1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Shannon E. Royster (SBN 314126)	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles			
2	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI				
3	An Association of Independent Law Corporatio				
	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212	Sherri R. Carter Expansive Office Contact			
4	Telephone: 310.623.1926	ByRobert R. Alva			
5	Facsimile: 310.623.1930	listeria. , par			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff,				
7	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
10	CONSTRUED ADVIOCACY GROUP INC.	CASE NO. 10STCV27257			
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO. 19STCV27357			
12		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
13	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.			
14	V;	-			
15	GAJU MARKET CORPORATION DBA	Complaint Filed: August 5, 2019			
16	CALIFORNIA MARKET, a California Corporation; and DOES 1-10.	Complaint Fied. August 3, 2017			
17	Corporation, and DOES 1-10.				
18	Defendants <u>.</u>				
19					
20	The state of the s				
21					
22	With the second	•			
23	1. INTRODUCTION				
24	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer				
25	Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest				
26	and Defendant GAJU MARKET CORPORATION DBA CALIFORNIA MARKET,				
27	("Defendant") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."				
28		·			
	Page 1 of 13				
r.	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]				
: :					

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

1.2 **Defendants and Covered Products**

- 1.2.1 CAG alleges that Defendant is a Corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- CAG alleges that Defendant manufactures, sells, or distributes Kitchen Accessories in California.

1.3 Listed Chemicals

Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and Developmental and other reproductive harm.

1.4 **Notices of Violation**

- On or about March 14, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Tongs with Plastic Handles sold by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about September 11, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" that provided Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Tongs with Plastic Handles sold by Defendant in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5 Complaint

1.5.1 On August 5, 2019, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV27357. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in Tongs with Plastic Handles that Defendant distributed and/or sold in California.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Defendant contained in the Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notice or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal

proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Tongs with Plastic Handles, which includes but is not limited to: "LXD;" "MADEIN CHINA;" "14;" HQ S/S TONG (J0189);" "893483703304" sold by or supplied by Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "DEHP" means Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
 - 2.4 "Listed Chemical" means DEHP.
 - 2.5 "Notice" means the March 14, 2019 notice.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell, offer for sale, or order for sale any Covered Products unless the level of DEHP in the Covered Products does not exceed more than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) by weight.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products whose DEHP content exceeds .1% still existing in Defendant inventory as of the Effective Date, Defendant shall place a clear and reasonable warning Proposition 65 compliant warning on them, consistent with 27 CCR section 25600 et seq. In consideration of the fact that Defendants have agreed to only order for manufacture reformulated Covered products, parties agree to the following language for Covered Products in existing inventory that contain more than 0.1% DEHP by weight:
 - MARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Bis(2-ethylhexyl)

 phthalate (DEHP) which is known to the State of California to cause Cancer, birth defects

 or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- 3.3 Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging or hangtag of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before

purchase or use. The equilateral triangle pictogram shall be in yellow with a black exclamation mark; provided however, the pictogram may be in white instead of yellow if the Covered Product label does not contain the color yellow.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date,
 Defendant shall pay a total of sixty-five thousand dollars (\$65,000) in full and complete
 settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any
 other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have
 been asserted in the Notice or Complaint, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling Seven Thousand Four Hundred and Forty dollars (\$7,440.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of Five Thousand Five Hundred and Eighty dollars (\$5,580.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty dollars (\$1,860.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of Seven Thousand Four Hundred and Forty dollars (\$7,440.00). Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty dollars (\$1,860.00) and deliver it to CAG c/o YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 240W, Beverly Hills California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant shall issue one check for Five Thousand Five Hundred and Sixty dollars (\$5,560.00) to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty five

percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; fifteen percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Defendant shall issue a check in the amount of Fifty-two Thousand dollars (\$52,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Defendant's attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be mailed to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be mailed directly to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Defendant shall provide written confirmation to CAG concurrently with payment to OEHHA.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and their owners, officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant up through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and Complaint. Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees (collectively the "Released Parties").
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation

fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not

know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5.2.1 CAG further agrees that should it determine that any future Covered Products are being offered for sale by Defendant in violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq., CAG shall provide the Defendant with notice thereof and five (5) days to cease the sale of any such offending Covered Products; if such Covered Products are permanently removed from sale by the Defendant within the foregoing five (5) day period, and notice of the removal provided to CAG per section 14.1, below within the same five (5) day period, CAG shall not commence a legal action against the Defendant, or seek the institution of penalties against Defendant, based thereon.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties agree to act in good faith to obtain Court approval of the Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing and trial on the allegations in the Notices and Complaint.
- 6.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

11.1

28

This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the 7.1 Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to 7.2 meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the 8.1 terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment other 8.2 than in seeking the Court's approval of said Consent Judgment as set forth in paragraph 6 herein above, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the 9.1 California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may then submit it to the Court for approval.

10. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 10.1 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

11. **ATTORNEY FEES**

Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its

own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

12. GOVERNING LAW

- 12.1 The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 12.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- 12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

Page 11 of 13

1	14.	NOTI	CES	
2		14.1	Any notices under this Consen	t Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail.
3:		If to C		
4.		Reuben Yeroushalmi YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI		
5		Beverl	Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W y Hills, CA 90212	-
6		(310) (Email:	523-1926 lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com	
7			efendant:	
8			R. Klein R. KLEIN & WOLFE LLP	
9		9401 V	Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 640	
10			y Hills, CA 90212 78-4300	, t
11			jrk@fisherwolfe.com	
12				#L #: -
13	15.	•	IORITY TO STIPULATE	
14		15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
15	by the	by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf		
16	of the	of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.		
17				
18	AGR	EED TO	Dş.	AGREED TO:
19		A	:i <i>79</i>	
20	Date	$A\rho$	ril 8, 2022 Ll Marcue	Date:
21	- 4	ينتير م	. 221	
22	<u>in line line</u>	<i>M</i>	Il Marine	
23		ñ.A	. # 1.55	
24	Name	: <u>M</u>	Chael Marcus Director	Name Hyun Sunno Al
25	\$ \$	1	M	
26	Title:	<u> </u>	rector	Title: C. C.
- 1	CON	SUMER	RADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	GAJU MARKET CORPORATION DBA
27	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			CALIFORNIA MARKET
28				
4 margraph	l L	Page 12 of 13.		
~; ;	k e interes	CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPOSED)		
-1;1	i\$"			

	Claimtiff is ordered to give notice of this Order
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	Date: 8/23/22 June a. Benulet
4	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
~~	uk

Page 13 of 13