| · . · · · | | |
|--|--|---|
| Electronically Received 11/25/2020 02:32 PM 1 01 6 8 2 9 5 7 8 2 1 1 25/2020 02:32 PM | Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY | |
| A 9 IN 9 IN 10 IN 11 IN 12 IN 12 IN 14 IN 15 IA 10 IN 10 | APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company, Plaintiff, v. ZOETOP BUSINESS CO., LIMITED, a corporation, SHEIN FASHION GROUP, INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. /// /// /// /// /// /// /// / | <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header> |
| | | 1 |

1 2 8 2 Electronically Received 11/25/2020 02:32 PM

Consent Judgment

1. RECITALS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

1.1 **The Parties**

1.1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between APS&EE, LLC ("APS&EE") and Zoetop Business Co., Limited ("Zoetop") and Shein Fashion Group, Inc. ("SFG") (Zoetop and SFG are herein collectively referred to as, "Settling Defendants"). APS&EE and Settling Defendants shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

1.1.2 APS&EE alleges that it is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.

1.1.3 APS&EE alleges that Settling Defendants are persons in the course of 12 doing business as the term is defined in Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, et seq. and its implementing regulations ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

1.2.1 APS&EE alleges that Settling Defendants sold handbags, purses, and clutches, including but not limited to Bag 03191018621 and Bag 03191105517, via Zoetop's websites (Romwe.com, Shein.com, Sheinoutlet.com, and/or Emmacloth.com), and occasionally at temporary physical pop-up retail stores operated by SFG (hereinafter collectively the "Products"), to consumers in the State of California causing them to be exposed to levels of Lead, Di-n-Butyl Phthalate ("DBP") and Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate ("DEHP") above regulatory safe harbor levels without providing "clear and reasonable warnings," in violation of Proposition 65.

1.2.2 On March 14, 2019, APS&EE issued a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation to Zoetop, SFG, Shein, Shein Group Ltd, EC Best Service, Inc., and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to exposures above safe

27

28

02/03/2021

harbor levels as to Lead, DBP, and DEHP from the Products.¹ On May 12, 2020, APS&EE issued a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (collectively, the "Notices") to Zoetop, SFG, EC Best Service, Inc., and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to exposures above regulatory safe harbor levels to DBP and DEHP from the Products. Acting in the public interest, APS&EE filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

Settling Defendants deny all allegations in APS&EE's Notices and Complaint and maintain that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that they have not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Settling Defendants, but to the contrary, as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over the Parties as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.6 Effective Date

¹The March 14, 2019 Notice was previously settled by out-of-court settlement agreement on July 25, 2019. This Consent Judgment supersedes the terms of that out-of-court settlement agreement, and the monetary payments described herein are in addition to payments made pursuant to the July 25, 2019 settlement agreement.

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by 2 the Court.

2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not distribute, sell or offer for sale to consumers the Products in California unless (a) the Products contain no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) of DEHP or DBP by weight, and no more than 200 parts per million (0.02%) of Lead by weight ("Reformulated Product") when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 6010B, 3050B, 3580A, 8270C, or equivalent methodologies used by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining lead or phthalate content in a solid substance, or (b) the Products are distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2.2 **Clear And Reasonable Warnings**

2.2.1 As of the Effective Date, any sale to consumers in California of any Products that are not Reformulated Products shall be accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning. Settling Defendants shall use a warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording substantially similar to the following:

(Long-Form Warning):

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including [DEHP and/or DBP] and/or Lead]², which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or

(Short-Form Warning):

WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. When the warning is placed on the

4

² In accordance with 27 Cal. Code Regs., section 25603, operative Aug. 30, 2018, the warning must expressly identify at least one of the Listed Chemicals present in the Product, as applicable. Language within the brackets is optional.

label, but the label is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

2.2.2 As of the Effective Date, each Product sold at a popup store operated by SFG or that is otherwise available at a physical store in California shall carry a warning directly on each unit's label, hangtag, or package, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale. Alternatively, Settling Defendants may provide the Long-Form warning by shelf-tag or through an electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser (e.g., such as on a self-checkout screen and not solely on a purchase receipt) prior to completion of the purchase of the Product.

2.2.3 As of the Effective Date, Setting Defendants shall provide the Long-Form 12 warning for each Product they sell on the internet to California consumers (or the Short-Form 13 Warning, but only if it is also provided on the Product's label or package) on the product display 14 page, the shopping cart page, or the checkout page. If the warning is provided on the product 15 display page, it shall be provided conspicuously on the page or by a clearly marked hyperlink on 16 the page. If the warning is provided on the shopping cart page or checkout page, it shall either be 17 provided: (1) conspicuously by hyperlink from a hazard triangle next to each product requiring a 18 warning, or (2) a hazard triangle next to each Product requiring a warning, with a matching 19 hazard triangle to the left of the text of the warning found conspicuously on the page, for 20 example, immediately below the products listed in the shopping cart or checkout. A hazard 21 triangle shall consist of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold 22 black outline. For Products that Settling Defendants provide for another entity to sell on the 23 internet, Settling Defendants shall either place a warning on the Product's label or provide notice 24 to such entity pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25600.2(b) of the warning message to be 25 provided for any online sales of the Product. Settling Defendants are not responsible for 26 implementation of the online warning beyond such actions for third-party websites that are not 27 operated or controlled by Setting Defendants. 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. <u>PAYMENTS</u>

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Zoetop shall collectively pay a total civil penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,000.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) for APS&EE.

Zoetop shall issue these payments collectively as part of the total payment described below in Section 3.2 via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak. After receipt of the wire transfer, Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak shall be responsible for forwarding the respective payments to OEHHA and APS&EE.

3.2 Reimbursement Of APS&EE's Fees And Costs

Settling Defendants shall reimburse APS&EE's experts' and attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment, in the amount of twenty-six thousand dollars (\$26,000.00). Accordingly, along with the civil penalty described above in Section 3.1, Zoetop shall remit total payment via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in the amount of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000.00). Zoetop shall remit the payment within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. Wire instructions shall be exchanged between the parties' counsel following execution of this Agreement.

4. <u>RELEASES</u>

4.1 APS&EE's Release

APS&EE, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases Zoetop, Shein, Shein Group Ltd., SFG, EC Best Service, Inc., and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, principals, owners, investors, insurers, successors and assignees, as well as their downstream distributors, retailers, and customers (collectively "Releasees"), from any and all Proposition 65 violation claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities,

2/03/202

damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted,
regarding failure to warn about exposures to listed chemicals from the Products that Settling
Defendants have sold from the beginning of time up to and including the Effective Date.
APS&EE also releases Releasees from any cause of action it may have related to alleged breach
of the Settlement Agreement between APS&EE and Zoetop, executed July 25, 2019.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4.2 Settling Defendants' Release

Settling Defendants, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against APS&EE, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Settling Defendants in this matter. If any Releasee should institute any such action, then APS&EE's release of said Releasee in this Consent Judgment shall be rendered void and unenforceable.

14

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint, and relating to the Products, will develop or be discovered in the future. Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or nonstatutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, APS&EE shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court without additional consideration. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

5.

ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

APS&EE may by motion attempt to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion to enforce, APS&EE shall provide written notice of the issue and meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion for at least thirty (30) days in an attempt to resolve it informally. No enforcement motion shall be filed during the 30-day meet-and-confer period. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, APS&EE may file its enforcement motion. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties or by an appropriate public enforcer.

7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

8. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

9. <u>NOTICE</u>

All correspondence and Notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail or email, addressed as follows:

| | TO Settling Defendants: | TO APS&EE: |
|-----|---|---|
| | Will Wagner, Esq. Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1201 K Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814 wagnerw@gtlaw.com | Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 lucas.nvk@gmail.com |
| - 1 | | |

10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

02/03/2021

11. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

11/12/20 Mutorized Representative of APS&EE, LLC

Nov 13, 2020 1911 - Tim WEI

Authorized Representative of Zoetop Business Co., Limited

AGREED TO: 8

9 Date:

By: 10

11

12

14

16

17

22

23

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

AGREED TO: 13 Date:

By: 15

18 19 20 21

02/03/202

Authorized Representative of Shein Fashion Group, Inc.

11-13-20

IT IS SO ORDERED.

AGREED TO:

Dated: 24

Date:

By:

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

10