FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 1 2 2022

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BALI LEATHERS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. RG19029736

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GORDINI U.S.A., INC., CARHARTT, INC., AND CARROLL COMPANIES, INC.

26 27

28

•

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Gordini U.S.A., Inc. ("Gordini"), Carhartt, Inc. ("Carhartt") and Carroll Companies, Inc. ("Carroll") (Gordini, Carhartt and Carroll are referred to herein as "Settling Defendants"). CEH and Settling Defendants are referred to herein together as the Parties or individually as a Party. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter (the "Complaint"). This Consent Judgment addresses gloves that are made with leather materials that are tanned with chromium compounds. Gordini makes leather gloves sold under the Carhartt brand name. Carroll Companies sold leather gloves under the Interstate Leather and Milwaukee Motorcycle Clothing Co. brand names. CEH asserts that leather used to make gloves that are tanned with chromium compounds will expose consumers to hexavalent chromium ("CrVI"), which is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- 1.2 On May 14, 2019 as to Gordini and Carhartt and on October 22, 2020 as to Carroll, CEH issued a 60-day Notice of Violation under California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") to Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to CrVI from leather gloves without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 On August 2, 2019, CEH filed a Complaint in above-captioned matter naming Gordini and Carhartt as defendants. On January 29, 2021, CEH amended the Complaint to name Carroll as a defendant.
- 1.4 Each Settling Defendant is a business entity that is also a person in the course of doing business as such term is defined under Proposition 65.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal

jurisdiction over each Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products sold by each Settling Defendant.

1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Chrome-Free Leather" means that: (a) the skin or hide used to make the leather was converted to leather by tanning agents free of chromium salts, including but not limited to chromium sulfate; (b) the leather was not intentionally treated, dyed or exposed to chemicals that contain chromium as an intended ingredient; and (c) the total content of the chromium in the tanned leather is less than or equal to 0.1% (mass of chromium/total dry weight of leather) when measured using ISO 17072-2.
- 2.2 "Chrome-Tanned Leather" means that the hide or skin used to make the leather was converted to leather either by treatment solely with chromium salts or with chromium salts together with a small amount of some other tanning agent, used merely to assist the chromium tanning process, and not in sufficient amount to alter the essential chromium tanned character of the leather that is tanned with chromium compounds.
- 2.3 "Covered Products" means unlined leather gloves or other gloves that are designed such that any part of the inside of the glove that comes into contact with the skin of the user when the glove is worn are made with leather.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.4 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Notice to Tanneries and Suppliers:** If it has not done so already, within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall give written notice to any tanneries or suppliers of Covered Products that all Covered Products shall be made with Chrome-Free Leather or non-leather materials.
- 3.2 **Reformulation**: After the Effective Date, no Settling Defendant shall sell any Covered Product that is made with leather that is not Chrome-Free Leather that will be sold or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant or any entity downstream of a Settling Defendant in California.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Either Party may by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. Prior to filing any such motion or application, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the allegedly violating Party with a written notice setting forth the detailed factual and legal basis for the alleged violation ("Notice of Violation"). The Parties shall then meet and confer during the thirty (30) day period following the date the Notice of Violation was sent in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure, penalty or related attorneys' fees related to the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any enforcement proceeding, the Court shall not be limited by this Consent Judgment in fashioning remedies for failure to comply with Proposition 65, and may order compliance with Proposition 65 by reformulation, warnings or any other method it finds compliant with the law.

26

27

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants**. On or before seven (7) days after entry of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts set forth on Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments**. The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified as follows and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.3 Each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts set forth on Exhibit A as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts set forth on Exhibit A as a civil penalty payment to OEHHA by check made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics

Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

8

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

- Each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants shall pay the 5.3.1 amounts set forth on Exhibit A as the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment by check made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 5.3.2 Each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts set forth on Exhibit A as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including hormone disruptors such as CrVI, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to CrVI and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 5.3.3 Each Settling Defendant or group of Settling Defendants shall pay the amounts set forth on Exhibit A as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) a check in the amount set forth on Exhibit A as Lexington Law Group Fees and Costs payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) a check in the amount set forth on Exhibit A as CEH Fees and Costs payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-

3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND TERMINATION OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 6.1 **Modification**. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties to which any such modification would apply, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer**. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer during with the affected Party during a minimum of a thirty (30) day period prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 Provided that each Settling Defendant has complied with Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which each Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to its distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to CrVI contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by Gordini under the Carhartt brand name or by Carroll (the "Released Products") prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that each Settling Defendant has complied with Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees, and its Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest

8.4 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective when approved by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no further force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, such Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "substantial justification" shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof, whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS.

14.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:		\mathcal{L}
2	4 2 2022		limitelle
3	Dated: MAY 1 2 2022	2022	Judge of the Superior Court of California
4			V
5	19-		
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	Dated: January 27	2022	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
9	Dated: January 27	2022	HEALTH
10			11-11/-
11	×1	Pao	Signature Signature
12			Signature
13		-	Michael Green
14			Printed Name
15			CEO
16			Title
17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
18	Dated:,	2022	GORDINI U.S.A., INC.
19			
20	u u		Signature
21			
22		-	Printed Name
23	* 1 1		
24		-	Tido
25			Title
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-1:	2-

CONSENT JUDGMENT GORDINI, CARHARTT & CARROLL – RG19029736

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
2			
3	Dated:	_, 2022	Judge of the Superior Court of California
4			
5			
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	Dated:	_, 2022	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
9	,		HEALTH
10			
11			Signature
12			
13			Printed Name
14			
15			Title
16			
17		2022	CODDYA VICA
18	Dated:	_, 2022	GORDINI U.S.A., INC.
19			
20			Signature
21			DAVID GELLIS
22			Printed Name
23			PRESIDENT, GORDINI USA, INC
24			Title
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER			-12-

1	Dated:	February 9th	, 2022	CARHARTT, INC.
2				
3				<u>Auna Luch</u> Signature
4				
5				Anna Inch Printed Name
6				
7				VP/General Counsel Title
8				Title
9				
10	Dated:		, 2022	CARROLL COMPANIES, INC.
11				
12				Signature
13				
14				Printed Name
15				
16				Title
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER				-13-

1	Dated:, 2022	CARHARTT, INC.
2		
3		Signature
4		
5		Printed Name
6		
7		Title
8		
9	Dated: 28, 2022	CARROLL COMPANIES, INC.
10	, 2022	
11		Signature Mill
12		signature
13 14		Jo Evelya Miller Printed Name
15		Printed Name
16		Title CFO
17		Title
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT GORDINI, CARHARTT & CARROLL – RG19029736

EXHIBIT A

Gordini and Carhartt shall jointly pay a total of \$80,000 in the amounts set forth below pursuant to Section 5:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
Total Civil Penalty	Penalty	\$10,820	N/A
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$8,115	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,705	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$8,105	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$51,500	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$9,575	LLG

Carroll Companies, Inc. shall pay a total of \$20,000 in the amounts set forth below pursuant to Section 5:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
Total Civil Penalty	Penalty	\$2,576	N/A
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$1,932	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$644	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$1,924	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$13,100	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$2,400	LLG

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER