

1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 2 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94117 APR 1 4 2023 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com 5 6 Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. CGC-22-598022 12 a non-profit corporation, Assigned For All Purposes To The 13 Plaintiff, Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 14 15 PROPOSEDI CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., 16 INTERNATIONAL INTIMATES, INC., DML MARKETING GROUP, 17 Defendants. LTD., AND NEW RUE21, LLC 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendants International Intimates, Inc., DML Marketing Group, Ltd., and New rue21, LLC ("Settling Defendants"). CEH and Settling Defendants are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 CEH alleges that each Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 Commencing on September 1, 2021, CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in the Covered Products.
- 1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint which names Settling Defendants as defendants.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any

DOCUMENT PREPARED

or hangtag, or any outer packaging, of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in a manner consistent with 27 Cal. Code Regs § 25602(b), or any successor regulation. In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above, a Settling Defendant may use any specific safe harbor warning content and method applicable to the Covered Products set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600, et seq., as amended August 30, 2018 and subsequently thereafter. In the event a Settling Defendant agrees to use the specific warning language set forth in this paragraph, it will make the reduced payments identified in Exhibit B for the long-form warning.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 The Parties may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide a Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing the Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

#### 5. PAYMENTS

Payments by Settling Defendants. On or before ten (10) business days after notice of the entry of this Consent Judgment and receipt of Forms W-9 for all payees, each Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum set forth on Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any failure by a Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by the

I	Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the		
2	applicable payment due date set forth in this Section 5.1. The late fees required under this		
3	Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement		
4	proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment.		
5	5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for each Settling		
6	Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts for each Settling Defendant on		
7	Exhibit B and delivered as set forth below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated		
8	as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:		
9	5.2.1 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the civil penalty amounts set		
10	forth in Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code		
11	§ 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety		
12	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental		
13	Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment set		
14	forth in Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant by check made payable to OEHHA and associated		
15	with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486/ This payment shall be delivered as follows:		
16	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
17	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
18	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
19	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
20			
21	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
22	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
23	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
24	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814		
25	Substitution, CT 93011		
26	5.2.2 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the CEH portion of the civil		
27`	penalty payment set forth in Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant by check made payable to the		
28	5		
ARED	-5-		

)

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 5.2.3 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the amount set forth in Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant as an as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance.
- 5.2.4 Each Settling Defendant shall pay the amount set forth in Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks in the amounts set forth on Exhibit B for that Settling Defendant as follows: (a) a check payable to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117; and (b) a check payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 5.2.5 A summary of the payments to be made by each Settling Defendant is set forth on Exhibit B for each Settling Defendant including the specific payees, amounts and delivery entity for each check.

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7.

## CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- Provided that a Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and such Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which such Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, purchased, imported, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.
- 7.2 Provided that a Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against such Settling Defendant and its Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products manufactured, purchased, imported, distributed, or sold by such Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such Settling Defendant and its Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about BPA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by such Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

#### 8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

-7-

Mark N. Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

- When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the address listed on Exhibit B for such Settled Defendant.
- 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

#### 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.

  Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling

  Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used

28

in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

#### 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

# 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

# 16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION"

#### **CLAUSE**

- Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 16.2 If either (a) CEH enters into any consent judgment with any other entity or (b) any other judgment is entered in an action brought by CEH with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex, and said consent judgment or other judgment contains different injunctive terms, a Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If a Settling Defendant seeks to adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Settling Defendant may, at any time, reformulate the Covered Products such that it will no longer manufacture, distribute, license, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California that contains BPA and thereby avoid providing warnings for the Covered Products in accordance with Section 3.2 above.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 17 /4, 2023

ETHAN P. SCHULMAN

-10-

		•
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: February 22, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		J
. 5		•
6		Ay A
7		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
8		Interim Chief Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: February, 2023	INTERNATIONAL INTIMATES, INC.
11		
12		Signature
13	·	
14		Printed Name
15	. (	
16		Title
17		
18	Dated: February, 2023	DMI MADVETING CDOUD I TD
19	Dated. February, 2025	DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD.
20	•	
21	,	Signature
22		<u>!</u>
23		Printed Name
. 24		<u>.                                    </u>
25		Title
26		
. 27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT HIDGMENT - INTERNATIONAL IN	-11- TIMATES INC. DMI. MARKETING GROUP LTD. NEW RUE21 LLC.
]	C.	TIMATES, INC., DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD., NEW RUE21, LLC ASE NO. CGC-22-598022

. 1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		*
3	Dated: February, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
. 4		
. 5		
6		-
7	,	Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
8		Interim Ciner Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: February 17, 2023	INTERNATIONAL INTIMATES, INC.
11		
12		Signature
13		KENE ROFE
14		Printed Name
15		PRESIDENT + CEO
16	· · · · ·	Title
17		
18	Divide Believes 2022	DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD.
19	Dated: February, 2023	DIVID MARKETING GROOZ, 22-2
20		
21		Signature
22		
ູ 23		Printed Name
24		
25		Title
26		
. 27	7 de care	e <sup>6</sup>
28	B 1	11
DOCUMENT PREPAR ON RECYCLED PAP	CONSENT JUDGMENT - INTERNATIONAL IN	-11- TIMATES, INC., DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD., NEW RUE 21, LLC ASE NO. CGC-22-598022
		ADE NO. CUC-22-370022

	·	; ,
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	•
2		
3	Dated: February, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		
7		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
. 8		Interim Chief Executive Officer
9		
10.	Dated: February, 2023	INTERNATIONAL INTIMATES, INC.
11	,	
12	, ·	Signature
13	-	
14	J	Printed Name
15		
16		Title
17		
18	,	
19	Dated: February, 2023	DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD.
20		Mark S. Hierbaum
21		Signature Signature
22		Mark_S. Hierbaum
23		Printed Name
24		2/20/2023
. 25		Title CEO
26		
27		
28	· .	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – INTERNATIONAL INTI	MATES, INC., DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD., NEW RUE 21, LLC SE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	Dated: February, 2023	NEW RUE21, LLC
2	<b>,</b>	SUSCU
. 3	•	Signature Signature
4		
, 5		Stephen A. Gould Printed Name
6		OVD. Compared Course of and OUDO
7	4	SVP, General Counsel and CHRO Title
8		
9		
10	· ·	,
11		
12		
13		<i>;</i>
. 14		
15		
16		
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
18		
19 20	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20		
22	•	
23		
24	0	N 1
25	(	
26		
27		
28		
MENT PREPARED ECYCLED PAPER		-12- NTIMATES, INC., DML MARKETING GROUP, LTD., NEW RUE21, LLC CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

"Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test method:

- 1. Homogenized sample of minimum 1 gram.
- 2. Quantitative solvent extraction by acetonitrile. If acetonitrile not available, may substitute with methanol.
  - 3. Extraction by EPA methods 3540 (Soxhlet), 3546 (microwave), or hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius.
  - 4. Analysis by LC/MS-MS, with isotope dilution; HPLC-DADMS, subject to performance criteria below. GC/MS-MS may be used if other methods not available and no derivatization of BPA is required.
  - 5. Reporting limit of 0.5 mg/kg or lower.
- 6. Performance criteria demonstration of accuracy, precision, and quality control, per EPA Method 3500C sections 9, 11, and 13. Include on-going routine quality control testing of method blanks, laboratory control samples/duplicates, and matrix spike samples/duplicates.

-13-

1			EXHIBIT B	
3	1.	Name of Settling Defendant: Int	ernational Intimates, Inc.	
. 4				~
, 5	2.	Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pur	rsuant to Section 8.2):	
6		Jeffrey Margulies NORTON ROSE FULBRIO		
7 8		555 California Street Suite San Francisco, CA 94104		
9		jeff.margulies@nortonrose	<u>tutorignt.com</u>	
10		René Rofé International Intimates Inc.		<i>e</i> *
. 11		31 W 34th St, 9th Floor New York, NY 10001	,	
12		rener@renerofe.com		_
13	3.	Will Defendant use the Long-For	m Warning on its Covered	Products?
14		Yes: _X No:		
15				•
. 16	4.	Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation (Pursuant	to Section 5.1):
17		·	Long-Form Warning	Other Warning
. 18				
•		Total Settlement Payment	\$ 100,000	\$
19		·		1
19		Civil Penalty (Total)	\$ 14,000	\$
19		Civil Penalty (Total) - OEHHA (75%)	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500	\$ \$
19 20 21		Civil Penalty (Total)	\$ 14,000	\$
19 20 21 22		Civil Penalty (Total) - OEHHA (75%)	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500	\$ \$
19 20 21 22 23		Civil Penalty (Total)  - OEHHA (75%)  - CEH (25%)	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500 \$ 3,500	\$ \$
19 20 21 22 23 24		Civil Penalty (Total)  - OEHHA (75%)  - CEH (25%)  Additional Settlement Payment	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 10,000	\$ \$
19 20 21 22 23 24 25		Civil Penalty (Total)  - OEHHA (75%)  - CEH (25%)  Additional Settlement Payment  Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 10,000 \$ 76,000	\$ \$
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Civil Penalty (Total)  - OEHHA (75%)  - CEH (25%)  Additional Settlement Payment  Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs  - LLG Fees and Costs	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 10,000 \$ 76,000 \$ 64,000	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		Civil Penalty (Total)  - OEHHA (75%)  - CEH (25%)  Additional Settlement Payment  Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs  - LLG Fees and Costs	\$ 14,000 \$ 10,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 10,000 \$ 76,000 \$ 64,000 \$ 12,000	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

	ŀ	•				
1			EXHIBIT B			
2	1.	Name of Settling Defendant: DML Marketing Group, Ltd.				
4 5	2.	Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pur	rsuant to Section 8.2):			
6 7		Jeffrey Margulies NORTON ROSE FULBRI 555 California Street Suite San Francisco, CA 94104	•			
8		jeff.margulies@nortonrose	fulbright.com	,		
9		Mark Hierbaum DML Marketing Group, Lt	d.			
11		7711 Hayvenhurst Ave. Van Nuys, CA 91406		-		
12		mark@dmlsocks.com				
13 14	3.	Will Defendant use the Long-For	rm Warning on its Covered	Products?		
15		Yes:X No:	<u></u>			
16	4.	Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation (Pursuant	to Section 5.1):		
17 18	. ,		Long-Form Warning	Other Warning		
19		Total Settlement Payment	\$ 100,000	\$		
20		Civil Penalty (Total)	\$ 14,000	\$		
21 22		- OEHHA (75%)	\$ 10,500	\$		
23		- CEH (25%)	\$ 3,500	\$		
24	,	Additional Settlement Payment	\$ 10,000	\$·		
25	1	Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$ 76,000	\$		
26 27	-1	- LLG Fees and Costs	\$ 64,000	\$		

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

28

\$ 12,000

CEH Fees and Costs

, 1			EXHIBIT B	
2.3	1.	Name of Settling Defendant: New	v rue21, LLC	
5 °	2.	Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pur	rsuant to Section 8.2):	
6		Sophia B. Castillo		
7		DOWNEY BRAND LLP 455 Market Street, Suite 15 San Francisco, California 9	4105	
. 8		scastillo@downeybrand.co	<u>m</u>	,
9		Stephen A. Gould SVP, General Counsel & C	HRO	
		rue21 800 Commonwealth Drive		
11 12		Warrendale, PA 15086 sgould@rue21.com		
13	3.	Will Defendant use the Long-For	rm Warning on its Covered	Products?
14	,	Yes: No:	_X	
15		•		<i>,</i>
16	4.	Defendant's Settlement Payment	and Allocation (Pursuant to	Section 5.1):
17			Long-Form Warning	Other Warning
18				)
19		Total Settlement Payment	\$	\$ 80,000
20		Civil Penalty (Total)	\$	\$ 10,600
21		- ОЕННА (75%)	\$	\$ 7,950
23		- CEH (25%)	\$	\$ 2,650
24		Additional Settlement Payment	\$	\$ 7,900
25	;	Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$	\$ 61,500
26		- LLG Fees and Costs	\$	\$ 51,000
27		- CEH Fees and Costs	\$	\$ 10,500
DOCUMENT PREPARED		7	-16-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER		CONSENT JUDGMENT – INTERNATIONAL IN	TIMATES, INC., DML MARKETING GRO	UP, LTD., NEW RUE21, LLC