

RICHARD T. DRURY (CBN 163559) ALAMEDA COUNTY REBECCA L. DAVIS (CBN 271662) 2 LOZEAU | DRURY LLP MAR 1 3 2020 410 12th Street, Suite 250 3 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Oakland, CA 94607 Ph: 510-836-4200; Fax: 510-836-4205 4 Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com 5 rebecca@lozeaudrury.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE 7 8 ANGELA C. AGRUSA (CA Bar No. 131337) Angela.Agrusa@dlapiper.com GEORGE GIGOUNAS (CA Bar No. 209334) 10 George.Gigounas@dlapiper.com GREGORY G. SPERLA (CA Bar No. 278062) 11 Greg.Sperla@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 12 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 13 San Francisco, California 94105-2933 T: 415.836.2500; F: 415.836.2501 14 15 Attorneys for Defendant SEQUEL NATURALS ULC 16 17 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 18 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 19 COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE, a Case No. RG18909334 non-profit association, 20 Assigned For All Purposes to The Honorable Plaintiff, Brad Seligman—Department 23 21 22 VS. STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 23 SEQUEL NATURALS ULC, a Canadian Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. unlimited liability corporation, 24 Case Filed: June 16, 2018 Defendant. 25 First Amend. Comp. Filed: August 6, 2018 Trial Date: None Set 26 27 28

Page 1 of 18
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No RG18909334

6

12

16

25

1. INTRODUCTION

- On June 16, 2018, Plaintiff Community Science Institute ("CSI"), a non-profit 1.1 association, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Sequel Naturals ULC ("Sequel" or "Defendant"), and Sequel Naturals Ltd.
- CSI's June 16, 2018 complaint was based on allegations contained in CSI's 1.2 Notice of Violation ("NOV") dated March 30, 2018 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, Sequel, and Sequel Naturals Ltd. A true and correct copy of the March 30, 2018 NOV is attached as Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment and incorporated herein by reference.
- On August 6, 2018, CSI filed a First Amended Complaint against Sequel, 1.3 Sequel Naturals Ltd., and The WhiteWave Foods Company based on the allegations in CSI's March 30, 2018 NOV and a January 18, 2018 NOV that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and The WhiteWave Foods Company. A true and correct copy of the January 18, 2018 NOV is attached as Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment and incorporated herein by reference.
- On January 23, 2019, Sequel Naturals Ltd. and The WhiteWave Foods 1.4 Company were dismissed from this action without prejudice.
- 1.5 CSI filed the operative Second Amended Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant based on the allegations contained in CSI's March 30, 2018 NOV, and January 18, 2018 NOV, and a November 1, 2019 NOV served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendant (collectively, the "NOVs"). A true and correct copy of the November 1, 2019 NOV is attached as Exhibit C to this Consent Judgment and incorporated herein by reference.
- 1.6 CSI alleges products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant contain the chemicals lead and cadmium, which are listed under Proposition 65 as carcinogens and/or reproductive toxins, and expose consumers to lead and/or cadmium at levels which require a

23

Proposition 65 warning. The products (herein individually a "Covered Product" or collectively the "Covered Products") are all Vega powders, including powder supplements, shakes, including shake powders and ready-to-drink products, protein bars, and protein snacks. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a non-exhaustive list of exemplar Vega products subject to the Consent Judgment.

- 1.7 Defendant denies all material and factual allegations in, or that arise from, CSI's NOVs and the Complaint and asserts it has affirmative defenses to CSI's claims. Defendant further specifically denies that CSI or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by its alleged conduct or the Covered Products or other products Defendant sold or sells. Defendant asserts that the lead and cadmium in the Covered Products are naturally occurring as the result of natural geological and plant processes. Defendant and CSI each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if this Consent Judgment is not approved.
- CSI and Defendant are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or 1.8 collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.9 CSI is an unincorporated association whose mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic-free future.
- 1.10 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and Defendant qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Further, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.11 Over 60 days have passed since the NOVs were served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Defendant, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Defendant with regard to the Covered Products or the violations alleged in the NOVs.
- 1.12 CSI's NOVs and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products expose persons in California to lead and/or cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Defendant denies

all material allegations contained in the NOVs and Complaint.

- disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party or any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, affiliates, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nor shall this Consent Judgment be construed to impair or limit Sequel's rights under any prior Proposition 65 Consent Judgment.
- 1.14 Except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.15 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which Notice of Entry of Judgment is served via email on counsel for Defendant.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the NOVs and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Starting one month from the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture for sale in, distribute into, or directly sell in the State of California, any Covered Product unless such Covered Product (a) qualifies as a "Compliant Product" or (b) meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2. A "Compliant Product" is one for which the results of the testing performed by Defendant under Section 3.4 yield a daily exposure at or below 0.5

micrograms of lead and 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day, as determined by exposure calculation methodology of Section 3.1.2, excluding any naturally occurring heavy metal levels as defined in Section 3.1.3, and by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4. Defendant shall be required to provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2 only for products causing exposures in excess of the foregoing levels.

- 3.1.1 As used herein, "distribute into the State of California" means to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California, or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor Defendant knows or has reason to know will sell it in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and determining Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, daily heavy metal exposure levels shall, provided there are no directions on the product label to consume more than one serving per day, be calculated by using the following formula: micrograms of heavy metal per gram (mcg/g) in the product multiplied by one serving per day (4 grams for powders and shakes, 31.6 grams for ready-to-drink products, 7 grams for bars, and 1.8 grams for protein snacks).
- 3.1.3 For the purposes of this Consent Judgment and determining Defendant's compliance with Proposition 65, Defendant shall be afforded a naturally occurring allowance of up to one part per million (1,000 ppb) lead for any cocoa powder in the Covered Products pursuant to the September 28, 2001 letter from the Attorney General to Roger Lane Carrick and Michele Corash and, 0.32 part per million (320 ppb) cadmium for any cocoa powder in the Covered Products, pursuant to the Judgment approved by the Attorney General in As You Sow v. Trader Joe's Company et al., S.F. Sup. Co. No. CGC-15-548791 (Feb. 15, 2018).
- 3.1.4 Any additional determination of any naturally occurring heavy metal in a given Covered Product may be established by a preponderance of evidence under California Code of Regulation ("CCR"), Title 27, § 22501, pursuant to a meet and confer of the Parties and, if necessary, a determination by a neutral arbitrator.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Defendant is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, Defendant must include either a long- or short-form warning ("Warning") as provided below.

3.2.1 If a long-form Warning is provided, the following Warning must be utilized:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to [chemicals including] lead, which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Defendant shall use the phrase "cancer and" in this Warning if the daily lead exposure level from consuming the Covered Product is greater than 15 micrograms or if the daily cadmium exposure level from consuming the Covered Product is greater than 4.1 micrograms as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4, or if additional testing determines that another chemical is present that may require a Proposition 65 cancer warning.

- 3.2.2 Alternatively, if Defendant must provide a Warning under Section 3.1, Defendant may provide a short-form Warning. Where a short-form Warning is provided in place of a long-form Warning, one of the following Warnings must be utilized:
 - 1) A WARNING: Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

 OR
 - 2) A Warning: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.
- 3.2.3 Defendant shall use the second short-form Warning above if the daily lead exposure level from consuming the Covered Products exceeds 15 micrograms or the daily cadmium exposure level exceeds 4.1 micrograms, as determined under the quality control methodology in Section 3.4, or if additional testing determines that another chemical is present that may require a Proposition 65 cancer warning. The first short-form Warning may be used in all other cases. If the container or label of the Covered Product is not printed using the color yellow, the equilateral triangle that precedes the short-form Warning language may be printed in black and white.

Defendant shall provide the Warning on the container or label of each Covered Product

or through an online Warning before purchase. On-product Warnings shall be securely affixed to or printed on the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is on the label, it must be set off from surrounding information and enclosed in a box. For Covered Products sold on the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page, when a California delivery address is indicated, on the product display page, or by any other method authorized under § 25602(b) of Title 27 of the CCRs. If the Warning for a Covered Product is provided on the checkout page, an asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which product or products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on a website or on the labeling or container of the Covered Products' product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person can accompany the Warning, and no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply the source of the listed chemical has any impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical. Defendant must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Modification to Safe-Harbor Warning Regulations

The Parties agree that, should Proposition 65 warning regulations change after the date this Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties, Defendant may either conform its warnings to the revised regulations, or comply with the terms provided in this Consent Judgment, and in so doing, will be in compliance with this Consent Judgment.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Defendant shall arrange for lead and cadmium testing of each of the Covered Products at least once a year by arranging for testing of one randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products from three randomly selected lots of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-

user, which Defendant intends to distribute into the State of California. Each sample to be tested shall be randomly selected. After three years from the Effective Date, Defendant shall have no further obligation to test pursuant to this section.

- 3.4.2 If tests conducted under this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during the three-year testing period, Defendant changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Defendant shall test that Covered Product annually for three consecutive years after such change is made.
- 3.4.3 For purposes of measuring the daily lead and cadmium exposure levels, the highest of the three tested samples of each of the Covered Products shall be used for calculating lead and cadmium exposure levels for that Covered Product as set forth in Section 3.1.2, above. If the highest lead or cadmium content test reflects an exposure in excess of the Compliant Product Standard, Defendant has the right to retest three samples from the same lot. The highest lead or cadmium content testing results from each lot that is retested for the second round of sampling shall be used for calculating lead or cadmium exposure levels for that Covered Product.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.
- 3.4.5 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including

the raw materials used in their manufacture.

3.4.7 If CSI has a good faith belief that a Covered Product is in violation of this Consent Judgment, it may request from Defendant (1) lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 for such product(s) and (2) if Defendant is excluding "naturally occurring" amounts of lead or cadmium under this Section, document(s) sufficient to show all ingredients, including the quantity of each ingredient in the finished product, that contain naturally occurring lead or cadmium in amounts that are necessary to reduce daily heavy metal exposure level(s) below 0.5mcg/day lead and 4.1mcg/day cadmium. Sequel shall respond and provide requested documents within one month of receipt of CSI's written request. Defendant shall retain all test results and documentation collected pursuant to this Consent Judgment for a period of three years from the date of each test.

3.4.8 The requirements of Section 3.4 shall not apply to any Covered Products for which Defendant has provided a warning that complies with Section 3.2

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, Defendant shall make a total payment of \$362,500.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to CSI within 20 business days of the Effective Date ("Due Date") on the condition that CSI provides all necessary tax forms one month in advance of the Due Date. Defendant shall make this payment via check made payable to Lozeau Drury LLP and sent to 1939 Harrison St., Suite 150, Oakland, California, 94612. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

- 4.1 Civil Penalty. \$164,281.54 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). CSI shall remit 75% (\$121,928.57) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). CSI will retain the remaining 25% (\$40,642.86) of the civil penalty.
 - 4.2 Additional Settlement Payment. \$121,928.57 shall be considered an

Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to CCR, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. These funds shall be distributed by CSI or its counsel as follows:

- 4.3 Key Sciences, LLC. \$8,414.28 of the ASP funds shall be distributed to Key Sciences, LLC ("Key Sciences"). Key Sciences will use the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as alleged in this matter. Key Sciences will restrict use of the ASP received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes:
- **4.3.1** ASP funds will be used by Key Sciences to offer free testing of products purchased in California for Proposition 65 listed chemicals.
- 4.3.2 Key Sciences activities will have a direct and primary effect within the State of California because the funds will be used to support work being done by non-profits to monitor compliance with Proposition 65.
- 4.3.3 Key Sciences shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. CSI shall require, as a prerequisite to the transfer of any funds pursuant to this Consent Judgment, that Key Sciences agree to provide the California Attorney General's office, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.
- 4.4 Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice. \$32,514.29 of the ASP funds shall be distributed to Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice ("Greenaction"), a nonprofit corporation that works to change government and corporate policies and practices to protect health and to promote environmental, social and economic justice. Greenaction will restrict use of the ASP received from this Consent Judgment to the purposes described below.
- **4.4.1** Greenaction will use the funds to conduct community educational outreach and civic engagement activities that protect the public health from Proposition 65 listed chemicals. Specifically, the funds will be used for the following three projects:

4.4.2 The funds will go towards community outreach to inform residents of Bayview Hunters Point in San Francisco how to file pollution complaints on www.bvhp-ivan.org and how to engage with local, regional, and state agency officials on pollution issues at the monthly meetings of the multi-stakeholder Bayview Hunters Point Environmental Justice Response Task Force.

- 4.4.3 The funds will also go towards community education and civic engagement on assessment and cleanup of radiological and toxic contamination in Bayview Hunters Point and Treasure Island in San Francisco. Funds will be used to pay for Greenaction staff to conduct multilingual community education to inform residents about contaminants at the Hunters Point Shipyard Superfund Site and at the former Naval station site at Treasure Island, and to inform the community about civic engagement opportunities related to assessment and cleanup of this contamination.
- **4.4.4** The funds will also be used for educational outreach and engagement with truckers and businesses that use diesel vehicles to inform them of the laws restricting idling and health impacts of emissions from diesel vehicle idling.
- 4.4.5 Greenaction's use of the ASP funds will have a direct and primary effect within the State of California because it will go towards education, outreach, and engagement of Californians on contamination and exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals occurring in California.
- 4.4.6 Greenaction shall be held fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. CSI shall require, as a prerequisite to the transfer of any funds pursuant to this Consent Judgment, that Greenaction agree to provide the California Attorney General's office, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 Attorneys' Fees. \$78,000 shall be distributed to Lozeau Drury LLP as reimbursement of CSI's attorney's fees and reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- Settlement Amount as set forth in this Section, Defendant shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CSI shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Defendant via electronic mail. If Defendant fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Defendant seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then that party must provide written notice to CSI of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If CSI notifies Defendant in a timely manner of CSI's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Intent. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if CSI disputes the proposed modification, CSI shall provide to Defendant a written basis for its position and the Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional ten (10) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that any party initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or joint application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, the party initiating the modification shall reimburse the other its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process

and filing and arguing the motion or application.

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own and the prevailing party shall recover its costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the proceeding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the enforcement, modification, or termination of this Consent Judgment and all related claims.
- 6.2 If CSI alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Compliant Product (for which CSI alleges that no Warning has been provided), then CSI shall timely inform Defendant of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the Covered Products at issue. Defendant shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide CSI with testing information meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter informally for 60 days prior to CSI taking any further legal action.
- 6.3 CSI may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by CSI to enforce this Consent Judgment, CSI may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, affiliates, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers (including online retailers), predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall

have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by consumers residing in California.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CSI, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, affiliates, retailers (including online retailers), and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). CSI, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses arising out of or relating to any omission of or other failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings, disclaimers, or disclosures concerning exposure to lead or cadmium from the purchase, handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products.
- 8.2 CSI on its own behalf only, and Defendant on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the NOVs and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the NOVs and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. CSI on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. CSI and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such

23

24

25

26

27

28

unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CSI on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 and satisfaction of any duty to provide warnings, disclaimers, or disclosures concerning lead and cadmium by any Released Party regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE:

REBECCA L. DAVIS LOZEAU | DRURY LLP 1939 Harrison St., Suite 150 Oakland, CA 94612 Ph: 510-836-4200 Fax: 510-836-4205

Email: rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

FOR SEQUEL NATURALS ULC

Angela Agrusa
DLA Piper LLP
2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, California 90067-4704

12. COURT APPROVAL

- 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CSI shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment. The Parties agree that no extrinsic evidence has any bearing on the Parties' agreement or understanding of any term.

27

28

GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF **CONSENT JUDGMENT**

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and (1) equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve and enter this Consent Judgment.

]	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2	Dated:, 2019	COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE	
3		By:	
4	.		
5 6	Dated: 1/8, 2019	SEQUEL NATURALS VLC	
7	il ·	Wallstar	
8		By: MicHAEL GEBRAEL Its: President.	
9			
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
11	Dated:, 2019	LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
12		D	
13		By: Rebecca L. Davis	
14 15		Attorneys for Plaintiff Community Science Institute	
16	Dated: November 8 , 2019	DLA PIPER LLP	
17			
18		By:	
19		Angela C. Agrusa George Gigounas	
20		Greg Sperla Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals	
21	Oppur A	ULC	
22	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
26			
27	Dated: 3 /2, 2019	V	
28		Judge of the Superior Court	
	Page 18 of 18		
	STIPULATED	CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No RG18909334	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
2	Dated: Ovense 1, 2019 COMMUNITY SCIENCE INSTITUTE			
3	By that had			
4	By. O we			
5	Dated:, 2019 SEQUEL NATURALS ULC			
6	SEQUEL IVATURALS ULC			
7	Davi			
8	By: Its:			
9				
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
11	Dated: 17/14 2019 LOZEAU DRURY LLP			
12	LOZEAU DRUKY LLP			
13	By:			
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Community			
15	Science Institute			
16	Dated:, 2019 DLA PIPER LLP			
17	·			
18	By: Angela C. Agrusa			
19	George Gigounas Greg Sperla			
20	Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals			
21	ULC			
22	ORDER AND JUDGMENT Persod one of the Postinel Stimulation and and a second of the State of the			
23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is			
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.			
26				
27	Dated:, 2019			
28	Judge of the Superior Court			
	Page 18 of 18			
- 1	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No RG18909334			

٠.

EXHIBIT A



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

January 18, 2018

To: President or CEO – The WhiteWave Foods Company

California Attorney's Office

District Attorney's Office for 58 counties

City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles

(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Community Science Institute

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Community Science Institute ("CSI") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). CSI is a fiscally sponsored project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic free future. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter constitutes notice that the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

<u>Violators</u>: The name of the violator covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter referred to as the "Violator") is: The WhiteWave Foods Company.

<u>Listed Chemical</u>: This violation involves exposure to the listed chemical lead. On February 27, 1987, California officially listed lead as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

<u>Consumer Products</u>: The following specific products that are the subject of this notice are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

- 1. Vega Protein & Greens Vanilla Flavored
- 2. Vega Protein & Greens Chocolate Flavored

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. January 18, 2018
Page 2

- 3. Vega One All-In-One Shake Mocha Flavored
- 4. Vega One All-In-One Shake Coconut Almond Flavored
- 5. Vega One All-In-One Shake French Vanilla Flavored

<u>Violation</u>: The alleged Violator knowingly and intentionally exposed and continues to expose consumers within the State of California to lead without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead.

Route of Exposure: Use of the products identified in this notice results in human exposures to lead. The primary route of exposure is ingestion, but may also occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

<u>Duration of Violation</u>: The violations have been occurring since at least January 18, 2015, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violator.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), CSI intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, CSI is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

CSI's Executive Director is Denny Larson, and is located at 6263 Bernhard Avenue, Richmond, California 94805, Tel. 415-845-4705. CSI has retained my firm in connection with this matter. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis (rebecca@lozeaudrury.com), Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,

hecca I Davis

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only) Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. January 18, 2018
Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Community Science Institute's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by The WhiteWave Foods Company

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Community Science Institute.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 18, 2018

Pehecca Davis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On January 18, 2018, I served the following documents: (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Current President or CEO
The WhiteWave Foods Company
12002 Airport Way
Broomfield, CO 80021

Current President or CEO
The WhiteWave Foods Company
1225 17th Street, Suite 1000
Denver, CO 80202

Corporate Creations Network Inc. (Registered Agent for Service of Process for The WhiteWave Foods Company) 3773 Cherry Creek North Drive #575 Denver, CO 80209

Corporate Creations Network Inc. (Registered Agent for Service of Process for The WhiteWave Foods Company) 3411 Silverside Road Tatnall Building, Ste. 104 Wilmington, DE 19810

On January 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1) on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On January 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on January 18, 2018, in Oakland, California.

Daniel Charlier-Smith

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230 District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney,San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901 Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

EXHIBIT B



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, Ca 94607

www.lozeaudrury.com rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

March 30, 2018

To: President or CEO – Sequel Naturals LTD

President or CEO - Sequel Naturals ULC

California Attorney's Office

District Attorney's Office for 58 counties

City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles

(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Community Science Institute

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Community Science Institute ("CSI") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). CSI is a fiscally sponsored project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic free future. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter constitutes notice that the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

<u>Violators</u>: The name of the violators covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter referred to as the "Violators") is: 1) Sequel Naturals LTD, and 2) Sequel Naturals ULC.

<u>Listed Chemical</u>: This violation involves exposure to the listed chemical lead. On February 27, 1987, California officially listed lead as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

<u>Consumer Products</u>: The following specific products that are the subject of this notice are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. March 30, 2018 Page 2

- 1. Vega Protein & Greens Vanilla Flavored
- 2. Vega Protein & Greens Chocolate Flavored
- 3. Vega One All-In-One Shake Mocha Flavored
- 4. Vega One All-In-One Shake Coconut Almond Flavored
- 5. Vega One All-In-One Shake French Vanilla Flavored

<u>Violation</u>: The alleged Violators knowingly and intentionally exposed and continue to expose consumers within the State of California to lead without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violators have manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead.

Route of Exposure: Use of the products identified in this notice results in human exposures to lead. The primary route of exposure is ingestion, but may also occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

<u>Duration of Violation</u>: The violations have been occurring since at least March 30, 2015, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violators.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), CSI intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violators agree in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, CSI is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

CSI's Executive Director is Denny Larson, and is located at 6263 Bernhard Avenue, Richmond, California 94805, Tel. 415-845-4705. CSI has retained my firm in connection with this matter. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis (rebecca@lozeaudrury.com), Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,

Rebecca L. Davis

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. March 30, 2018 Page 3

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Community Science Institute's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Sequel Naturals LTD and Sequel Naturals ULC

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Community Science Institute.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: March 30, 2018

Rebecca Davis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On March 30, 2018, I served the following documents: (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Sequel Naturals LTD 101-3001 Wayburne Drive Burnaby, BC Canada V5G 4W3 Sequel Naturals ULC 101-3001 Wayburne Drive Burnaby, BC Canada V5G 4W3

On March 30, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1) on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 30, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On March 30, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on March 30, 2018, in Oakland, California.

Daniel Charlier-Smith

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County

P.O. Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230 District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney,San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901 Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

EXHIBIT C



T 510.836.4200 F 510.836.4205 1939 Harrison Street, Ste. 150 Oakland, CA 94612

www.lozeaudrury.com rebecca@lozeaudrury.com

November 1, 2019

To: President or CEO - Sequel Naturals ULC

California Attorney's Office

District Attorney's Office for 58 counties

City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles

(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Community Science Institute

Re: Supplemental Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code

Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Community Science Institute ("CSI") in connection with this Supplemental Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). CSI is a fiscally sponsored project of the non-profit organization Social and Environmental Entrepreneurs. CSI's mission is to unite consumers and industrial neighbors to reform government and industry practices for a toxic free future. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter supplements CSI's January 18, 2018 and March 30, 2018 Notices of Violations and constitutes notice that the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

<u>Violators</u>: The name of the violators covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter referred to as the "Violators") is: Sequel Naturals ULC.

<u>Listed Chemicals</u>: This violation involves exposure to the listed chemicals lead and cadmium. On February 27, 1987, California officially listed lead as a chemical known to the State of California to cause developmental toxicity and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer. Cadmium and cadmium compounds were officially listed as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1987, while cadmium was officially listed as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity and male reproductive toxicity on May 1, 1997.

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. November 1, 2019
Page 2

<u>Consumer Products</u>: The following types of products that are the subject of this notice and are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

- 1. Vega Shakes and Powders (including but not limited to Vega One, Vega Protein & Greens, Vega Sport Protein, Vega Smoothie, Vega Clean Protein)
- 2. Vega Bars (including but not limited to Vega Sport Protein Bars, Vega One Bars, Vega Protein + Snack Bars, Vega Protein Snack Bars)
- 3. Vega Ready to Drink Beverages (including but not limited to Vega Protein Nutrition Shakes)
- 4. Vega Snacks (including but not limited to Vega Protein Crisps)

<u>Violation</u>: The alleged Violators knowingly and intentionally exposed and continue to expose consumers within the State of California to lead and/or cadmium without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed types of products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemicals lead and/or cadmium.

Route of Exposure: Use of the product types identified in this notice results in human exposures to lead and/or cadmium. The primary route of exposure is ingestion, but may also occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

<u>Duration of Violation</u>: The violations have been occurring since at least November 1, 2016, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violator.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), CSI intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, CSI is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

// // //

//

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. November 1, 2019 Page 3

CSI has retained my firm in connection with this matter. CSI's Director is Bradley Angel, who can be contacted through my firm as follows: Bradley Angel, c/o Lozeau Drury LLP, 1939 Harrison St, Suite 150, Oakland, CA 94612. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis at rebecca@lozeaudrury.com or (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,

Rebecca L. Davis

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. November 1, 2019
Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Community Science Institute's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Sequel Naturals ULC

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Community Science Institute.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: November 1, 2019

Rebecca Davis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 1939 Harrison St., Suite 150, Oakland, California 94612, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On November 1, 2019, I served the following documents: (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Current President or CEO Sequel Naturals ULC 101-3001 Wayburne Drive Burnaby, BC Canada V5G 4W3 GEORGE GIGOUNAS GREGORY G. SPERLA DLA PIPER LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94105-293 Attorneys for Sequel Naturals ULC

On November 1, 2019, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1) on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On November 1, 2019, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney
Napa County
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559
CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney
Sacramento County
901 G Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Prop65@sacda.org
Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
Santa Barbara County
1112 Santa Barbara St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Ave. San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr. Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney 7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Barbara Yook, District Attorney Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney Inyo County 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell , District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz , CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

On November 1, 2019, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on November 1, 2019, in Oakland, California.

Tover Grear

Service List

District Attorney Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney
Butte County
25 County Center Drive,
Suite 245
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney Imperial County 940 West Main St. Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney Lake County 255 N. Forbes St. Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney Los Angeles County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple St. Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney Madera County 209 West Yosemite Ave. Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney Modoc County 204 S Court Street Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517 District Attorney Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney Orange County 401 W. Civic Center Dr. Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney Placer County 10810 Justice Center Dr. Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney San Benito County 419 Fourth Street 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney
San Bernardino County
303 West Third St.
San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney San Diego County 330 West Broadway Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney San Mateo Count 400 Country Ctr. 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney Sierra County 100 Courthouse Square 2nd Floor Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney Solano County 675 Texas St., Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney Sutter County 463 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main St., Ste. 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case Number: RG18909334

Case Name: Community Science Institute v. Sequel Naturals ULC

RE: STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California.

Executed: 03/13/2020

Ghalisa Castaneda Courtroom Clerk, Dept. 23

Richard T. Drury Rebecca L. Davis LOZEAU DRURY LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150 Oakland, CA 94612 richard@lozeaudrury.com rebecca@lozeaudrury.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff Community Science Institute
Angela C. Agrusa George Gigounas Gregory G. Sperla DLA PIPER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA angela.agrusa@dlapiper.com George.gigounas@dlapiper.com greg.sperla@dlapiper.com	Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals ULC

Gregory G. Sperla GREENBERG TRARIG LLP 1201 K. Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Attorneys for Defendant Sequel Naturals ULC

Cc:

Angela Agrusa
DLA PIPER LLP
2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attorneys for Sequel Naturals ULC