#2.2

Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY FERREIRO Superior Court of California County of San Francisco

MAR 2 7 2024

CLERIS OF THE COURT

BY: Deputy Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ANTHONY FERREIRO,

Plaintiff.

V.

ROBERT BOSCH TOOL CO., WALMART, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. CGC-22-597648

(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT

Judge: Richard B. Ulmer

Dept.: 302

Hearing Date: March 21, 2024

Hearing Time: 9:30 AM

Complaint Filed: January 14, 2022

1. INTRODUCTION

- The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony Ferreiro acting on behalf of the public interest ("Ferreiro") and Robert Bosch Tool Corporation, sued as Robert Bosch Tool Co. ("Robert Bosch" or "Defendant") with Ferreiro and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Ferreiro is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Robert Bosch is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Ferreiro alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to bisphenol A (BPA) from its sales of Bosch Impact Tough Drill/Drive Custom Cases without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. BPA is listed

CONSENT JUDGMENT

317014084.1

under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- Bosch, Walmart Apollo, LLC, Walmart, Inc. (collectively, "Walmart"), and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the use of Bosch Impact Tough Drill/Drive Custom Cases exposes users in California to BPA. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On January 14, 2022, Ferreiro filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.
- 1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Ferreiro's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary, or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in

9 10

7

П

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

317014084.1

any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2.1 Covered Products. The term "Covered Products" means products identified as UPC No. 000346494839 that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California.

Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" means the date that Plaintiff serves 2.2 Defendant with notice that the Court has entered the Consent Judgment as a Judgment of the Court.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND/OR WARNINGS

Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Robert Bosch directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either be: (a) reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.

Reformulation Standard. "Reformulated Products" shall mean any Covered 3.2 Products subject to this Settlement Agreement that achieve a wipe result equal to, or less than, 3 micrograms of BPA.

3.2.1 Wipe Test Protocol. The "Wipe Test Protocol" for determining if a Covered Product qualifies as a Reformulated Product is as follows:

	3.2.1(a)	Accessible	sample	surface	of	Product	is	rubbed	by	wipe
samples m	oistened with a l	ab grade wate	er heated	to 98 °F	i ter	1 (10) tin	ies	followin	g N	IOSH
wipe meth	od along longitud	inal, latitudin	al and dia	agonal or	ient	ation.				

- 3.2.1(b) Wipe sample swabs/paper is extracted with methanol on wrist shaker for one (1) hour.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. As of the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce (i.e. product that has been manufactured, has shipped, or has sold) prior to the Effective Date. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:
 - (a) Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

A WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including bisphenol A (BPA), which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ea.gov.

- (b) Alternative Warning: Robert Bosch may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
 - MARNING: Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- 3.4 If, in addition to BPA, Defendant has reason to believe use of the Covered Product will create an exposure to a Proposition 65 listed carcinogen, Defendant may include in the Warning or Alternative Warning language consistent with 27 CCR § 25603 for warnings of exposures to both listed carcinogens and reproductive toxicants.
- 3.5 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 3.3 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the

color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The Warning or Alternative Warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, provided that the Warning or Alternative Warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The Warning or Alternative Warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

In addition to affixing the Warning or Alternative Warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the Warning or Alternative Warning shall be posted on websites where Defendant offers Covered Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, Defendant shall (a) post the Warning or Alternative Warning on its own website, if applicable, and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of third-party internet sellers that Defendant has written agreements with; and (b) if Defendant does not have the ability to post the Warning or Alternative Warning on the websites of third-party internet sellers that it has written agreements with, Defendant shall provide such third-party internet sellers with written notice of the warning requirements of this Section. Third-party internet sellers of the Covered Product that have been provided with written notice are not released, prospectively, following the Effective Date, in Section 5 of this Agreement if they fail to meet the warning requirements of this Section.

3.6 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §3 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") applicable to the Covered Product and the exposures at

issue after the Effective Date. If the label used to provide the Warning or Alternative Warning includes "consumer information," as that term is defined in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.1(c) as it may be amended from time to time, about the product in a language other than English, Robert Bosch shall provide the Warning or Alternative Warning in that language in addition to English in accordance with applicable warning regulations adopted by OEHHA.

4. MONETARY TERMS

4.1 Civil Penalty. Robert Bosch shall pay \$3,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Ferreiro, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

4.1.1 Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, Robert Bosch shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250,00; and to (b) "Anthony Ferreiro" in the amount of \$750.00. Payment owed to Ferreiro pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire Brodsky Smith Two Bala Plaza, Suite 805 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 1 Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

7 8

9

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

.26 27 A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky Smith at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.2 Attorneys' Fees. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, and upon provision of a completed W-9 and payment instructions by Ferreiro's attorneys, Defendant shall pay \$27,000.00 to Brodsky Smith as complete reimbursement for Ferreiro's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Ferreiro acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Defendant, and its past and present parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, insurers, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain, to whom they directly or indirectly distribute to, or sell Covered Products, or the materials contained therein, including but not limited to, manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers (including but not limited to, Walmart and each of its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities), franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to BPA from use of the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 based on exposure to BPA that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims").

5.2

 capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Robert Bosch, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Ferreiro hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in his representative

In addition to the foregoing, Ferreiro, on behalf of himself, his past and current

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Ferreiro understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if he suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Proposition 65 Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to BPA from the Covered Products, Ferreiro will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees. Furthermore, Ferreiro acknowledges that he intends these consequences for any such Proposition 65 Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA from Covered Products as may

exist as of the date of this release but which she does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect his decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether his lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5.3 Defendant waives any and all claims against Ferreiro, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken, or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Ferreiro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to exposure to BPA from Covered Products.

6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

I	For Defendant:							
2	President Robert Bosch Tool Corporation 1800 W. Central Road							
4	Mt. Prospect IL 60053							
5	With a copy to:							
6 7	Legal Department Robert Bosch Tool Corporation 1800 W. Central Road							
8	Mt. Prospect IL 60053							
	And							
9	For Ferreiro:							
10	Evan Smith Brodsky Smith							
11 :	9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212							
12	Beverly This, CA 70212							
13	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to							
14	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.							
15	9. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES							
16	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of							
17	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and							
18	the same document.							
19	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT							
20	APPROVAL							
21	10.1 Ferreiro agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &							
22	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.							
23	Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.							
24	10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the							
25	Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the							
26	Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30							
27	days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.							
28								
	CONSENT JUDGMENT 317014084.1							

10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

12. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 12.1 Only the Parties may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- However, prior to filing any such motion, the Party seeking to enforce this Consent Judgment shall provide the alleged violating Party with a written notice setting forth the detailed factual and legal basis for the alleged violations ("Notice of Violation"). The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith during the sixty (60) day period following the date the Notice of Violation was sent in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure, penalty, and/or attorneys' fees related to the alleged violation (or the Parties might agree that there was no violation). If no agreement can be reached among the Parties during the 60-day period, the Party seeking to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment may thereafter move forward with filing a motion with the Court.
- 12.3 In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with bringing or defending such action. If the moving party is the prevailing party, the moving party must comply with the procedures set forth in Paragraph 12.3 above to recover any fees or costs under this Paragraph.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. **AUTHORIZATION**

1

2

3

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as

4 5 explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs. 6 7 AGREED TO: 8 9 Date: 10 11 ANTHONY FERREIRO 12 13 14 **AGREED TO:** 15 16 Date: 11/16/23 17 18 ROBERT BOSCH TOOL CORPORATION **BOSCH TOOL CORPORATION** IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: (Lh Dated: Judge of Superior Court RICHARD ULMER

> 12 CONSENT JUDGMENT

27 28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

317014084.1

AUTHORIZATION Į 14. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs. AGREED TO: Date: **AGREED TO:** Date: ROBERT BOSCH TOOL CORPORATION ROBERT BOSCH TOOL CORPORATION IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: Dated: Judge of Superior Court