FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 10/16/2021 Sherif R. Carter, Executive Officer / Cerk of Court By: C. Guerrero Deputy
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES
CASE NO. 19STCV37064
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Michelle Williams Court, Dept. 74]
Complaint filed: October 16, 2019
ed into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer
cting on behalf of itself and in the public interest

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

YEROUSHALMI &
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law

and Defendant Mystic Apparel, LLC ("Defendant") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendants and Covered Products

- 1.2.1 CAG alleges that Defendant is a New York Limited Liability Company which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2.2 CAG alleges that Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes consumer products in California.

1.3 Listed Chemicals

- 1.3.1 Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate ("DEHP"), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer.

1.4 Notices of Violation

- 1.4.1 On or about August 21, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-01627") ("August 21, 2019 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP contained in certain Suitcases with Plastic Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 21, 2019 Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about October 2, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-01864") ("October 2, 2019 Notice") that provided notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code

YEROUSHALMI
& YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law

§ 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP contained in certain Duffel Bags with Polymer Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 2, 2019 Notice.

- 1.4.3 On or about October 30, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02062") ("October 30, 2019 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP and DEHP, respectively, contained in certain Duffel Bags and Kids Dresses, respectively, sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 30, 2019 Notice.
- 1.4.4 On or about December 4, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2019-02254") ("December 4, 2019 Notice") that provided notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP contained in certain Duffel Bags with Polymer Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 4, 2019 Notice.
- 1.4.5 On or about February 12, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-00333") ("February 12, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP contained in certain Rolling Luggage sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 12, 2020 Notice.
- 1.4.6 On or about March 13, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-00715")

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI AN Independent Association of Law Corporations

("March 13, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Backpacks with Plastic Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 13, 2020 Notice.

- 1.4.7 On or about June 24, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-01566") ("June 24, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Backpacks with Plastic Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 24, 2020 Notice.
- 1.4.8 On or about July 1, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-01675") ("July 1, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Crossbody Bags with PVC Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 1, 2020 Notice.
- 1.4.9 On or about September 10, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-02305") ("September 10, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Crossbody Bags with PVC Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 9, 2020 Notice.

YEROUSHALMI
&
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law

1.4.10 On or about October 16, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2020-02774") ("October 16, 2020 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Crossbody Bags with PVC Components sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 16, 2020 Notice.

1.4.11 On or about June 4, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("AG# 2021-01368") ("June 4, 2021 Notice") that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Crossbody Bags sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 4, 2021 Notice.

1.5 Complaint

- 1.5.1 On November 7, 2019 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 1") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV40125.

 Complaint 1 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for failure to allegedly give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DINP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.
- 1.5.2 On March 16, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 2") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV10456, against Defendant. Complaint 2 alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DINP and DEHP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.
- 1.5.3 On August 24, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 3") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV32288, against

YEROUSHALMI
&
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law
Corporations

Defendant. Complaint 3 alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DINP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.

- 1.5.4 On October 16, 2019 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 4") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV37064.

 Complaint 4 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for failure to allegedly give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.
- 1.5.5 On September 4, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 5") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV34003, against Defendant. Complaint 5 alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.
- 1.5.6 On January 20, 2021 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 6") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 21STCV02336.

 Complaint 6 alleges, among other things, that Proposition 65 was violated for failure to allegedly give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in certain consumer products Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Defendant contained in the Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notice or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS" means:

- (a) Suitcases containing Di Isononyl Phthalate (DINP), specifically Suitcases with Plastic Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Limited Too suitcases, and other suitcases and luggage items with Plastic Components;
- (b) Duffel Bags with Polymer Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Blue Rolling Duffel Bag with Patterned Design and

Reflective Handle, and other duffel bags and luggage items with Polymer Components;

- (c) Suitcases with Polymer Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Blue Rolling Luggage with Owl Design,
- (d) Dress for Kids with Plastic Components containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl)

 Phthalate (DEHP), with exemplars including but not limited to, Kooba Kids Girls

 Fashion Dress
- (e) Children's Bag containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Crossbody Bag with PVC Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Crossbody Small Purse, Glitter Shoulder Bag.
- (f) Crossbody Bag with PVC Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Glittered Cross Body Bag,
- (g) Backpack with Plastic Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Holographic Glitter Backpack,
- (h) Kids Backpacks containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Backpack with Plastic Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Girls Access Backpacks, Accessories 22 Backpacks
- 2.2 "PRIVATE RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS" means:
 - (a) Suitcases containing Di Isononyl Phthalate (DINP), specifically Suitcases with Plastic Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Limited Too suitcases, and other suitcases and luggage items with Plastic Components;
 - (b) Travel Gear containing Di Isononyl Phthalate (DINP), specifically Duffel Bags with Polymer Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but

not limited to, Blue Rolling Duffel Bag with Patterned Design and Reflective Handle, and other duffel bags and luggage items with Polymer Components;

- (c) Children's Accessories containing Di Isononyl Phthalate (DINP), specifically Suitcases with Polymer Components containing DINP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Blue Rolling Luggage with Owl Design, and other children's suitcases, luggage, and accessory items with with Polymer Components;
- (d) Kids Clothing containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Dress for Kids with Plastic Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Kooba Kids Girls Fashion Dress and other items of apparel for kids with plastic components;
- (e) Children's Bag containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Crossbody Bag with PVC Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Crossbody Small Purse, Glitter Shoulder Bag, and other children's bags, shoulder bags, and crossbody bags with PVC components;
- (f) Fashion Accessories containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Crossbody Bag with PVC Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Glittered Cross Body Bag, and other Fashion Accessories and Bags with PVC components, including tote bags, handbags, fanny packs, shoulder bags, lunch bags, backpacks, and other bags;
- (g) Fashion Accessories containing Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), specifically, Backpack with Plastic Components containing DEHP, with exemplars including but not limited to, Holographic Glitter Backpack, and other Fashion Accessories and Backpacks with Plastic components, including tote bags, handbags, fanny packs, shoulder bags, lunch bags, mini backpacks, full size

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

YEROUSHALMI

EROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of La

3.2 Warning Labels. For any Covered Products identified and described specifically by style number, SKU number, style name, UPC code, or other identifier as an exemplar in any of the "60-Day Notices of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" outlined in Section 1.4 above ("Covered SKUs/Styles"), a Proposition 65-compliant warning shall be placed on this specific subset of Covered Products still existing in the Defendants' inventory (or purchased but not yet delivered) as of the Effective Date, prior to being distributed or offered for sale. Covered SKUs/Styles include only luggage and suitcase style numbers LTD760 to 765, dress style number FF178309K, backpack style numbers 123765RS and 124245FV, and cross body bag styles LDT713, LTD714, LTD724 and LTD770. This labeling requirement does not apply to inventory of Covered SKUs/Styles that may be in the possession of retailers or third-party wholesalers, dealers, or distributors as of the Effective Date, as those items are not within Defendant's control. Any Covered SKUs/Styles that were distributed, offered for sale, or sold by Defendant or by any other party to any wholesalers, distributors, retailers, or consumers prior to the Effective Date are not subject to this Section 3.2 requirement to place Proposition 65 warnings on the products, as those Covered Products are no longer in Defendant's inventory nor within the control of Defendant. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

- 3.3 Reformulation of any product shall not release liability for past violations of sale of non-compliant products. Liability for past violations of sale of non-compliant products is released as stated in Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.
- If Proposition 65 warnings for DINP or DEHP should no longer be required under 3.4 California laws or regulations, Defendant shall not have any further obligations pursuant to this

YEROUSHALMI
&
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law
Corporations

Consent Judgment. If Proposition 65 limits for DINP or DEHP are implemented, revised or amended under California laws or regulations such that they would impose a standard other than the 1,000 ppm limitation set forth in the reformulation requirements specified in Sections 3.1(a) and 3.1(b), Defendant's compliance with such California laws or regulations shall not be considered a breach of this Consent Judgment.

3.5 The distribution, offering for sale, or sale by a party other than Defendant (such as a retailer or distributor) of any Covered Products that are no longer in Defendant's inventory, control or possession as of the Effective Date as described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, and that may be in the control or possession of downstream wholesalers, downstream distributors, retailers or consumers, shall not be considered a breach of this Consent Judgment if those products are not reformulated or do not have the applicable warnings as specified in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$450,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notice or Complaint, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling One hundred and fifty-four thousand, three hundred dollars (\$154,300.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of one hundred and fifteen thousand and seven hundred and twenty five dollars (\$115,725.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of thirty eight thousand five hundred and seventy five dollars (\$38,575.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant shall issue one check for one

hundred and fifteen thousand and seven hundred dollars (\$115,700.00) to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty five percent (85%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; fifteen percent (15%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Independe

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Defendant shall issue a check in the amount of One hundred and eighty thousand dollars (\$180,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Defendant's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, OEHHA, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B Sacramento, California 95812, Attn: Mike Gyurics. Defendant shall provide written confirmation to CAG concurrently with payment to ОЕННА.

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 As to the PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and their owners, officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant up through the

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law

Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and Complaint. Defendant and Defendant Releasees'
compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with
respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the PUBLIC RELEASE COVERED
PRODUCTS sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after the
Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action
under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or
Downstream Defendant Releasees (collectively, "Released Parties").

- 5.2 As to the PRIVATE RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, acting in its individual capacity, on the one hand, and (a) Mystic Apparel and its owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, employees, shareholders, officers, directors, insurers, attorneys, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively "Releasees") and (b) all entities to whom Releasees directly or indirectly provide, distribute, or sell the PRIVATE RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS, including but not limited to downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Releasees"), on the other hand, of any violation(s) or claimed violation(s) of Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law claim that has been, could have been or may in the future be asserted against the Releasees and Downstream Releasees regarding exposing persons to the Listed Chemicals and the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals arising only in connection with the PRIVATE RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS manufactured, shipped, and/or otherwise distributed prior to the Effective Date, even if sold by Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date. The PRIVATE RELEASE COVERED PRODUCTS are limited to those sold by Mystic.
- 5.3 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation

YEROUSHALMI &
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law

fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not

know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties agree to act in good faith to obtain Court approval of the Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing and trial on the allegations in the Notices and Complaint.
- 6.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.
- 6.3 Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this action shall be deemed amended to include the claims raised in the Notices as detailed in Section 1.4 and 1.5.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

YEROUSHALMI
&
YEROUSHALMI
*An Independent
Association of Law

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 8.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- 8.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

9. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

9.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may then submit it to the Court for approval.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

12. GOVERNING LAW

- 12.1 The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 12.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

14. NOTICES

14.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail. If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi
reuben@yeroushalmi.com
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

If to Defendant MYSTIC APPAREL, LLC:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Georgia C. Ravitz, Esq. gravitz@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20006 Scott A. Cohn, Esq. scohn@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 1301 Ave. of the Americas, 40 th Floor New York, NY 10019
9 10 11 12 13	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.
14 15	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
16 17 18	Date: July 19, 2021 Date: 07/23/2021 , 2021
19	- reservant of
20	Name: Michael Marcus Name: Andy M Jha
21	
22	Title: Director Title: CFO
23	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. MYSTIC APPAREL, LLC
24	a Luci rama di
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Alsonos
27	Date: 10/16/2021 Hon. Michelle Williams Court
28	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
YEROUSHALMI &	Page 20 of 20
YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]