1 Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) FILE **BRODSKY & SMITH** 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 San Francisco County Superior Court Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 JAN - 5 2023 3 Fax: (310) 247-0160 CLERK OF THE COURT 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 Deputy Clerk ۰6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 GABRIEL ESPINOZA, Case No.: CGC-22-597630 12 Plaintiff, **CONSENT JUDGMENT** 13 Judge: Richard B. Ulmer Dept.: 302 14 Hearing Date: January 5, 2023 WRIGHT & MCGILL CO., Hearing Time: 9:30 AM DICK'S SPORTING GOODS, INC., 15 Complaint Filed: January 13, 2022 Defendants, 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- Espinoza acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinoza") and Wright & McGill Co. ("Wright & McGill" or "Defendant") with Espinoza and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinoza is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Wright & McGill is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinoza alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales of the Eagle Claw bag/satchel, UPC# 047708706674 without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation/Complaint.** On or about May 14, 2021, Espinoza served Wright & McGill, Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc., American Sports Licensing, Inc., American Sports Licensing, LLC, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Eagle Claw bag/satchel, UPC# 047708706674 exposes users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On January 13, 2022, Espinoza filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts

alleged in the Complaint and/or in the Notice.

Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

# 2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 Covered Products. The term "Covered Products" means Eagle Claw bags/satchels, UPC# 047708706674, that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by Wright & McGill.
- 2.2 Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

# 3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS</u>

- Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Wright & McGill directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A

and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.

- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:
  - (a) Warning<sup>1</sup>. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
  - **WARNING**: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.
- (b) Alternative Warning: Wright & McGill may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:

△ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3.4 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 3.3 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared

If Wright & McGill has testing indicating that use of the Covered Product will expose persons to lead in addition to, or in lieu of DEHP, Wright & McGill shall be permitted to use the following Warning if it so chooses, "A WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

In addition to affixing the Warning or Alternative Warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the Warning or Alternative Warning shall be posted on websites where Wright & McGill offers Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, Wright & McGill shall (a) post the Warning or Alternative Warning on its own website and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of third-party internet sellers to which it sells or transfers the Covered Product; and (b) if it does not have the ability to post the Warning or Alternative Warning on the websites of third-party internet sellers to which it sells or transfers the Covered Product, provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2 Third-party internet sellers of the Covered Product that have been provided with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2 are not released in Section 5 of this Agreement if they fail to meet the warning requirements herein.

3.5 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") applicable to the product and the exposure at issue after the Effective Date.

Espinoza's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Wright & McGill attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinoza acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Wright & McGill, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Chain of Distribution Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from use of the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Wright & McGill prior to the Effective Date, It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest, shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Wright & McGill and/or the Chain of Distribution Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims").
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Wright & McGill, Defendant Releasees, and Chain of Distribution Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed

26

27

16<sup>6</sup>

26.

or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Wright & McGill, Defendant Releasees or Chain of Distribution Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinoza hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Wright & McGill waives any and all claims against Espinoza, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken, or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Espinoza and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

#### 6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter of this Consent Judgment.

## 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

1	8. <u>NOTICES</u>										
2	8.1 Unless specified in this Consent Judgment, all correspondence and notices required										
3	to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or										
4	sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier										
5	on any party by the other party at the following addresses:										
6	For Defendant: For Espinoza:										
7 8	James A. Geocaris  Lewis Brisbois  Brodsky & Smith  650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400  Two Bala Plaza, Ste. 805										
9	Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Brodsky Smith, PA 19004 714/966-3129 877/534-2590										
10											
11	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to										
12	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.										
13	9. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES										
14	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of										
15	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and										
16	the same document.										
17	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT										
18	<u>APPROVAL</u>										
19.	10.1 Espinoza agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &										
20	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.										
21	Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.										
22	10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the										
23	Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the										
24	Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if an agreement is not reached within 30										

26

.27

28

days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.

appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent

If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an

_1	Judgm	ent. If	the Par	ties do	not jo	intly ac	ree on	a course	of acti	on to	take,	the cas	se shal	l proced	ed on
2	Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.														
3	11.	MODIFICATION													
4		11.1	This	Conser	nt Judg	gment r	nay be	modifie	d only	by fu	rther v	writter	ı stipu	lation o	of the
5	Parties	and th	e appro	val of	the Co	urt or u	pon the	grantin	gofar	notio	n brou	ght to	the Co	ourt by $\epsilon$	ither
6	Party.							٠.							1
7	12.	ATTORNEY'S FEES													
8		12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent													
9	Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.														
10		12.2	Nothi	ng in t	this Se	ection s	hall pro	eclude a	Party	from	seekir	ng an a	ward	of sanc	tions
11	pursua	mt to la	i <b>W</b> .	. •	**				•	•.					
12	13.	RET	ENTIO	<u>n of</u>	JURI	SDICT	<u>ION</u>								
13 14		13.1	This	Court	shall	retain	jurisdic	ction of	this n	natter	to in	pleme	ent or	modif	y the
15	Conse	nt Judg	ment.	. 🕏							•		,		-
16				·		•		·					, a		•
17									, •						
18				*	·			**						•	
19			ÿ			• .									
20	·			•							,			-	, -
21	•						٠.								
22			•					*	•						
23.	1				** .			x*			٠				
24						÷									
25		,		4										•	
26				*						·					•
27				杏		•		•			,				
28	:				•	•									

# 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided in this Consent Judgment each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 9/6/2022
By: GABRIEL ESPINOZA	By: Coy Lab. WRIGHT & MCGILL CO.
IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECR	EED:
Dated:	Judge of Superior Court

## 14. AUTHORIZATION

AGRIJAD TO:

3

3

4

2.3

13

izi

15

核

1.3

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

14.2 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent ledgment on behalf of their respective Parties and move read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally band that Party. Execut as explicitly provided in this Consent Judgment each Party is to near its own fees and parts.

DAIL AMILIANDIA DINO: 9/6/2022

By Charles Essenova Wascher & McGill Co.

IT IS SO ORDERI D. ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

Inted: 1/5/23 Uh. Judge of Superser Circle

RICHARD B. ULMER

ACREED TO:

CONSENTATOCHEN