	I and the second	
1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI*	FILED
2	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (310) 623-1926	10/18/2023
4	Facsimile: (310) 623-1930	By: A. Williams Deputy
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
8		20011102220
9 10	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO. 20STCV34003
		<del>[PROPOSED]</del> CONSENT JUDGMENT
11	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
12	V.	
13		Complaint Filed: September 4, 2020
14	ROSS STORES, INC., a Delaware	
15	Corporation; MYSTIC APPAREL, LLC, a New York	
16	Limited Liability Company;	
17	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC., a New York Corporation;	
18	and DOES 1-160;	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22		by and batyyaan Plaintiff Canayman Advagaay
23		by and between Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy
24	Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and	
25	Defendant Ross Stores, Inc. ("Ross" or "Settling Defendant"), each a party to the action and	
26	collectively referred to as "Parties" or individually referred to as "Party."	
27	1.2 Defendants and Covered Prod	lucts
28		
I	I and the second	

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

Page 1 of 15

- 1.2.1 CAG alleges that Ross Stores, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Ross is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 *et seq*. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2.3 CAG alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, sells, and/or distributes consumer products in California.

### **Listed Chemicals**

- 1.2.1 Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate ("DEHP"), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2.2 Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") has been listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer.

#### Notices of Violation

- 1.3.1 On or about August 29, 2018, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2018-01615) ("August 29, 2018 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Tote Bags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 29, 2018 Notice.
- 1.3.2 On or about October 18, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2019-01955) ("October 18, 2019 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Handbags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 18, 2019 Notice.
- 1.3.3 On or about October 18, 2019 CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2019-01959)

("October 18, 2019 Notice II") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health &
Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DINP
contained in certain Clear Floral Tote that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or
diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the October 18, 2019 Notice II.

- 1.3.4 On or about November 19, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2019-02158) ("November 19, 2019 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP and DINP contained in certain Black Floral Tote and Pink Paris Tote Bags that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 19, 2019 Notice.
- 1.3.5 On or about December 16, 2019, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2019-02367) ("December 16, 2019 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Tote Bag Sets that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 16, 2019 Notice.
- 1.3.6 On or about March 10, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2020-00623) ("March 10, 2020 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain 3 Pc Cosmetic Cases that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 10, 2020 Notice.
- 1.3.7. On or about June 12, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2020-01462) ("June 12, 2020 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in

certain Quilted Bag that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 12, 2020 Notice.

1.3.8 On or about August 18, 2020, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2020-02155) ("August 18, 2020 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Cosmetic Bag Set that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 18, 2020 Notice.

1.3.10 On or about April 30, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-01088) ("April 30, 2021 Notice") that provided Ross with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in certain Black Purses that Ross sells. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the April 30, 2021 Notice.

# Complaints

- 1.4.1 On March 27, 2019 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG19012558, and thereafter filed an amended complaint on December 17, 2019 against Ross and other parties. On May 11, 2020, CAG filed a Notice of Errata attaching the correct version of the amended complaint, which is the operative complaint ("Complaint 1"). Complaint 1 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Tote Bag.
- 1.4.2 On August 17, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 2") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV31340 against Ross and other parties. Complaint 2 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Tote Bag Set, Black Floral Tote, Clear Floral Tote, and Handbag.

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law 1.4.3 On September 4, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint in this matter for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 3") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV34003, against Ross and other parties. Complaint 3 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from 3 Pc Cosmetic Cases.

1.4.4 On December 1, 2020 CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV45871, and thereafter filed an amended complaint on December 23, 2020 against Ross and others ("Complaint 4"). Complaint 4 alleges, among other things, that Ross violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Listed Chemicals from Cosmetic Bag Set and Quilted Bag.

#### 1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints, personal jurisdiction over Ross as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Ross contained in the Complaints, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

#### 1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notices or the Complaints, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the

27

28

EROUSHALMI

&
YEROUSHALMI
\*An Independent
Association of Law

meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Ross, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

# 2. **DEFINITIONS**

"Covered Products" means Tote Bags, Handbags, Clear Floral Tote, Black Floral Tote, Pink Paris Tote Bag, Tote Bag Set, 3 Pc Cosmetic Cases, Quilted Bag, Cosmetic Bag Set, and Black Purse. The Covered Products are limited to the SKU numbers identified below.

Tote Bags means Tote Bags with SKU 400176264204.

Handbags means Handbags with SKU 400193109779.

Clear Floral Tote means Clear Floral Tote with SKU 400200981008.

Black Floral Tote means Black Floral Tote with SKU 400200980995.

Pink Paris Tote Bag means Pink Paris Tote Bag with SKU 400200980957.

Tote Bag Set means Tote Bag Set with SKU 400200980933 and SKU 400200980964.

3 Pc Cosmetic Cases means 3 Pc Cosmetic Cases with SKU 400201598144.

Quilted Bag means Quilted Bag with SKU 400200812234.

Cosmetic Bag Set means Cosmetic Bag Set with SKU 400203626784 and SKU 400203626777.

Black Purse means Black Purse with SKU 400224082972.

"Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

"DEHP" means Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate

"DINP" means Diisononyl Phthalate.

13

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

EROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independen Association of Lav

"Listed Chemicals" means:

DINP as to: Clear Floral Tote, and Black Floral Tote

DEHP as to: Tote Bags, Handbags, Pink Paris Tote Bag, Tote Bag Set, 3

Pc Cosmetic Cases, Quilted Bag, Cosmetic Bag Set, and Black Purse.

"Complaints" means Complaint 1, Complaint 2, Complaint 3, and Complaint 4.

"Notices" means the August 29, 2018 Notice; October 18, 2019 Notice; October 18, 2019 Notice II; November 19, 2019 Notice; December 16, 2019 Notice; March 10, 2020 Notice; June 12, 2020 Notice; August 18, 2020 Notice; and April 30, 2021 Notice.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION 3.

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Ross shall not order any Covered Products for sale into California, with any component that contains the Listed Chemical(s) in excess of 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) by weight.
- 3.2 Any Covered Products that Ross sells, distributes, or ships into California after the Effective Date that were ordered prior to the Effective Date, must contain a clear and reasonable warning, consistent with 27 CCR section 25600 et seq., unless it contains no more than 0.1% by weight (1,000 ppm) of the Listed Chemical(s). For Covered Products with DINP the warnings shall be provided for cancer. For Covered Products with DEHP warnings shall be provided for cancer and reproductive toxicity. Any warnings provided pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, or attached to the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Where the packaging of the Covered Product in existing inventory includes consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. Should Defendant sell or distribute any Covered Product in existing inventory through the internet, the warning will be posted in the manner provided for with respect to internet sales, as provided for in 27 CCR sections 25601 and 25602, as they may be subsequently amended.

3.3 Covered Products already distributed to Downstream Releasees prior to the Effective Date may continue to be sold through as is.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within fifteen (15) business days of the Effective Date, or upon receipt of W-9 Forms from the appropriate payees, whichever is later, Ross shall pay a total of one hundred and five thousand dollars and zero cents (\$105,000.00) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices or Complaints identified in Sections 1.4 and 1.5, as follows:
- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Ross shall issue two separate checks totaling twelve thousand and twenty dollars (\$12,020.00) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Ross will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of nine thousand and fifteen dollars (\$9,015.00) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Ross will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of three thousand and five dollars (\$3,005.00) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Ross will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$9,015.00. Ross will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$3,005.00 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Ross shall issue one check for eight thousand nine hundred and eighty dollars (\$8,980.00) to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemical, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of the Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Ross shall issue a check in the amount of eighty-four thousand dollars (\$84,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Settling Defendant' attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, OEHHA, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B Sacramento, California 95812, Attn: Mike Gyurics. Ross shall provide written confirmation to CAG of the payment to OEHHA.

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

### 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Ross and its owners, officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors, and their successors and assigns (collectively, "Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Ross directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, downstream wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products up to and through the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and Complaints. Ross and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

#### YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).
- 6.2 Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this action shall be deemed amended to include all the claims raised in the Notices outlined in Section 1.3.
- 6.3 Within five business days of the Effective Date, CAG shall file requests for dismissal without prejudice for the claims contained in the Notices as alleged in Complaint 1, 2, Complaint 3, and 4.
- 6.4 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 8. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein.

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law

#### 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### 10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. The hearing on CAG's motion to approve this Consent Judgment shall be no sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment.

#### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

# 12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 9.1 and 9.2, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

### 13. GOVERNING LAW

- 13.1 The validity, construction, terms, and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are

rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products or Listed Chemicals, then Ross may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Ross from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

## 14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

#### 15. NOTICES

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class Mail. If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi
reuben@yeroushalmi.com
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

If to Defendant Ross Stores, Inc.:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	General Counsel Ross Stores, Inc. 5130 Hacienda Drive Dublin, CA 94568  With a copy to:  Jeffrey Margulies jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071		
8 9	16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE		
10	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
11	by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf		
12	of the Party represented and legally to bind that party		
13 14	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:		
15 16	Date: August 29, 2023  Date: August 28, 2023  Mill Maxwe Maxwellin		
17 18	mice manue Im Amdre Min		
19 20	Name: Michael Marcus Name: Kevin Andrew Clunis		
21	Title: Dire of Title: Senior Vice President, Legal		
22	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ROSS STORES, INC.		
23	CONSCINENTIAL VOCACI GROOT, INC. ROSS STORES, INC.		
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	101100000		
27 28	Date:10/18/2023 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
YEROUSHALMI &	Douglas W. Stern Page 15 of 15		
YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPOSED)		