

-AXED

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

OCT 2 0 2021

CLERK OF The SUPERIOR C

By Dend

Joseph D. Agliozzo (SBN 167292) JOSEPH D. AGLIOZZO LAW CORPORATION 1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, #649 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Telephone: (424) 241-3614

Attorney for Plaintiff SARA HAMMOND

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

SARA HAMMOND, an individual,

Plaintiff,

ORLY SHOE CORP., a New York corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: RG21103455

TPROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

#### STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Sara Hammond ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant Orly Shoe Corp. ("Defendant") hereby enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") as follows:

WHEREAS, on or about February 1, 2021, Plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation upon TJX Companies, Inc., Marmaxx Operating Corp., and Marshalls (collectively "TJX"), the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000 (collectively, "Public Prosecutors") alleging that TJX violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq., and its implementing regulations (collectively, "Proposition 65") and that Plaintiff intended to file an enforcement action against TJX in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, on or about February 17, 2021, Plaintiff served a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation specifically naming defendant Orly Shoe Corp. as the manufacturer and/or distributor of the product at issue in the above February 1, 2021 60-Day Notice of Violation, alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 and that Plaintiff intended to file an enforcement action against Defendant in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured, imported, and/or distributed to the TJX PVC reusable carrying cases for face mask kits, including but not limited to, the French Connection travel kit, containing Di(2-ethylhexy)phthalate ("DEHP") that were sold or distributed for sale in California (collectively the "Covered Products"). A list of the Covered Products is attached as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff further alleges that persons in the State of California were exposed to DEHP in Covered Products without being provided the Proposition 65 warning set out at California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 and its implementing regulations ("Proposition 65 Warning"); and

WHEREAS, Defendant denies the allegations of the 60-Day Notice of Violation, denies that it has violated Proposition 65, and denies that it has engaged in any wrongdoing whatsoever; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff seeks to provide the public with Proposition 65 warnings and believes that this objective is achieved by the actions described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant wish to resolve their differences without the delay and expense of litigation.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND AGREED UPON AS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS:

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. On February 1, 2021, Plaintiff served the 60-Day Notice of Violation upon TJX and on Public Prosecutors. No Public Prosecutor commenced an enforcement action.
- 1.2. On February 17, 2021, Plaintiff served the Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation upon Defendant and Public Prosecutors, identifying Defendant as the manufacturer and/or distributor of the products at issue in the February 1, 2021 60-Day Notice of Violation. No Public Prosecutor having commenced an enforcement action, Plaintiff proceeded to file her Complaint against Defendant in the present action.
  - 1.3. Defendant employs ten (10) or more persons.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Plaintiff and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that: 1) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violation contained in the Complaint, and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; 2) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and 3) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to the Covered Products, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based on or arising from the facts alleged in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and/or the present action with respect to Covered Products, including any Proposition 65 claim arising out of an exposure to Covered Products (collectively, "Proposition 65 Claims").
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the Proposition 65 Claims, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, and resolving the

10

11 12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

> 21 22

20

24 25

23

26

27 28

issues raised therein. By executing and agreeing to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and the Complaint, and denies any wrongdoing whatsoever.

#### 2. Definitions

2.1. "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.

### 3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1. Defendant agrees to cease the manufacture, import, and/or shipment of the Covered Products in the state of California. Shall Defendant continue to manufacture, import, and/or shipment of the Covered Products in the state of California, Defendant agrees to provide a warning as described in Sections 3.2-3.3, below. Compliance with Section 3.1 will constitute compliance by Defendant with all requirements of Proposition 65 relating to DEHP exposure in the Covered Products.
  - 3.2. Warning Option

Should Defendant elect to provide a warning in lieu of ceasing the manufacture, import, and/or shipment of the Covered Products in the state of California, Covered Products shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section 3.3, below. No Proposition 65 warning shall be required as to any Covered Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date.

#### Warning Language 3.3.

Where required to meet the criteria set forth in Section 3.2, Defendant shall provide one of the following warning statements on, within, or affixed to the packaging of the Covered Products in a reasonably conspicuous manner:

- **WARNING**: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, (1) which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (2)⚠WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm –www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

#### 4. Monetary Relief

- 4.1. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$24,500 which includes \$1,000 in civil penalties and \$23,500 in payment of Plaintiff's costs and reasonable attorney's fees. The \$1,000 civil penalty shall be apportioned pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12 (d), with 75%, or \$750, paid to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25%, or \$250, payable to Plaintiff.
- 4.2. The payments specified in Section 4.1 shall be made by check and sent via tracked overnight mail to Plaintiff's counsel Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation as set forth below.

  Plaintiff's counsel will remit the portions due to the State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and to Plaintiff.

Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation 1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, # 649 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

#### 5. Claims Covered and Release

5.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, and acting in the public interest, and Defendant, and all of Defendant's parent companies, as well as all of Defendant's officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, and retailers, their parent and all subsidiaries, and affiliates, thereof, their respective employees, agents and assigns, as well as all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain for any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them, including TJX Companies, Inc., Marmaxx Operating Corp., Marshalls, T.J, Maxx of CA, LLC, Ross Stores, Inc., French Connection Group, Inc., and House of Basics, LLC (collectively, the "Released Parties"), for any alleged violation of Proposition 65, and its implementing regulations, for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products with respect to DEHP, and fully resolves all claims that have been brought, or which could have been brought in this action up to and including the Effective Date. Plaintiff on behalf of herself, and in the public interest, hereby discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities,

damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, with respect to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings about exposures to DEHP for the Covered Products, through and including the Effective Date.

5.2. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the 60-Day Notice of Violation or alleged in the Complaint relating to the Covered Products will hereafter be discovered. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action thereon. Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless intend to release such claims, and in doing so waive California Civil Code § 1542 which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

- 5.3. Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that even if Plaintiff suffers future damages arising out of, resulting from, or related to the Covered Products, Plaintiff will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of the Released Parties.
- 5.4. Compliance by Defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to DEHP in the Covered Products as set forth in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and/or the Complaint.
- 6. Compliance with Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f)
- 6.1. Plaintiff and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

10

11 12

14

13

1516

1718

19

2021

2223

24

25

2627

28

#### 7. Provision of Notice

7.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or writing under this Consent Judgment, the notice or writing shall be sent by first class certified mail with return receipt requested, or by electronic mail, as follows:

To Defendant:
Carol R. Brophy, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson LLP
1 Market Street, Spear Tower, Suite 3900
San Francisco, CA 94105
cbrophy@steptoe.com

To Plaintiff: Joseph D. Agliozzo, Esq. Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation 1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, # 649 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 joe@agliozzo.com

7.2. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice that is transmitted in the manner set forth in section 7.1.

#### 8. Court Approval

8.1. Upon execution of his Consent Judgment by all Parties, the Parties shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment shall not become effective until approved and entered by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

## 9. Governing Law and Construction

9.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. Entire Agreement

10.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby incorporated into this Consent Judgment.

- 10.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by the Parties.
- 10.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 10.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound, and approved and ordered by the Court.
- 10.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions of this Consent Judgement whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 11. Retention of Jurisdiction

11.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 12. No Effect on Other Settlements

12.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Plaintiff from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

#### 13. Execution in Counterparts

13.1. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, and all of which, taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution of the Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means, shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the original.

1	14. Authorization			
2	14.1. The undersigned are authorized to stipulate to, enter into, and execute this Consent			
3	Judgment on behalf of their respective parties, and have read, understood, and agree to all of the term			
4	and conditions of this Consent Judgment.			
5	15. Severability			
6	15.1. If subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any part or provision is			
7	declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions or			
8	provisions shall continue in full force and effect.			
9				
10				
11	Sara Hammond			
12	Date: July $\frac{9}{9}$ , 2021			
13	By:			
14	AGREED TO:			
15	Orly Shoe Corporation			
16	Date: July 7, 2021			
17	By: Mail			
18	Nabeel Shaikh, COO			
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety			
20	Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered.			
21				
22	Dated: 10-20-2021 By: 11 1/2001			
23	Hon. Superior Court Judge			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

#### COVERED PRODUCTS

It is the intent of this Consent Judgment to cover all PVC reusable carrying cases for face mask kits that are composed of plastic components.

This Consent Judgment shall cover and release all Covered Products manufactured by Orly Shoe Corp. on or before the Compliance Date, including but not limited to Covered Products that are in third party inventory. If challenged by a private enforcer, a declaration by the Defendant explaining the procedures used to determine the specific product's manufacturing date shall be deemed dispositive.

A non-exhaustive list of the Style and UPC codes are listed below. The list is intended to supplement, not eliminate, the right of Defendant to show that a specific PVC reusable carrying case is a covered product by the more inclusive method, a declaration, set forth in the above paragraph.

Style	UPC Number
HB-SURVIVEKIT	691466213716
HB-SURVIVEKIT-C	691466213815
HB-SURVIVEKIT-D	691466198211
HB-SURVIVEKIT-L	691466213877
HB-SURVIVEKIT-S	691466213914
HB-SURVIVEKIT-U	691466214102
HB-SURVKITADT-D	691466058348
HB-SURVKITADT-D	691466058423
HB-SURVKITADT-D	691466058478
HB-SURVKITADT-D	691466252593
HB-SURVKITADT-D	691466252654
HB-SURVKITKID-D	691466058393
HB-SURVKITKID-D	691466252753
HB-SURVKITKID-D	691466252760
HB-SURVKITKID-D	691466252814
HB-SURVKITKID-D	691466253026

#### PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1601 2 3 S. Sepulveda Blvd, #649, Manhattan Beach CA 90266. On July 28, 2021, I served the following documents: [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT on the interested 4 5 parties in this action: Attorneys for Defendant Orly Shoe Corp.: 6 7 Carol R. Brophy Remi T. Salter cbrophy@steptoe.com rsalter@steptoe.com 8 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP One Market Plaza 633 West 5th Street, Suite 1900 9 Spear Tower, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071 10 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (213) 439-9400 Telephone: (415) 365-6700 11 BY FIRST CLASS MAIL WITH PREPAID POSTAGE: 12 13 I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary 14 business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of my firm for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for 15 collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States 16 Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at Los Angeles, 17 California 18 BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: 19 I caused the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address joe@agliozzo to the person or persons at 20 the e-mail addresses listed above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 21 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 23 Executed on July 28, 2021 at Manhattan Beach, California 24 25 26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Rene C. Davidson Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612	FILED Superior Court of California County of Alameda 10/20/2021	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Sara Hammond	Chad Flake, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court  By:	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Orly Shoe CCorp.	A. Mendola	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: RG21103455	

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Oakland, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Carol Rene Brophy STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1 Market Street Spear Tower, 39th floor San Francisco, CA 94105Joseph D. Agliozzo Joseph D. Agliozzo Law Corporation 1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, #649 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

Dated: 10/28/2021

Ву:

Clad Flike, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court

A. Mendola, Deputy Clerk