

1 Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366) Environmental Research Center, Inc. 2 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 JUN 2 2 2021 San Diego, CA 92108 3 Ph: (619) 500-3090 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 4 Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org Debuty 5 Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. 6 Ryan S. Landis (SBN 201707) 7 Polsinelli LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900 8 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Ph: (310) 203-5313 Email: rlandis@polsinelli.com 10 Attorney for Defendants Detox Organics, LLC, MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics, and MMorelli, LLC, individually 11 and dba Detox Organics 12 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 15 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CASE NO. RG20068998 16 CENTER, INC., a California non-profit 17 corporation STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 18 Plaintiff, Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 19 VS. Action Filed: July 27, 2020 20 **DETOX ORGANICS, LLC;** Trial Date: None set MORELLIFIT, LLC, individually and dba 21 DETOX ORGANICS; MMORELLI, LLC, individually and dba DETOX ORGANICS; 22 and DOES 1-100 23 Defendants. 24 25 **INTRODUCTION** 1. 26 On July 27, 2020, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a 27 1.1

non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by

28

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Detox Organics, LLC (hereinafter "Detox Organics"), MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics, and MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics and Does 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Detox Organics contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) Detox Organics Daily Protein Vanilla Weight Loss Shake, (2) Detox Organics Daily Superfoods Chocolate Detox Shake, and (3) Detox Organics Thermo Boost Metabolism & Thyroid Support.

- 1.2 ERC and Detox Organics are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Detox Organics. LLC is a business entity which has employed ten or more persons at certain times relevant to this action, and during those times qualified as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Detox Organics manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated March 19, 2020 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Detox Organics ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated March 19, 2020 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Detox Organics and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint

against Detox Organics with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving clear and reasonable warnings from Detox Organics, which is in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Detox Organics denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Detox Organics as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, Detox Organics shall be permanently

28

1

enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product that exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2. The injunctive relief requirements imposed in Section 3 of this Consent Judgment shall be in effect only during time periods in which Detox Organics employs ten or more persons. If Detox Organics contends that the injunctive relief requirements in Section 3 of this Consent Judgment are not in effect because Detox Organics no longer employs ten or more persons, Detox Organics must take the following actions: (a) send ERC written notification within fifteen (15) days of invoking relief under this Section; (b) provide ERC with copies of the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form 941 ("Form 941") for the quarter during which Detox Organics provides the notification and the immediately preceding quarter; and (c) provide ERC with a copies of the Form 941 either: (i) for the four calendar quarters following the quarter during which notification was provided pursuant to this Section 3.1 or (ii) for each quarter, following the quarter during which notification was provided pursuant to this Section 3.1, until Detox Organics employs ten or more people, whichever comes first. If the number of persons employed by Detox Organics increases to ten or more at any time after the date that Detox Organics notifies ERC that the number of its employees was less than ten, Detox Organics shall send ERC a written notification that the number of Detox Organics's employees has increased to ten or more within thirty (30) days after the date that the number of Detox Organics's employees increases to ten or more. Detox Organics shall provide each Form 941 referenced herein to ERC within thirty (30) days from the date it is provided to the IRS.

- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Detox Organics knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- **3.1.2** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:

micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Detox Organics is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, one of the following warnings must be utilized ("Warning"):

Option 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Detox Organics shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if Detox Organics has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if Detox Organics has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

The Parties acknowledge that the above Warning is the Safe Harbor Warning Language for foods and dietary supplements currently set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25607.2 (2020). In the event that the warning language in section 25607.2 is amended or modified in the future to require or allow for different warning language, and such amendment or modification is applicable to the nutritional supplement products at issue in this case, Detox Organics may use the Safe Harbor Warning Language in section 25607.2 as amended or modified.

Option 2

Detox Organics may elect to use the following Short-Form Warning:

⚠ WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm – www.P65 Wanrings.ca.gov. For Option 2, the entire Warning must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type

9

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

20

21

19

22 23

24

27

25 26 28

used for other consumer information on the product. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. See Title 27, Cal. Code Regs., § 25600.1(c) (2020). In no case shall the Warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type. In addition, for Option 2, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline shall be placed to the left of the text of the Warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white.

The Parties acknowledge that the Short Form Warning is a permissible Safe Harbor Warning for foods and dietary supplements and is set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25602(a)(4) (2020). In the event that the Short Form Warning language in section 25602(a)(4) is amended or modified in the future to require or allow for different warning language, and such amendment or modification is applicable to the nutritional supplement products at issue in this case, Detox Organics may use the Short Form Warning language in section 25602(a)(4) as amended or modified.

Regardless of whether Option 1 or Option 2 is used, the Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the label of each Covered Product. The Warning must be enclosed in a box that contains no other information. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall be displayed as follows: (a) on the primary display page for the applicable Covered Product; (b) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" (in all capital and bold letters) on the Covered Product's primary display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Option 1 Warning without content that detracts from the Warning; (c) on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product and with an asterisk or other identifying method to identify which product(s) on the checkout page are subject to the Warning; or (d) by otherwise prominently displaying the Warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase, as provided under California Code of Regulations Title 27, Article 6, section

25602(b) (2020). A Warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the website or on the label and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning.

Detox Organics must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate container or wrapper.

3.3 Conforming Covered Products

A Conforming Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the testing methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Detox Organics shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of three (3) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Detox Organics intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, Detox Organics changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the

 Covered Products, Detox Organics shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two (2) consecutive years after such change is made.

- **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the three (3) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, quantification, sensitivity, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- **3.4.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Detox Organics's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, Detox Organics shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. Detox Organics shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.
- 3.4.7 The testing requirements of this Section 3.4 do not apply to any Covered Product for which Detox Organics has provided a Warning in compliance with Section 3.2 continuously and uninterrupted after the Effective Date; however, in the event Detox Organics ceases to provide the Warning in compliance with Section 3.2, Detox Organics shall be required to comply with the testing requirements of this Section beginning immediately after the date the Warning ceases to be provided or one year after the Effective Date, whichever date is later, unless Detox Organics can show to the satisfaction of ERC that the cessation in

7

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

28

26 27 providing the Warning was a temporary error that was resolved when discovered.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments. attorney's fees, and costs, Detox Organics shall make a total payment of \$95,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC in three periodic payments (the "Periodic Payments") according to the following payment schedule ("Due Dates"):
 - Payment 1 -- \$31,666.67 within 5 days of the Effective Date
 - Payment 2 -- \$31,666.67 within 35 days of the Effective Date
 - Payment 3 -- \$31,666.66 within 65 days of the Effective Date

Detox Organics shall make these Periodic Payments by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give Detox Organics the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

- 4.2 \$51,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$38,250.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$12,750.00) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$1,799.90 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$37,847.69 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary

8

22

28

supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a selftesting program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

\$4,352.41 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as 4.5

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

4.6 In the event that Detox Organics fails to remit the full Periodic Payments owed under Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment on or before the applicable Due Date, Detox Organics shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Detox Organics via electronic mail. If Detox Organics fails to deliver the delinquent payment within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount, less any amounts previously paid pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be immediately due and owing and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, Detox Organics agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment(s) due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Detox Organics seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Detox Organics must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Detox Organics within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Detox Organics in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Detox Organics a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

5.3 In the event that Detox Organics initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, Detox Organics shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application. If the modifications to the Consent Judgment are minor changes to the injunctive provisions (Sections 3.1-3.4) merely to allow Detox Organics to warn using methods and language that are authorized under any amendments to the Proposition 65 statute and regulations applicable to the Covered Products, ERC will have no right to recover its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Conforming Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Detox Organics in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Detox Organics to identify the Covered Products at issue. Detox Organics shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating Detox Organics's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not used by California consumers.

8.

8.1

the Effective Date.

8.2

7

13

12

1415

16 17

18

19 20

2122

Judgment.

8.3

2324

25

26

2728

Page 13 of 19

including all rights of action therefore. ERC, Detox Organics, MorelliFit, LLC and MMorelli,

BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Detox Organics and its respective officers.

franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Detox Organics),

directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers,

distributors, wholesalers, retailers, Amazon, and all other upstream and downstream entities in

the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of

any of them, and including MorelliFit, LLC and MMorelli, LLC (collectively, "Released

Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and

discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits,

been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any

demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have

alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to

provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including

MMorelli, LLC, on their own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they

may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of

Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in

Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent

alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be

discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and Detox Organics, MorelliFit, LLC and MMorelli,

LLC, on behalf of themselves only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly

intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date,

seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and

ERC on its own behalf only, and Detox Organics, MorelliFit, LLC and

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC.

Case No. RG20068998

LLC acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

ERC on behalf of itself only, and Detox Organics, MorelliFit, LLC and MMorelli, LLC, on behalf of themselves only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- **8.4** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- 8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Detox Organics's products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or via electronic mail where required. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

///

1	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:				
2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
3	3 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108				
4	4 Ph: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris.heptinstall@erc501c3.org				
5	Email: omis.nepmistan@cresores.org				
6	With a copy to:				
7	Charles W. Poss Environmental Research Center, Inc.				
8	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400				
9	San Diego, CA 92108 Ph: (619) 500-3090				
10	Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org				
l 1	FOR DETOX ORGANICS, LLC; MORELLIFIT, LLC, individually and dba DETOX				
12	ORGANICS; MMORELLI, LLC, individually and dba DETOX ORGANICS: Justin Girolimon,CFO				
13	Detox Organics, LLC 1140 W. Alameda Dr. Ste 2 Tempe, ZA 85282				
14					
15	With a copy to:				
16	Ryan S. Landis Polsinelli LLP				
17	2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900				
18	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 203-5313				
ا وا	Email: rlandis@polsinelli.com				
20	12. COURT APPROVAL				
21	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a				
22	Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this				
23	Consent Judgment.				
24	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,				
25	the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner and, if possible,				
26	prior to the hearing on the motion.				
27	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be				
28	void and have no force or effect.				

Page 15 of 19
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG20068998

///

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

Either party, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, may enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: _______, 2020

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

CENTER, INC

Chris Henring & Executive Directo

1	Dated:	_, 2020	DETOX ORGANICS, LLC
2			
3		•	
4			By: Justin Girolimon Its: Chief Financial Officer
5			its. Chief Phiancial Officer
6			
7	Dated:	_, 2020	MORELLIFIT, LLC, individually and dba
8			DETOX ORGANICS
9			
10			By:
11			Its:
12			
13	Dated:	, 2020	MMORELLI, LLC, individually and dba DETOX ORGANICS
14			
15			<u> </u>
16			By: Its:
17 18			113.
19	APPROVED AS TO FO	DM.	
20	ATTROVEDASTOTO	'IXIVI.	
21	Dated: November 25	, 2020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
22			CENTER, INC.
23			Ву:
24			Charles W. Poss In-House Counsel
25			
26			
27			
28			
			·
	Page 18 of 19 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG20068		
.		SIII CEATED CON	SENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG20068998

	1 (
1	Dated: November 24, 2020	DETOX ORGANICS, LLC	
2			
3			
4		By: Justin Girolimon	
5		Its: Chief Financial Officer	
6			
7	Dated: November 24, 2020	MORELLIFIT, LLC, individually and dba	
8		DETOX ORGANICS	
9	·		
10			
11		By: Michael Morelli Jr. Its: Owner	
12		•	
13	Dated: November 24, 2020	MMORELLI, LLC, individually and dba	
14		DETOX ORGANICS	
15			
16		By: Michael Morelli Jr.	
17		Its: Owner	
18			
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
20		,	
21	Dated: November 25, 2020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
22		CENTER, INC.	
23		Ву:	
24		Charles W. Poss In-House Counsel	
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Page 18 of 19		
	STIPULATEI	D CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG20068998	

Case No. RG20068998

	H	
1	Dated: November 25, 2020 POLSINELLI LLP	
2		
3	By:	
4	Ryan S. Landis	
5	Attorney for Detox Organics, LLC, MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba	
6	Detox Organics, and MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics	
7	marvidually and doa Delox Organies	
8		
9	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
10	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is	
11	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.	
13		
14	Dated: 6/22, 2020/ Juffy 5	
15	Judge of the Superior Court	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 11		

Page 19 of 19
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG20068998

EXHIBIT A



Environmental Research Center

3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 619-500-3090

March 19, 2020

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 *ET SEQ.* (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I am the Executive Director of Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"). ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

<u>Alleged Violators</u>. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

Detox Organics, LLC MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemical</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Detox Organics Daily Protein Vanilla Weight Loss Shake Lead
- 2. Detox Organics Daily Superfoods Chocolate Detox Shake Lead
- 3. Detox Organics Thermo Boost Metabolism & Thyroid Support Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to this chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least March 19, 2017, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemical. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to this chemical.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC at the above listed address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

SA MAN

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Detox Organics, LLC; MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics; and MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Detox Organics, LLC; MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics; and MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics

I, Chris Heptinstall, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am the Executive Director for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

,		
Dated: March 19, 2020		
	Chris Heptinstall	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On March 19, 2020, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Detox Organics, LLC 1140 W Alameda Dr Ste 2 Tempe, AZ 85282

Current President or CEO Detox Organics, LLC 7878 E Gainey Ranch Rd, Unit 11 Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Current President or CEO Detox Organics, LLC 4620 E Elwood St, Ste 7 Phoenix, AZ 85040

Current President or CEO Detox Organics, LLC 4602 E Elwood St, Ste 16 Phoenix, AZ 85040

Current President or CEO Detox Organics, LLC 15345 N Scottsdale Rd, Unit 4002 Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Current President or CEO MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics 1140 W Alameda Dr, Ste 2 Tempe, AZ 85282

Current President or CEO MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics 1140 W Alameda Dr, Ste 2 Tempe, AZ 85282 Michael Morelli Jr. (Registered Agent for Detox Organics, LLC) 7878 E. Gainey Ranch Rd, Unit 11 Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Current President or CEO MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics 4602 E Elwood St, Ste 16 Phoenix, AZ 85040

Christina Preuss (Registered Agent for MorelliFit, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics) 4602 E Elwood St, Ste 16 Phoenix, AZ 85040

Current President or CEO MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics 11947 E Parkview Lane Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Michael Morelli Jr. (Registered Agent for MMorelli, LLC, individually and dba Detox Organics) 11947 E Parkview Lane Scottsdale, AZ 85255

On March 19, 2020, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 19, 2020, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney Alameda County 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney Inyo County 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us Gary Lieberstein, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney San Diego County 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On March 19, 2020, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on March 19, 2020, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Dunwoody

Service List

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 778 Pacific St. Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 District Attorney, Los Angeles County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple St., Ste 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023 District Attorney, San Bernardino County 303 West Third Street San Bernadino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County 100 Courthouse Square, 2nd Floor Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 463 2nd Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012 San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.1 These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65 list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employes a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case # RG20 068998

Case Name: Environmental Research Center, Inc. vs. Detox Organics, LLC

Document: Stipulated Consent Judgment

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the following is true and correct:

reentily that the following is true and correct:		
I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 1225 Fallon St 2 nd Floor, California. I served this Order by:		
First Class Mail (CCP 1013a) – Placing copies in envelope(s) addressed as shown below and then by sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail in Oakland, California, following standard court practices.		
Electronic Service (CCP1010.6(C)(3) CRC 2.251(j)) – below, following standard court practices.	Emailing copies to the email addresses as shown	
Date: 06/22/2021	Chad Finke Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court	
,	By Maya Walker, Deputy Clerk	

Environmental Research Center, Inc. Attn: C. Poss 311 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 charles.poss@erc501c3.org

Polsinelli LLP Attn: R. Landis 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 rlandis@polsinelli.com