 20STCV17732 and 21STCV25539 (which Kim Seng contends was not served on Kim Seng, but Kim Seng consents to the Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment), and fully resolves all claims for exposure to Lead from Broken Rice and to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from Dried Cinnamon Powder and Ground Cinnamon Powder that have been asserted against Defendant by CAG in these Notices and Lawsuits up through the Effective Date for the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendant and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all customers, retailers and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products to whom Defendant distributed or sold Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents only as to Covered Products sold by the Defendant (collectively, "Downstream Releasees"), for all Covered Products placed into the stream of commerce up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Lead from Broken Rice and Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from Dried Cinnamon Powder and Ground Cinnamon Powder in the Covered Products. Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Lead from Broken Rice and Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from Dried Cinnamon Powder and Ground Cinnamon Powder. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products that could have originally been brought in the current lawsuits. If there were chemicals listed in the Notice which were not named in the current lawsuits, CAG waives the right to file lawsuits for those chemicals in the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products, including but not

16

22

23

2425

26

27

28

limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends to release Defendant fully and completely from these Covered Products and fully accepts these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead, Arsenic, and Cadmium from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 Any alleged violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively hereunder by the Parties hereto and may only be through the terms of the entered consent judgment. Before CAG moves to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG must provide written notice to Defendant of any alleged violation, including the date and location of the alleged violation and provide all evidence supporting the alleged violation including any applicable test results, product photographs, and purchase receipts, subject to a reasonable confidentiality agreement if requested. The Parties will thereafter meet and confer for a minimum period of 30 days to allow time for Defendant to present CAG any relevant compliance information and/or corrective action taken related to the alleged violation, including if applicable the date of manufacture, import, distribution, or sale of the Covered Product at issue for purposes of determining the applicability of the release hereunder. If the Parties cannot resolve the alleged violation, either Party may move to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment consistent with the terms herein. If the Parties cannot resolve the alleged violation at the conclusion of the meet and confer process, CAG has the right to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment herein and seek penalties and fees against Defendant.

6.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall be responsible for preparing a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties agree to act in good faith to obtain Court approval of the Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing, trial, or appeal on the allegations in the Notices and/or Complaints.
- 7.2 The Parties shall make good faith efforts to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court.
- 7.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

14	
CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]	

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs as determined by the Court or agreement of the Parties.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its approval by the Court. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG will then submit the Consent Judgment to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3 6.2, and 9.2, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with the claims alleged in the Notices and Complaints which are entirely resolved in this Consent Judgment.

13. GOVERNING LAW

- 13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 13.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered

24

28

inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

15. NOTICES

Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by First-Class Mail or E-mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi Shannon Royster

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI 1 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 2 (310) 623-1926 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com 3 4 5 If to Kim Seng Company: Kathleen N. Strickland 6 Briana Bramer ROPERS MAJESKI PC 7 505 Sansome Street, Suite 1925 San Francisco, CA 94111 8 (415) 543-4800 9 Email: kathleen.strickland@ropers.com 10 11 16. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE** 12 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized 13 by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of 14 the party represented and legally to bind that party. 15 16 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 17 Date: , 2022 18 19 20 Name: 21 Title: 22 KIM SENG COMPANY dba IHA CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. 23 BEVERAGE 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 27 Date: 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

4861-1869-7752.1

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com

If to Kim Seng Company:
Kathleen N. Strickland
Briana Bramer
ROPERS MAJESKI PC
505 Sansome Street, Suite 1925
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 543-4800

Email: kathleen.strickland@ropers.com


16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: <u>May 16</u> , 2022	Date:, 2022
Muhal Marine	
Name: Michael Marcus	Name:
Title: Pivector	Title:
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	KIM SENG COMPANY dba IHA BEVERAGE
-	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 05/20/2022



Michelle Williams Court / Judge

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]