

1 Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)
2 **YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI***
3 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
4 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
5 Telephone: (310) 623-1926
6 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
10/19/2023
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: J. Clavero Deputy

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
8 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,
12 in the public interest,

CASE NO. 21STCV23590

~~PROPOSED~~ CONSENT JUDGMENT

13 Plaintiff,

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*

14 v.

15 ROSS STORES, INC., dba DD'S
16 DISCOUNTS a Delaware Corporation; *et al*

17 Defendants.

18 **1. INTRODUCTION**

19 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer
20 Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest,
21 and Defendant Ross Stores, Inc. ("Ross" or "Settling Defendant"), each a party to the action and
22 collectively referred to as "Parties" or individually referred to as "Party."

23 **1.2 Defendants and Covered Products**

24 1.2.1 CAG alleges that Ross Stores, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation which employs ten
25 or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Ross is deemed a person in the
26 course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water
27
28

1 and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
2 (“Proposition 65”).

3 1.2.3 CAG alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes
4 consumer products in California.

5 1.2 Listed Chemicals

6 1.2.1 Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (“DEHP”), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate
7 and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known
8 to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

9 1.2.2 Diisononyl Phthalate (“DINP”) has been listed by the State of California as a
10 chemical known to cause cancer.

11 1.3 Notices of Violation

12 1.3.1 On or about August 3, 2020, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
13 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2020-01936)
14 (“August 3, 2020 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
15 Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP
16 contained in certain Teal Handbags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or
17 diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 3, 2020 Notice.

18 1.3.2 On or about August 8, 2018, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
19 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2018-01375)
20 (“August 8, 2018 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
21 Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP
22 contained in certain Storage Ottoman that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or
23 diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 8, 2018 Notice.

24 1.3.3 On or about August 29, 2018, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
25 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2018-01621)
26 (“August 29, 2018, Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
27 Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP
28

1 contained in certain Headphones that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or
2 diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 29, 2018, Notice.

3 1.3.4 On or about May 11, 2020 CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
4 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2020-01189)
5 (“May 11, 2020 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety
6 Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in
7 certain Crossbody Bags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently
8 prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 11, 2020 Notice.

9 1.3.5 On or about January 29, 2020, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
10 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2020-00245)
11 (“January 29, 2020 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
12 Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP
13 contained in Carryland Handbags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or
14 diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the January 29, 2020 Notice.

15 1.3.6 On or about September 10, 2019, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue
16 for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2019-
17 01752) (“September 10, 2019 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of
18 Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to
19 DEHP contained in Handbags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently
20 prosecuted the allegations set forth in the September 10, 2019 Notice.

21 1.3.7 On or about January 28, 2021, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for
22 Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2021-00120)
23 (“January 28, 2021 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
24 Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP
25 contained in certain Sandals that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently
26 prosecuted the allegations set forth in the January 28, 2021 Notice.

27 1.3.8 On or about November 12, 2019, CAG served a “60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue
28 for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986” (AG# 2019-

1 02122) (“November 12, 2019 Notice”) that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of
2 Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to
3 DEHP contained in certain Phone Mount Holders that Ross sells. No public enforcer has
4 commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 12, 2019 Notice.

5 1.4 Complaints

6 1.4.1 On December 1, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive
7 relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV45871 against Ross and others,
8 and subsequently filed an amended complaint on December 23, 2020 (“Complaint 1”).
9 Complaint 1 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing
10 to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Teal
11 Handbag.

12 1.4.2 On March 27, 2019 CAG filed a Complaint, subsequently amended on December
13 17, 2019, for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Alameda County Superior Court, Case No.
14 RG19012558 against Ross and other parties. On May 11, 2020, CAG filed a Notice of Errata
15 attaching the correct version of the amended complaint, which is the operative complaint
16 (“Complaint 2”). Complaint 2 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for
17 allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals
18 from Headphones and Storage Ottoman.

19 1.4.3 On May 15, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint, subsequently amended on June 24,
20 2020, for civil penalties and injunctive relief (“Complaint 3”) in Los Angeles County Superior
21 Court, Case No. 20STCV18693, against Ross and other parties. Complaint 3 alleges, among
22 other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable
23 warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Handbags and Phone Mount Holders.

24 1.4.4 On September 4, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive
25 relief (“Complaint 4”) in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV34003 against
26 Ross and others. Complaint 4 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for
27 allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals
28 from Carryland Handbags and Crossbody Bags.

1 1.4.5 On June 22, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief
2 in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV23590 against Ross and others, and
3 subsequently filed an amended complaint on July 20, 2021 (“Complaint 5”). Complaint 5 alleges,
4 among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and
5 reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Sandals.

6 1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has
8 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints, personal jurisdiction
9 over Ross as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los
10 Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement
11 and resolution of the allegations against Ross contained in the Complaints, and of all claims which
12 were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or
13 indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

14 1.6 No Admission

15 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into
16 this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the
17 Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
18 be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notices or the
19 Complaints, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including
20 without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or
21 any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the
22 meaning of the terms “knowingly and intentionally expose” or “clear and reasonable warning” as
23 used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor
24 compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any
25 fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by
26 Ross, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be
27 offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any
28 court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or

1 impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal
2 proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

3 **2. DEFINITIONS**

4 2.1 “Covered Products” means Teal Handbags, Storage Ottoman, Headphones,
5 Crossbody Bags, Carryland Handbags, Handbags, Sandals, and Phone Mount Holders. The
6 Covered Products are limited to the SKU numbers identified below.

7 2.2 Teal Handbags means Teal Handbags with SKU 400205528529.

8 2.3 Storage Ottoman means Storage Ottoman with SKU 400167042002.

9 2.4 Headphones means Headphones with SKU 400173615399.

10 2.5 Crossbody Bags means Crossbody Bag with SKU 400197204753.

11 2.6 Carryland Handbags means Handbags with SKU 400193499818.

12 2.7 Handbags means Handbags with SKU 400197472022.

13 2.8 Sandals means Sandals with SKU 400210678936.

14 2.9 Phone Mount Holders means Phone Mount Holder with SKU 400197602696.

15 2.10 “Effective Date” means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the
16 Court.

17 2.11 “DEHP” means Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, also known as Diethyl Hexyl
18 Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate

19 2.12 “DINP” means Diisononyl Phthalate.

20 2.13 “Listed Chemicals” means:

21 DINP as to Sandals

22 DEHP as to Teal Handbags, Storage Ottoman, Headphones, Crossbody
23 Bags, Carryland Handbags, Handbags, and Phone Mount Holders.

24 2.14 “Complaints” means Complaint 1, Complaint 2, Complaint 3, Complaint 4, and
25 Complaint 5.

26 2.15 “Notices” means the August 3, 2020; August 8, 2018; August 29, 2018; May 11,
27 2020; January 29, 2020; September 10, 2019; January 28, 2021; and November 12, 2019
28 Notices.

1 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION**

2 3.1 After the Effective Date, Ross shall not order any Covered Products for sale into
3 California, with any component that contains the Listed Chemical(s) in excess of 0.1% (1,000
4 parts per million) by weight.

5 3.2 Any Covered Products that Ross sells, distributes, or ships into California after
6 the Effective Date that were ordered prior to the Effective Date, must contain a clear and
7 reasonable warning, consistent with 27 CCR section 25600 *et seq.*, unless it contains no more
8 than 0.1% by weight (1,000 ppm) of the Listed Chemical(s). Covered Products that were ordered
9 prior to the Effective Date and contain DEHP above 0.1% by weight shall contain Proposition 65
10 warnings for cancer and reproductive toxicity. Covered Products that were ordered prior to the
11 Effective Date and contain DINP above 0.1% by weight shall contain Proposition 65 warnings
12 for cancer. Any warnings provided pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be affixed to the packaging
13 of, or directly on, or attached to the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such
14 conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it
15 likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before
16 purchase or use. Where the packaging of the Covered Product in existing inventory includes
17 consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a
18 language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to
19 English. Should Defendant sell or distribute any Covered Product in existing inventory through
20 the internet, the warning will be posted in the manner provided for with respect to internet sales,
21 as provided for in 27 CCR sections 25601 and 25602, as they may be subsequently amended.

22 3.3 Covered Products already distributed to Downstream Releasees prior to the
23 Effective Date may continue to be sold through as is.

24 **4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT**

25 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within fifteen (15) business days of the Effective Date,
26 or upon receipt of W-9 Forms from the appropriate payees, Ross shall pay a total of eighty-five
27 thousand dollars and zero cents (\$85,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all
28 claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney’s fees, expert fees or any other claim for

1 costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in
2 the Notices or Complaints identified in Sections 1.4 and 1.5, as follows:

3 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty:** Ross shall issue two separate checks totaling nine thousand seven
4 hundred and twenty dollars (\$9,720.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health
5 & Safety Code § 25249.12:

6 (a) Ross will issue one check made payable to the State of California’s Office of
7 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) in the amount of seven thousand two
8 hundred and ninety dollars (\$7,290.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Ross will
9 issue a second check to CAG in the amount of two thousand four hundred and thirty dollars
10 (\$2,430.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;

11 (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Ross will issue a 1099
12 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of
13 \$7,290.00. Ross will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$2,430.00 and deliver it to CAG
14 c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills,
15 California 90212.

16 4.1.2 **Additional Settlement Payments:** Ross shall issue one check for seven thousand
17 two hundred and eighty dollars (\$7,280.00) to “Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.” pursuant to
18 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d).
19 CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for
20 fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical in various
21 products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but
22 not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to the Proposition
23 65 Listed Chemical, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the
24 extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future
25 litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for
26 administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public’s exposure
27 to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be
28 responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to

1 reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level
2 of the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and
3 tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance,
4 computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG’s member’s time for work
5 done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage Within 30 days of a request
6 from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation
7 demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for
8 ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

9 **4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs:** Ross shall issue a check in the
10 amount of sixty-eight thousand dollars (\$68,000.00) payable to “Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi”
11 as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys’
12 fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating,
13 bringing this matter to the Settling Defendant’ attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in
14 the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

15 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in
16 paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi,
17 Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The
18 payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to Office of Environmental Health Hazard
19 Assessment, OEHHA, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B Sacramento, California 95812, Attn: Mike
20 Gyurics. Ross shall provide written confirmation to CAG of the payment to OEHHA.

21 **5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT**

22 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on
23 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Ross and its owners, officers, directors, insurers,
24 employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister
25 companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns (collectively, “Defendant Releasees”),
26 and all entities to whom Ross directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products,
27 including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers,
28 retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and

1 assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products
2 (“Downstream Defendant Releasees”), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of
3 Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products manufactured,
4 distributed or sold by Ross up through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and
5 Complaints. Ross and Defendant Releasees’ compliance with this Consent Judgment shall
6 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals
7 from Covered Products sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after
8 the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG’s right to commence or prosecute an
9 action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant
10 Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

11 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys,
12 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or
13 indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all
14 actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations,
15 damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation
16 fees, expert fees, and attorneys’ fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown,
17 fixed or contingent (collectively “Claims”), against Defendant Releasees and Downstream
18 Defendant Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other
19 statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold
20 by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to
21 warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products. In furtherance of the
22 foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it
23 now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the
24 Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant Releasees through the
25 Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common
26 law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from Covered Products
27 by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as
28 follows:

1 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE
2 CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO
3 EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE
4 RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE
5 MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE
6 DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

7 CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of
8 California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or
9 resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any
10 violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered
11 Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date
12 regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the
13 Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other
14 relief against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG
15 acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation
16 of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about
17 exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this
18 release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their
19 decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the
20 result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

21 **6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

22 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to
23 California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

24 6.2 Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this
25 action shall be deemed amended to include all the claims raised in the Notices outlined in
26 Section 1.3.

27 6.3 Within five business days of the Effective Date, CAG shall file requests for
28 dismissal without prejudice for the claims contained in the Notices as alleged in Complaint 2,
Complaint 3, Complaint 4, and Complaint 5.

1 6.4 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent
2 Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate
3 and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the
4 execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft
5 thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement
6 discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any
7 purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to
8 determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

9 **7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT**

10 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the
11 Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of
12 any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to
14 meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

15 **8. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

16 8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties
17 hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of
18 California, County of Los Angeles, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and
19 conditions contained herein.

20 **9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

21 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the
22 terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

23 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the
24 prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

25 **10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

26 10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the
27 California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment
28 prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. The hearing on CAG's motion to approve this

1 Consent Judgment shall be no sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has
2 received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment.

3 **11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

4 11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
5 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions,
6 negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or
7 otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party
8 hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be
9 deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

10 **12. ATTORNEY FEES**

11 12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 9.1 and 9.2, each Party shall
12 bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent
13 Judgment.

14 **13. GOVERNING LAW**

15 13.1 The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall
16 be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law
17 provisions of California law.

18 13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered
19 inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are
20 rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or
21 rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products or Listed
22 Chemicals, then Ross may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and
23 shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the
24 extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be
25 interpreted to relieve Ross from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or
26 federal law or regulation.

27 13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this
28 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This

1 Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted
2 and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or
3 ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result
4 of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment
5 agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved
6 against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment
7 and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

8 **14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

9 14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of
10 facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute
11 one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

12 **15. NOTICES**

13 15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail.
14 If to CAG:

15 Reuben Yeroushalmi
16 reuben@yeroshalmi.com
17 Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
18 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
19 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

20 If to Defendant Ross Stores, Inc.:

21 General Counsel
22 Ross Stores, Inc.
23 5130 Hacienda Drive
24 Dublin, CA 94568

25 With a copy to:

26 Jeffrey Margulies
27 jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com
28 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071

1 **16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE**

2 16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
3 by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf
4 of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

5
6 AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

7
8 Date: August 29, 2023

Date: August 28, 2023

9
10 Michael Marcus

Kevin Andrew Clunis

11
12 Name: Michael Marcus

Name: Kevin Andrew Clunis

13
14 Title: Director

Title: Senior Vice President, Legal

15 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

ROSS STORES, INC.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Date: 10/19/2023



Armen Tamzarian

Armen Tamzarian / Judge

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT