County of Los Angeles

JUL 3 0 2025

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI By: K. Mason, Deputy An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W. Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930 4 Attomeys for Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 6 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 7 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., CASE NO 22STCV03672 8 in the public interest, CONSENT JUDGMENT PROPOSEDI-9 Plaintiff, ٧. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 10 ASIAN MART, INC., a New York Corporation; 11 SEOUL TRADING, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1-20. 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 INTRODUCTION 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER 16 ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest 17 of the public, and Defendants, SEOUL TRADING, INC., and SEOUL SHIK POOM, INC. dba 18 SEOUL TRADING USA CO. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants"), with each a Party to the action collectively referred to as "Parties." 19 1.2 Defendants and Products 20 21

08/01/2025

1.2.1 CAG alleges that Defendant SEOUL TRADING, INC. is a California
Corporation which employs ten or more persons. CAG alleges that Defendant SEOUL SHIK
POOM, INC. dba SEOUL TRADING USA CO. is a New York Corporation which employs ten
or more persons. CAG further alleges that Defendants distribute and sell the following to
consumers in California:

- (i) Roasted Laver ("Laver 1") including but not limited to:

 "Roasted Laver"; "Net Weight 5g, 0.17 oz"; "HH5003"; "UPC 7 61898 73710 1";

 "Product of Korea" and
- (ii) Roasted Laver ("Laver 2") including but not limited to:
 "Roasted Laver with Olive Oil"; "HC1105"; "UPC 7 61898 64756 1"; "Product of Korea" and
- (iii) Roasted Laver ("Laver 3") including but not limited to:

 "Haewoochon"; "Roasted Laver"; "HH4107"; "20 0.17 oz Pack"; "Net Wt. 3.52

 oz (100 g)"; "Imported By Seoul Trading USA, Co."; "Product of Korea"; "UPC 8

 802128 786208"; "UPC 8 802128 786192" and
- (iv) **Dried Laver** ("Laver 4") including but not limited to:
 "ChoripDong"; "Dried Laver"; "Net Wt. 3.87 oz (110 g)"; "Distributed by Seoul Trading USA Co.; Seoul Trading Inc.; Seoul Trading Corp.; Lemond Food Corp."; "HD2002"; "UPC 7 61898 60594 3" and
- (v) Roasted Green Seaweed ("Seaweed 1") including but not limited to:

 "ChoripDong"; "Roasted Green Laver"; "Roasted Green Seaweed"; "12 Packs 5

 g / Pack"; "Net Wt. 60 g"; "2022.12.22"; "Product of Korea"; "HC1212";

 "HC1210"(erroneously identified as HC1200); "UPC 7 61898 70022 8"; "UPC 7
 61898 68143 5" and
- (vi) Roasted Seaweed ("Seaweed 2") including but not limited to:
- "ChoripDong"; "Roasted Green Laver"; "Roasted Green Seaweed"; "12 Packs 5 g / Pack"; "Net Wt. 60 g"; "2022.11.22"; "Product of Korea"; "HC1212";

Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemicals of Concern

- 1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds (hereinafter "Lead") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and developmental and reproductive toxicity.
- 1.3.2 Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds (hereinafter "Cadmium)" are known to the State of California to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity.

1.4 Notices of Violation

- 1.4.1 On or about April 23, 2021, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-00951) ("Notice 1") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Laver 1 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about August 2, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-01866) ("Notice 2") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Laver 2 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.3 On or about May 5, 2023, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2023-01255) ("Notice 3") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Laver 1 and Lead contained in Laver 2 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No

other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.4 On or about April 4, 2024, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2024-01458) ("Notice 4") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Laver 1 and Lead contained in Laver 2 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.5 On or about October 13, 2022, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02461) ("Notice 5") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Seaweed 1 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.6 On or about August 18, 2022, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-01890) ("Notice 6") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in Laver 3 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.7 On or about September 20, 2022, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02228) ("Notice 7") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in

Seaweed 3 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.8 On or about September 20, 2022, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02226) ("Notice 8") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Greens, Lead contained in Seaweed 2, and Cadmium contained in Seaweed 4 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.9 On or about December 22, 2022, CAG served a "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-03078) ("Notice 9") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Laver 4 and Seaweed 5 sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.9 Notices 1-9 are collectively referred to as the "Notices".

1.5 Complaints

1.5.1 On January 28, 2022, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief (hereinafter "Complaint 1") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 22STCV03672 against several parties. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead and Cadmium from Covered Products.

1.5.2 On September 14, 2023, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief (hereinafter "Complaint 2") in Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. 23CV043992 against several parties. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants

violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead and Cadmium from Covered Products.

1.5.3 Complaint 1 and Complaint 2 are collectively referred to as the "Complaints".

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

1.6.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that each Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

1.7.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaints (each and every allegation of which Defendants denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendants, their officers, directors, members, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Covered Products unless the level of Lead does not exceed 75 parts per billion ("ppb"), the level of Cadmium does not exceed 85 ppb, unless Proposition 65 compliant warnings are displayed as set forth in the following paragraphs.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products that exceed their respective reformulation levels of Listed Chemicals that are manufactured for distribution and/or sale into California after the Effective Date, Defendants must provide a Proposition 65 compliant warning for cancer and reproductive toxicity for the Covered Products as permitted by Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. The language of the warnings and method for providing any warnings for the Covered Products shall be compliant with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600, et seq and the warnings will comply with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25607.2, et seq. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning must be set off from other surrounding information, enclosed in a box. Where the packaging of the Covered Products or a sign referring to the Covered Products includes consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. Should Defendants sell or distribute any Covered Products through the internet, the warning will be posted in the manner provided for with respect to internet sales, as provided for in 27 CCR sections 25601 and 25602, as they may be subsequently amended, for sales to consumers in California.

For Covered Products that contain Lead:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

For Covered Products that contain Cadmium:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Cadmium, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food

- 3.3 For any Covered Products still existing in the Defendants' physical custody as of the Effective Date, Defendants shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on them, unless the Covered Products do not exceed the reformulation level. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall comply with the warning requirements under Section 3.2 above.
- 3.4 Changes in the law and regulations applicable to Proposition 65, including changes resulting from federal and/or state court rulings, occurring after this date may be incorporated into the terms of this Consent Judgment, pursuant to the modification provisions set forth in Section 7. If OEHHA regulations require or permit specific safe harbor warning text and/or methods of transmission different than those set forth above, Defendants shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other specific safe harbor warning text and/or methods of transmission without being deemed in breach of this Consent Judgment.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective date, Defendants shall pay a total of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendants shall issue separate checks fourteen thousand three hundred dollars (\$14,300.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendants will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of ten thousand, seven hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$10,725.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Defendants will issue a separate check to CAG in the amount of three thousand five hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$3,575.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and

- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments:

 Defendants will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 (EIN: 68-0284486). Defendants will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendants shall make a separate payment, in the amount of ten thousand seven hundred dollars (\$10,700.00) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code & 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). Defendants will issue a separate check to CAG for the Additional Settlement Payment. CAG will use this payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation

l

demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs: Defendants shall pay one hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$125,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with payment to OEHHA, Defendants shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants for alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warning of exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices, and Complaints, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted against Defendants in this action up through the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding Listed Chemicals. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendants, and their respective past, present, and future owners, officers, directors, members, managers, directors, insurers, beneficiaries, employees, parents, shareholders, customers, distributors, wholesalers, licensees, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, attorneys, representatives, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendants Releasees") and all distributors, customers, retailers, owners and operators

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of online marketplaces and e-commerce platforms, and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products to whom Defendants directly or indirectly provided, distributed, listed, sold, or offered to sell, marketed, and/or has sold Covered Products, and their respective owners, parents, direct and indirect subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, as well as their past, present, and future owners, employees, agents, representatives, shareholders, members, managers, officers, directors, insurers, beneficiaries, attorneys, predecessors, successors, assigns, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, only as to Covered Products sold, distributed, or marketed by the Defendants, , including, but not limited to: Remond Grocery Corp.; Asian Mart, Inc.; Seoul Shik Poom, Inc.; Heechang Trading Co, Ltd.; Weee! Inc.; (collectively, "Downstream Releasees"), for all Covered Products placed into the stream of commerce up through the Effective Date for alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. Defendants Releasees and Downstream Releasees are sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "Released Parties." Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants Releasees and/or Downstream Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the

alleged exposure of persons to the Listed Chemicals contained in the Covered Products or any failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure and any alleged exposure of persons to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not suspect to exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints. Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaints in this action shall be deemed amended to include all the claims raised in the Notices.
- 6.2 The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts possible to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court.
- 6.3. In exchange for payment, as described in Section 4, CAG shall dismiss all of the Complaints against all Defendants without prejudice within five (5) business days after the payments identified in Section 4 have cleared.
- 6.4 Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this action shall be deemed amended to include all the claims raised in the Notices outlined in Section 1.4.
- Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

20

21

7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 8.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. The parties also agree that Downstream Releases are intended third-party beneficiaries of this Consent Judgment and may enforce its terms.
- 8.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendants outside the State of California.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its approval by the Court. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, may the Court approve this Consent Judgment.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

12. GOVERNING LAW

12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

16

California. In the event that Proposition 65 is amended, repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such amendment, repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Parties may modify the Consent Judgment in accordance with modification requirements of Section 7 with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and all related prior discussions,

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

Scoul Trading Scoul Shik Poom CJ (Draft- revised to include additional notices - with Defense revisions from 4-

08/01/2025

Seoul Trading Seoul Shik Poom CJ (Draft- revised to include additional notices - with Defense revisions from 4-