(prepared by plaintiff's course!)

FIFE D
San Francisco County Superior Count

JAN 1 3 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT
Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

SOBISK FOODS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-20-587235

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.

.

. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Dollar Tree Stores, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers Sobisk Breakfast Sandwiches sold by Settling Defendant that have been or will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers ("Covered Products").
- 1.2 On July 28, 2020, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On October 21, 2020, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter naming Settling Defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,

6.

conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Effective Date" is the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment is served on Settling Defendant.
 - 2.2 "Compliance Level" means 20 parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Compliance of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that contain a concentration of Lead that exceeds the Compliance Level.
- 3.2 Methods of Testing. Settling Defendant shall determine the Lead concentration by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of no greater than 50% of the Compliance Level that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements, or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.
- 3.2.1 <u>Laboratories Conducting Validation Testing</u>. Any testing shall be performed by an independent, accredited third party laboratory or an in-house laboratory that has been certified by an independent third party or otherwise agreed to by the Parties.
- 3.2.2 <u>Records</u>. The testing reports and results of the any testing performed pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be retained by Settling Defendant for three (3) years and made available to CEH upon reasonable request, but no more than twice per year.
- 3.3 <u>Market Withdrawal</u>. Nothing in this Section 3 limits or prohibits Settling Defendant from ceasing sales of the Covered Products in the State of California.

4. ENFORCEMENT

- 4.1 Enforcement Procedures. This Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all matters regarding enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco, seek to enforce Proposition 65 or the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant or to enforce future alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to Lead exposures from Covered Products sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.1, if applicable.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- Defendant shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees do not apply if the checks are post-marked or otherwise dispatched to an overnight delivery service prior to the due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall

thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to toxic chemicals in food sold in

California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on

these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within

26

27

28

5.2.3 \$23,140 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$20,295 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$2,845 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

| Payee | Туре | Amount | Deliver To |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|
| ОЕННА | Penalty | \$2,940 | OEHHA per Section 5.2.1 |
| Center For Environmental Health | Penalty | \$980 | LLG |
| Center For Environmental Health | ASP | \$2,940 | LLG |
| Lexington Law Group | Fee and Cost | \$20,295 . | LLG |
| Center For Environmental Health | Fee and Cost | \$2,845 | LLG |

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

2.

5:

6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

PROVISION OF NOTICE 8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, 2 the notice shall be sent by overnight delivery or first class mail with a courtesy copy by electronic mail to: 4 Ryan Berghoff Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street 7 San Francisco, CA 94117 rberghoff@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this 8.2 .9. Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by overnight delivery or first class mail with a courtesy 10 11 copy by electronic mail to: 12 Peg Carew Toledo Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP 13 Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 14 Peg.Toledo@arnoldporter.com 15 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be 16 sent by sending the other Party notice by overnight delivery or first class mail with a courtesy copy 17 by electronic mail. 18 COURT APPROVAL This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date. 19 20 CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant 21 shall support approval of such Motion. 22 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no 23 further force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose. other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 24 25. 9.1 GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION 26 10. 27 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the

28

State of California.

.4

Q

A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq..

Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

| 1 | 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION | | | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify | | | | |
| 3 | the Consent Judgment. | | | | |
| 4 | 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT | | | | |
| 5 | 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully | | | | |
| 6 | authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into | | | | |
| 7 | and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party. | | | | |
| 8 | 16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS | | | | |
| 9 | 16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any | | | | |
| 0 | claim against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those | | | | |
| 1. | contained in this Consent Judgment. | | | | |
| 2 | 17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS | | | | |
| 3 | 17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts | | | | |
| 4 | and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed | | | | |
| 15 | to constitute one document. | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED: | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 : | | | | | |
| 21 | 2 (4) | | | | |
| 22 | Dated:, 2021 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California | | | | |
| 23 | RICHARD B. ULMER | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| : | | | | | |

| 1 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | Dated:November 18 , 2021 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 4 5 | | Michael Green Chief Executive Officer |
| 6 7 8 | Dated:, 2021 | DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 11 | | Signature |
| 12 | | Printed Name |
| 13 | | |
| 14 15 | | Title |
| 16 17 | | |
| 18 19 | | |
| 20 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25262728 | | |
| 28 | | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT Re: DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. - Case No. CGC-20-587235

| | IT IS SO STIPULATED: | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dated: | , 2021 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Michael Green Chief Executive Officer | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| Dated: ///5 | , 2021 | DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. | | | |
| | | Signature Signature | | | |
| | | | | | |
| in the second | | Keith Zanni Printed Name | | | |
| | | Depty Gen. Counsel | | | |
| | and the same of th | Title | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT Re: DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC. - Case No. CGC-20-587235