FILED
Superior Court of California

County of Los Angeles

DEC 03 2020

Sherri R. Carer, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Patricia Salcido

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Judge: Hon. Robert S. Draper
Dept.: 78
Compl. Filed: March 13, 2020

Unlimited Jurisdiction

1. RECITALS

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between APS&EE, LLC ("APS&EE") and Shapiro Metal Supply Company ("Shapiro"). APS&EE and Shapiro shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties".
- 1.1.2 APS&EE is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- 1.1.3 APS&EE alleges that Shapiro is a company in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 APS&EE alleges that Shapiro sold Shapiro Metal Supply brass bars, including 3/8" square bar (hereinafter the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to unsafe levels of Lead, without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2.2 On November 5, 2019, APS&EE provided a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, to Shapiro and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On March 13, 2020, APS&EE, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

Shapiro denies all allegations in APS&EE's Notice and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Shapiro has not violated Proposition 65.

This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Shapiro but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Shapiro shall not distribute, sell or offer for sale Products in California unless (a) the Product contains no more than 100 parts per million (0.01%) of Lead ("Reformulated Product"), or (b) the Products are distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2.

2.2 Clear And Reasonable Warnings

2.2.1 For any Products that are not Reformulated Products, such Products shall be accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning. Shapiro shall provide the following warning statements as follows:

 "WARNING: This product can expose you to Lead which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

If Shapiro has reason to believe the Products may expose consumers to additional chemicals listed under Proposition 65, then it may replace "Lead which is" with "chemicals, including Lead, which are" in the warning statement. The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

- 2.2.2 Internet Sales. A Product that is sold by Shapiro on the internet to persons located in California shall provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Product. For Products that Shapiro provides for a downstream entity to sell on the internet, Shapiro shall include an instruction that the entity comply with the warnings requirements of this section.
- **2.2.3 Phone Sales.** A Product that is sold by Shapiro via telephone to persons located in California shall provide the warning message to the purchaser in a clearly stated voice before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Product. For Products that Shapiro provides for a downstream entity to sell via telephone, Shapiro shall include an instruction that the entity comply with the warnings requirements of this section.
- 2.2.4 Brick And Mortar Sales. Should Shapiro sell the Products, or intentionally cause to have the Products sold, from brick and mortar stores located in California, then the Products shall carry said warning directly on each unit, label, package, or shelf tag, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

R (2) R (2) R (2) R (2) In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Shapiro shall pay a total civil penalty of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and *Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$1,500.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$500.00) for APS&EE.

Shapiro shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$500.00. Shapiro shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of APS&EE's Fees And Costs

Shapiro shall reimburse APS&EE's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and Court approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Shapiro shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00). Shapiro shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. <u>RELEASES</u>

4.1 APS&EE's Release Of Shapiro

APS&EE, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Shapiro, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies under common ownership or control, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and

assignees, and each entity in the downstream distribution chain of the Products (collectively "Releasees"), from all Proposition 65 violation claims regarding failure to warn about lead exposure from the Products that were distributed or sold by Shapiro in California before and up to the Effective Date.

4.2 Shapiro's Release Of APS&EE

Shapiro, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against APS&EE, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Shapiro in this matter. If any Releasee should institute any such action, then APS&EE's release of said Releasee in this Consent Judgment shall be rendered void and unenforceable.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, APS&EE shall file a noticed

Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ reasonable best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. NOTICE

All correspondence and notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

TO SHAPIRO:	TO APS&EE:
Yaakov Klein, Esq. Frankel, Rubin, Klein, Siegel, Payne & Pudlowski, P.C. 231 South Bemiston Ave Suite 1111 Clayton, Missouri 63105	Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

AGREED TO:

Date:

By:

AGREED TO:

Date: By:

Sam Shapiro, President Authorized Representative of Shapiro Mctal Supply Company

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

ROBERT S. DRAPER