1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST		
11			
12	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	C N- DC21107706	
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,) Case No. RG21107796	
14	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) CONSENT	
15	vs.) JUDGMENT AS TO MERRITHEW) INTERNATIONAL INC.	
16	GYMSHARK USA, INC., et al.; and DOES 1		
17	through 200, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.))	
19))	
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgm	nent ("Parties") are the Center for	
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Merrithew International, Inc. ("Settling		
24	Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		
25	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and		
26	that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells latex resistance bands that contain n-		
27	nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.		
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – MERRITHEW INTERN	NATIONAL INC. – CASE NO. KG2110/790	

1.3	On April 14, 2021, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
& Safety Code §	25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
General, the Dis	trict Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
for every City in	the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
alleges violation	s of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in latex resistance
bands that are m	anufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

- 1.4 On July 22, 2021, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County. On September 30, 2021, CEH amended the complaint naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1** "Covered Products" means latex resistance bands.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

3.1 Refe	ormulation of Covered Products.	Settling Defendant has until up to six
(6) months from the Eff	ective Date to reformulate the Cove	ered Product (the "Reformulation
Date"). After the Refor	mulation Date, Settling Defendant s	shall not manufacture, distribute, sell,
or offer for sale any Co	vered Product that contains NDEA i	in excess of 20 parts per billion
("ppb") as determined u	using a modified version of ISO 195	77-2019 (the "Test Protocol") by an
independent accredited	laboratory that will be sold or offere	ed for sale to California consumers. In
the event that CEH ente	rs into a consent decree or out-of-co	ourt settlement agreement with a party
other than Settling Defe	endant in this lawsuit or in a differen	nt lawsuit regarding latex resistance
bands that are similar to	the Covered Products, and in so do	oing agrees to injunctive relief
permitting a higher max	imum level of NDEA in the covere	d products, then CEH agrees to
provide Settling Defend	ant notice of the higher maximum v	within ten (10) business days of the
grant of the higher max	imum to the other party and Settling	g Defendant shall have ten (10)
business days to notify	CEH if Settling Defendant desires to	o be granted the higher maximum by
CEH. In the event that	Settling Defendant makes such elec	tion, it shall prepare a modified
Consent Judgment and	obtain court approval thereof in acco	ordance with Section 6.1 below.

Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain NDEA in excess of 20 ppb. No later than the Reformulation Date, for any sales thereafter of Covered Products in California, Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California do not contain NDEA in excess of 20 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol by an independent accredited laboratory. Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 20 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol by an independent accredited laboratory and such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid, or (b) it has obtained a

test result from an independent third party accredited laboratory reporting that the Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 20 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol.

3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

3.3.1 **Election to Warning**. If Settling Defendant is unable to comply with the Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 or otherwise elects to permanently avail itself of the warning option provided by this Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.5 below. Settling Defendant shall then provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including N-Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of

-4-

Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith.

5. PAYMENTS

- Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$20,000.00 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- Shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below

1 between the following categories and made payable as follows: 2 \$2,700 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 3 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety 4 Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental 5 Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty 6 payment for \$2,025 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification 7 number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 8 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 9 Attn: Mike Gyurics 10 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 11 P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 12 13 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 14 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 15 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 16 Sacramento, CA 95814 17 18 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$675 shall be made payable to the Center for 19 Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This 20 payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 21 94117. 22 5.2.2 \$1,900 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH 23 pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 24 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the 25 public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDEA and NDMA, 26 work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, 27 businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the 28 -6-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDEA, NDMA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$15,400 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$13,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$2,400 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,025	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$675	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$1,900	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$13,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$2,400	LLG

1

If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$5,000.00 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$2,860 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$2,145 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$715 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The remaining \$2,140 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.3 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. **MODIFICATION**

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge and agree that a change in the no

25

26

27

significant risk level for NDEA may provide a reason for modification of Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of this Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc., and other distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding a violation of Proposition 65 and/or the failure to warn about exposure to NDEA arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured by or for Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date .
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date for as long as Settling Defendant continue testing in accordance with Section 3.2.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by electronic mail to:

Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by electronic mail to:

James Colopy Farella Braun + Martel icolopy@fbm.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- **9.1** This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- **9.2** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986,

2

1

its own attorneys' fees and costs.

4

sanctions pursuant to law.

12.1

11.3

11.2

56

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

10

19

20

2122

13.

14.

13.1

a protective order.

23

24

25

26

27

28

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

-11-

For any report or information that Settling Defendant submit to CEH pursuant

Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear

Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior

merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between

the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or

implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any

specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind

supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding

unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions

provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of

Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or

otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements

any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No

of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other

SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT 1 2 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 3 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into 4 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that 5 Party. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS 6 **16.** 7 16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any 8 claim against an entity that is not the Settling Defendant (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that 9 are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment. 10 **17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS** 11 **17.1** The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts 12 and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be 13 deemed to constitute one document. 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND 16 **DECREED:** 17 18 19 Dated: <u>R`}^ÁG€</u>, 2022 20 21 Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California 22 Delbert Gee / Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28 -12-DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - MERRITHEW INTERNATIONAL INC. - CASE NO. RG21107796

1	
1	
2	IT IC CO CTIDIU ATED.
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
5	
6	Dated: March 29, 2022 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
7	Dated, 2022 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
8	
9	Michael C
10	Michael Green
11	Chief Executive Officer
12	
13	Dated: March 24, 2022 MERRITHEW INTERNATIONAL INC.
14	Judgay Ulm than
15	Signature
16	Lindsay G Merrithew
17	Printed Name
18	CEO
19	Title
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-13- CONSENT JUDGMENT – MERRITHEW INTERNATIONAL INC. – CASE NO. RG21107796