1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Shannon E. Royster (SBN 314126) <b>YEROUSHALMI &amp; YEROUSHALMI*</b> 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (310) 623-1926 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930 Attorneys for Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 08/02/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: <u>A. Escobar</u> Deputy
	SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8 9	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. 20STCV32288
11	in the public interest,	
		<del>[PROPOSED]</del> CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
13	v.	freatin & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
14		[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Jon
15	THE TJX COMPANIES, INC., <i>a</i> Delaware Corporation;	Takasugi, Dept. 17]
16	MYSTIC APPAREL, LLC, a New York	Complaint Date: August 24, 2020
17	Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-90,	Trial Date: November 07, 2022
And a cont	Defendants.	1
18		7.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant B.R.E Industries, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

## 1.2 Defendant and Covered Products

1.2.1 CAG alleges that B.R.E Industries, Inc. is a California Corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, B.R.E Industries, Inc.

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Electronically Received 07/01/2022 12:12 PM

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Page 1 of 17

is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of
 the Proposition 65.

1.2.2 CAG alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes consumer products in California.

**1.3** Listed Chemicals

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.3.1 Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate ("DEHP"), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, has been listed by the State of California under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.3.2 Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") has been listed by the State of California under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause cancer.

1.4 Notices of Violation

1.4.1 On or about August 12, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-01530") ("August 12, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain backpacks sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 12, 2019 Notice.

1.4.2 On or about September 6, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-01731") ("September 6, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain cosmetic bags/travel bags sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 6, 2019 Notice.

1.4.3 On or about November 12, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue forViolation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02123")

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 2 of 17

("November 12, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations 2 of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to 3 DINP contained in certain cosmetic cases sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling 4 Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the 5 allegations set forth in the November 12, 2019 Notice.

1.4.4 On or about November 15, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02155") ("November 15, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain cosmetic cases sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 15, 2019 Notice.

1.4.5 On or about November 19, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02163") ("November 19, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain satchel bags sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 19, 2019 Notice.

1.4.6 On or about December 9, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02282") ("December 9, 2019 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain cosmetic cases sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 9, 2019 Notice.

27

28

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

**EROUSHALMI** & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Page 3 of 17

1

2

3

4

5

1.4.7 On or about February 10, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-00323") ("February 10, 2020 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP and DINP contained in certain cosmetic bags/travel bags sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 10, 2020 Notice.

1.4.8 On or about May 18, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-01299") ("May 18, 2020 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain wallets sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 18, 2020 Notice.

1.4.9 On or about March 11, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2021-00633") ("March 11, 2021 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain makeup cases sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 11, 2021 Notice.

1.4.10 On or about November 20, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-03151") ("November 20, 2020 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain wallets sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Page 4 of 17

Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted 1 2 the allegations set forth in the November 20, 2020 Notice.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

27

1.4.11 On or about July 9, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2021-01678") ("July 9, 2021 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain wallets sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 9, 2021 Notice.

1.4.12 On or about July 16, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2021-01769") ("July 16, 2021 Notice") that provided Settling Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain satchel bags sold, manufacturer, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 16, 2021 Notice.

#### 1.5 **Complaints**

On May 15, 2020, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief 1.5.1 ("Complaint 1") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV18693 against Ross Stores, Inc. Complaint 1 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for alleged failure to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in backpacks and cosmetic bags distributed and/or sold by Ross Stores, Inc.

23 1.5.2 On August 20, 2020, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive 24 relief ("Complaint 2") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV32288 against The TJX Companies, Inc. Complaint 2 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was 26 violated for alleged failure to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP and DINP in cosmetic cases and/or bags distributed and/or sold by The TJX Companies, Inc.

28 **EROUSHALMI** 

& EROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 5 of 17

1.5.3 On September 4, 2020, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 3") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV34003 against Ross Stores, Inc. Complaint 3 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for alleged failure to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in satchel bags and wallets distributed and/or sold by Ross Stores, Inc.

1.5.4 On August 17, 2020, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive
relief ("Complaint 4") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV31340 against
Ross Stores, Inc. Complaint 4 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for
failure to allegedly give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in cosmetic
bags distributed and/or sold by Ross Stores, Inc.

1.5.5 On June 24, 2021 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 5") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV23590 against Ross Stores, Inc. Complaint 5 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for alleged failure to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in wallets distributed and/or sold by Ross Stores, Inc.

#### 1.6 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve and enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations as alleged against Settling Defendant, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged in the Notices therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

Settling Defendant denies the material allegations contained in the Notices and Complaints, and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65, and that all of the products that it has sold or

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Page 6 of 17

### CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

1

distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. 3 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all 4 claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in 5 the Notice or the Complaint, or of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation 6 of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual 8 violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, 9 including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in 10 this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an 12 admission by the Parties of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, 13 or of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Settling Defendant, or any of its officers, directors, 14 employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations. Neither this Consent Judgment itself, 15 nor any portion of this Consent Judgment, nor the fact that the Parties have entered into a settlement 16 to resolve all claims between them may be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative 17 or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the 18 19 Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this 20 Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS** 

> 2.1 "Covered Products" means (1) backpacks, (2) cosmetic/travel bags and/or cosmetic cases, (3) satchel/tote bags, and (3) wallets/ wallet key chain, including but not limited to the exemplar products identified in the Notices outlined in Section 1.4.

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

1

2

7

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 7 of 17

1	2.3 <b>"DEHP"</b> means Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, also known as Diethyl Hexyl	
2	Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	
3	2.4 "DINP" means Diisononyl Phthalate.	
4	2.5 "Listed Chemicals means DEHP and/or DINP.	
5	2.6 <b>"Notices"</b> means the Notices named in Section 1.4.	
6	2.7 " <b>Complaints</b> " means the Complaints identified in Section 1.5.	
7	3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION	
8	3.1 After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not order for manufacture any	
9	Covered Products with any component that contains the Listed Chemical(s) in excess of 0.1%	
10	(1,000 parts per million) by weight.	
11	3.2 Any Covered Products that Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes or ships	
12	for sale after the Effective Date that were ordered for manufacture prior to the Effective Date,	
13	must contain a clear and reasonable warning, consistent with 27 CCR section 25600 <i>et seq.</i>	
14	unless it contains no more than 0.1% by weight (1,000 ppm) of the Listed Chemical(s). For	
15	products containing DEHP the warnings shall state:	
16 17 18	WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Di(2- ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and, birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.	
19	For products that contain DINP the warnings shall state:	
20		
21	WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Diisononyl Phthalate (DINP) which is known to the State of California to cause	
22	cancer. For more information go to <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u> .	
23	3.3 Any warnings provided pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be affixed to the packaging	
24	of, or directly on, or attached to the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such	
25	conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it	
26	likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before	
27	purchase or use. The equilateral triangle pictogram shall be in yellow with a black exclamation	
28		
SHALMI & JSHALMI	Page 8 of 17	
Independent	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]	

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations mark; provided however, the pictogram may be in black and white instead of yellow if the
 Covered Product label does not contain the color yellow.

3.4 Covered Products already manufactured, distributed, or shipped to Downstream Releasees prior to the Effective Date may continue to be sold through as is.

5 || 4.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Total Settlement Payment and Due Date**: Settling Defendant shall pay a total of one hundred and eighty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$180,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices or Complaints, as set forth in Sections 1.5.1 through 1.5.9, below.

4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: BRE shall pay twenty-eight thousand five hundred and eighty dollars (\$28,580.00) as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to CAG, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Seetling Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the civil penalty payment to (a) the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of twenty-one thousand four hundred and thirty-five dollars (\$21,435.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and (b) to CAG in the amount of seven thousand one hundred and forty-five dollars (\$7,145.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty. Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: (a) to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95812 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$21,435.00 Settling Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$7,145.00 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also issue one check for to twenty-one thousand four hundred and twenty

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Page 9 of 17

dollars (\$21,420.00) to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 1 2 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG intends and agrees to 3 use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, (a) eighty five percent (85%) for fees 4 CAG allegedly incurred related to the investigation, purchase, testing, and related expert 5 evaluation for of the Covered Products and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; and (b) fifteen percent (15%) for administrative costs 6 7 incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to the Proposition 65 8 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such 9 exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products dr 10 the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of the Proposition 65 Listed 11 Chemicals, including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products 12 investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software 13 maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, 14 office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney 15 General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how 16 the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper 17 expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Settling Defendant shall issue a payment in the amount of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars (\$130,000) payable to
"Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Settling Defendant's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi,
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 10 of 17

payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to OEHHA, either at (a) 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95814, Attn: Mike Gyurics; (b) P.O. Box. 4010, Sacramento, CA 3 95812, Attn: Mike Gyurics. A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to 4 Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

#### 5. **MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT &**

#### **RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS**

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its owners, officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships or sells Covered Products to, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, 14 licensees, including but not limited to, The TJX Companies Inc., The TJX Operating Companies, Inc., Ross Stores, Inc., Ross Dress for Less, Inc., Ross Procurement, Inc., Burlington Coat 16 Factory Direct Corporation, and each of their parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns 19 ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 based 20 on exposures to: (a) DEHP in Backpacks, Cosmetic Bags and/or Cases, Wallets, or Satchel Bags; and (b) DINP in Cosmetic Bags and/or Cases, any of which are manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant up through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and Complaints. 23 Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall 24 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to: (a) DEHP in Backpacks, Cosmetic Bags and/or Cases, Wallets, or Satchel Bags; and (b) DINP in Cosmetic 26 Bags and/or Cases, any of which are sold by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to

EROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

21

22

25

27

28

Page 11 of 17

commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than SettlingDefendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Releasees.

2 3

1

5.2 CAG, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, 4 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or 5 indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all manner of actions, causes of action, charges, rights, promises, suits, liabilities, demands, 6 7 obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, 8 investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, in law or in equity, 9 whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Settling 10 Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees related to or arising 11 from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law 12 claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Settling 13 Defendant or Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date, regarding any actual or alleged 14 failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. In 15 furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims 16 regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant or 17 Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or 18 19 any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed 20 Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the 21 California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 12 of 17

resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any 1 2 violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered 3 Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or 4 Downstream Releases through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to 5 make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against Settling Defendant, 6 7 Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends 8 these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any 9 other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed 10 Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the Effective Date but which CAG does 11 not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this 12 Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, 13 oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.

## ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

6.2 Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this action shall be deemed amended to include defendant B.R.E Industries, Inc. and the claims raised in the Notices as detailed in Section 1.4.

6.3 Within five (5) days of the payments of all amounts outlined in Section 4, CAG will file a Request for Dismissal without prejudice for the causes of action or claims asserted in the Complaints, outlined in Section 1.5.

6.4 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law Corporations

Page 13 of 17

discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to 3 determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

# **MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT**

7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to 7.2 meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

8.

## **ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

9.

# **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the 9.1 terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### 23 10.

# SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. After forty-five (45) days after the Attorney

**EROUSHALMI** & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Law

Corporations

Page 14 of 17

General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may submit it to 1 2 the Court for approval.

#### 11. **ATTORNEY FEES**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

12. **GOVERNING LAW** 

The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall 12.1 be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered 10 12.2 inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are 12 rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or 13 rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products or Listed 14 Chemicals, then Settling Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change 15 in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect 16 to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent 17 Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Settling Defendant from any obligation to comply with 18 any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

**EROUSHALMI** & EROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law

Page 15 of 17

1	13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
2	13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of
3	facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute
4	one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.
5	14. NOTICES
6	14.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail.
7	If to CAG:
8	Reuben Yeroushalmi
9	<u>reuben@yeroushalmi.com</u> Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
10	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
11	Beverly Hills, CA 90212
12	If to Defendant B.R.E Industries Inc.:
13	Kendra Lounsberry
14	Barnes & Thornburg LLP 2029 Century Park E., Suite 300
15	Los Angeles, CA, 90067-2904
16	kendra.lounsberry@btlaw.com
17	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE
18	15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
19	by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf
20	of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI	Page 16 of 17
*An Independent Association of Law Corporations	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

1	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
2	
3	Date: <u>6/27</u> , 2022 Date: <u>6/17</u> , 2022
4	This of the
5	Michael Marcus , L_ Mhum
6	Name: Michael Marcus Name: RAMIN MEHRARA
7	Name: / //anae// aveus Name: CAITIN DITORIOGICI
8	Title: Divector Title: President.
10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. B.R.E INDUSTRIES, INC.
11	
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	08/02/2022
15	Date:
16	Jon R. Takasugi / Judge
17	John K. Takasagir Jaage
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ROUSHALMI &	Page 17 of 17
ROUSHALMI An Independent ociation of Law porations	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7		FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 08/02/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By:A. Escobar Deputy	
Ξ	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	8 9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
	10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in	CASE NO. 20STCV32288	
	10	the public interest,	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING	
186:7	12	Plaintiff, v.	MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT BETWEEN	
0220	13	THE TJX COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND DEFENDANT B.R.E	
116/2	14	Corporation;	INDUSTRIES, INC.	
ed U6	15	MYSTIC APPAREL, LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company;	[Notice of Motion and Motion;	
≺eceived Ub/16/2U22 U7:58 PM	16	and DOES 1-90, Defendants.	Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Supporting Declaration of Reuben	
	17		Yeroushalmi and Michael Marcus; and [Proposed] Consent Judgment filed	
Electronically	18		concurrently herewith]	
Цео	19 20		[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Jon Takasugi, Dept. 17]	
	21		Hearing Information	
	22		Reservation ID: 805573165614	
	23		Date:         August 2, 2022           Time:         9:30 a.m.	
	24		Complaint Date: August 24, 2020	
	25		Trial Date: November 07, 2022	
	26			
	27			
28		Page	1 of 4	
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO BETWEEN PLAINTIFF CONSUMER ADVOCACY GI IN	APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT ROUP, INC. AND DEFENDANT B.R.E INDUSTRIES,	

1	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2	On August 2, 2022, at 9:30 AM in Department 17, the Court heard the Motion to
3	Approve and Enter Consent Judgment Between CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.
4	("Plaintiff") and B.R.E INDUSTRIES, INC. ("Defendant" or "B.R.E."). The Court, having
5	considered the documents filed in connection with this matter, and no opposition being filed, has
6	arrived at the following conclusions and SO ORDERS:
7	1. The Court GRANTS the Motion to Approve and Enter Consent Judgment Between
8	Plaintiff and Defendant, in its entirety pursuant to California Health and Safety
9	Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4).
10	2. The Court approves the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, which Plaintiff and
11	Defendant have submitted to this Court for approval pursuant to Proposition 65
12	(Cal. Health & Safety Code §§25249.5, et seq.) after making the following findings:
13	The Court finds that the [Proposed] Consent Judgment complies with the requirements of
14	Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 et seq.
15	Defendant's agreement to change its conduct complies with $HSC $ 25249.7(f)(4)(A)
16	as follows:
17	a) After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not order for manufacture any
18	Covered Products with any component that contains the Listed Chemical(s) in
19	excess of 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) by weight.
20	b) Any Covered Products that Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes or ships
21	for sale after the Effective Date that were ordered for manufacture prior to the
22	Effective Date, must contain a clear and reasonable warning, consistent with 27
23	CCR section 25600 et seq. unless it contains no more than 0.1% by weight (1,000
24	ppm) of the Listed Chemical(s). For products containing DEHP the warnings
25	shall state:
26	WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Di(2-
27	ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and, birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to
28	www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
YEROUSHALMI	Page 2 of 4 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT
& YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	BETWEEN PLAINTIFF CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND DEFENDANT B.R.E INDUSTRIES, INC.

For products that contain DINP the warnings shall state:

▲ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Diisononyl Phthalate (DINP) which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

c) Any warnings provided pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, or attached to the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The equilateral triangle pictogram shall be in yellow with a black exclamation mark; provided, however, the pictogram may be in black and white instead of yellow if the Covered Product label does not contain the color yellow. **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.** 

 d) Covered Products already manufactured, distributed, or shipped to Downstream Releasees prior to the Effective Date may continue to be sold as is.

 e) Settling Defendant shall pay a total of one hundred and eighty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$180,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind. This payment is divided as follows:

i. \$28,580.00 payment as civil penalties is reasonable under the factors set forth in Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(2). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code, section 25249.12, \$21,435.00 representing 75% of the total penalty will be paid to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) and \$7,145.00 representing 25% of the total penalty will be paid to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.;

28 yeroushalmi

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 3 of 4

1	ii. \$21,420.00 payment to CAG, as an Additional Settlement Payment is in the
2	public interest to offset the civil penalty and satisfies the guidelines for
3	Proposition 65 settlements set forth at Cal. Code Regs., title 11 sections 3203(d)
4	and 3204(b) because CAG will use the funds to address the same public harm
5	alleged in this action and CAG is accountable; and
6	iii. Defendant's payment of \$130,000.00 for attorneys' fees and costs to CAG's
7	attorneys to which the parties have agreed is reasonable under California law
8	pursuant to <i>HSC</i> § 25249.7(f)(4).
9	f) Further, the proposed settlement terms are presumed to confer a public benefit.
10	A public benefit is presumed because the [Proposed] Consent Judgment requires Defendant to
11	sell reformulated products with reduced levels of DEHP and/or DINP or affix Proposition 65
12	compliant warnings on existing inventory for the Covered Products throughout all of California.
13	3. The Court shall sign and hereby directs the Clerk to file and enter the [Proposed] Consent
14	Judgment.
15	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	
18	Dated: 08/02/2022
19	Hon. Jon Takasugi
20	Longe of the Superior Court
21	Jon R. Takasugi / Judge
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
YEROUSHALMI &	Page 4 of 4 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT
YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law	BETWEEN PLAINTIFF CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. AND DEFENDANT B.R.E INDUSTRIES, INC.
Corporations	