

1 Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366)
2 Environmental Research Center, Inc.
3 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
4 San Diego, CA 92108
5 Ph: (619) 500-3090
6 Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org
7 Attorney for Plaintiff
8 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

OCT 21 2022

By 

9 Peg Carew Toledo (SBN 181227)
10 Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
11 Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor
12 San Francisco, CA 94111
13 Ph: (415) 471-3110
14 Email: peg.toledo@arnoldporter.com

15 Attorney for Defendant
16 YUMMYEARTH INC., individually and dba YUMEARTH

17 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

19 **ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH**
20 **CENTER, INC., a California non-profit**
21 **corporation**

CASE NO. 22CV014741

STIPULATED CONSENT
JUDGMENT

Plaintiff,

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*

vs.

Action Filed: July 21, 2022

22 **YUMMYEARTH INC., individually and**
23 **dba YUMEARTH; and DOES 1-100**

Trial Date: None set

Defendants.

24
25 **1. INTRODUCTION**

26 **1.1** On July 21, 2022, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. (“ERC”), a
27 non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by
28 filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the “Complaint”)

1 pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.*
2 (“Proposition 65”), against YummyEarth Inc., individually and dba YumEarth (“YumEarth”)
3 and Does 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that certain products manufactured, distributed, or
4 sold by YumEarth contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and
5 reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65
6 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a “Covered Product” or
7 collectively as “Covered Products”) are: (1) YumEarth Organic Licorice Gluten Free
8 Strawberry, (2) YumEarth Organic Licorice Gluten Free Pomegranate, (3) YumEarth Organic
9 Licorice Gluten Free Peach, and (4) YumEarth Organic Pops Watermelon Wet-Face.
10 YumEarth asserts that the YumEarth Organic Pops Watermelon Wet-Face is only sold in
11 YumEarth Organic Pops Assorted Flavors bags.

12 **1.2** ERC and YumEarth are hereinafter referred to individually as a “Party” or
13 collectively as the “Parties.”

14 **1.3** ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other
15 causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of
16 hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees,
17 and encouraging corporate responsibility.

18 **1.4** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that YumEarth is a
19 business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and
20 qualifies as a “person in the course of doing business” within the meaning of Proposition 65.
21 YumEarth manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.

22 **1.5** The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC’s Notice of Violation
23 dated January 25, 2022 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public
24 enforcers, and YumEarth (“Notice”). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated
25 January 25, 2022 is attached hereto as *Exhibit A* and incorporated herein by reference. More
26 than sixty (60) days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public
27 enforcers, and YumEarth and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against
28 YumEarth with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

1 **1.6** ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products by
2 California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving clear and reasonable
3 warnings from YumEarth, which is in violation of California Health and Safety Code section
4 25249.6. YumEarth denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

5 **1.7** The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle,
6 compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.
7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute
8 or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers,
9 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions,
10 franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact,
11 issue of law, or violation of law.

12 **1.8** Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
13 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in
14 any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

15 **1.9** The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which ERC serves
16 the Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.

17 **2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become
19 necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter
20 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction
21 over YumEarth as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County,
22 and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of
23 all claims up through and including the Compliance Date (as defined in Section 3.1) that were or
24 could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

25 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS**

26 **3.1** Beginning 90 days after the Effective Date ("Compliance Date"), YumEarth
27 shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California,
28 "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any

1 Covered Product that exposes a person to a “Daily Lead Exposure Level” of more than 0.5
2 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

3 **3.1.1** As used in this Consent Judgment, the term “Distributing into the State
4 of California” shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in
5 California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that YumEarth knows will sell the
6 Covered Product in California.

7 **3.1.2** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the “Daily Lead Exposure
8 Level” shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:
9 micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the
10 product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings
11 of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on
12 the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no
13 recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

14 **3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

15 **3.2.1 Warning Content.** If YumEarth is required to provide a warning pursuant
16 to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized (“Warning”):

17 **WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which
18 are known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other
19 reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

20 YumEarth shall use the phrase “cancer and” in the Warning if the “Daily Lead Exposure
21 Level” is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control
22 methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may
23 require a cancer warning.

24 The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the label of each Covered
25 Product and it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box.

26 **3.2.2 Internet Warnings.** In addition, for any Covered Product sold by
27 YumEarth over the internet, the Warning shall be displayed as follows: (a) on the primary
28 display page for the Covered Product; (b) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word
“WARNING” in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Product’s primary display page, so

1 long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Warning without
2 content that detracts from the Warning; (c) on the checkout page when a California delivery
3 address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product and withn asterisk or other
4 identifying method utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the
5 Warning; or (d) by otherwise prominently displaying the Warning to the purchaser prior to
6 completing the purchase.

7 **3.2.3 Warning Prominence.** The Warning shall be at least the same size as the
8 largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the website or on the label and the
9 word “**WARNING**” shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or
10 likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person shall
11 accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply
12 that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the
13 listed chemical. YumEarth must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as
14 compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to
15 render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary
16 conditions of purchase or use of the product.

17 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “label” means a display of written,
18 printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate
19 container or wrapper.

20 **3.2.4 Alternative Warning Content and Methods.** The Parties acknowledge
21 that the Warning set forth above is the Safe Harbor Warning language for foods and dietary
22 supplements currently set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25607.2. In
23 the event that the warning language in section 25607.2 is amended or modified in the future,
24 YumEarth may use the Safe Harbor Warning language in section 25607.2 as amended or
25 modified so long as it remains applicable to the Covered Products.

26 **3.2.5 Stream of Commerce.** The requirements of Sctions 3.1 and 3.2 do not
27 apply to Covered Products that “enter the stream of commerce” prior to the Compliance Date.
28 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “enter the stream of commerce” means that

1 Covered Products are put into final packaging for consumer sale and are no longer in the
2 possession or under the control of YumEarth.

3 **3.3 Conforming Covered Products**

4 A Conforming Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure
5 Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the exposure
6 methodology set forth in Section 3.1.2 and the quality control methodology described in Section
7 3.4, and that is not known by YumEarth to contain other chemicals that violate Proposition 65's
8 safe harbor thresholds.

9 **3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

10 **3.4.1** Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, YumEarth shall
11 arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three (3)
12 consecutive years by arranging for testing of one (1) randomly selected sample of each of the
13 Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which YumEarth intends to
14 sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or
15 "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section
16 demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three (3)
17 consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to
18 that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, YumEarth
19 changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the
20 Covered Products, YumEarth shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two (2)
21 consecutive years after such change is made.

22 **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," testing
23 results of the one (1) randomly selected sample of each of the Covered Products will be
24 controlling.

25 **3.4.3** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a
26 laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate
27 for the method used, including limit of detection and limit of quantification, sensitivity,
28 accuracy and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass

1 Spectrometry (“ICP-MS”) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.005
2 mg/kg.

3 **3.4.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an
4 independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory
5 Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the
6 United States Food & Drug Administration.

7 **3.4.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit YumEarth’s ability to
8 conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including
9 the raw materials used in their manufacture.

10 **3.4.6** Within thirty (30) days of ERC’s written request, YumEarth shall
11 deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. YumEarth shall retain all test
12 results and documentation for a period of three (3) years from the date of each test.

13 **3.4.7** The testing requirements of Section 3.4 do not apply to any Covered
14 Product for which YumEarth has provided a Warning in compliance with Section 3.2
15 continuously and uninterrupted after the Compliance Date; however, in the event YumEarth
16 ceases to provide a Warning in compliance with Section 3.2, YumEarth shall be required to
17 comply with the testing requirements of this Section beginning immediately after the date the
18 Warning ceases to be provided or one year after the Effective Date, whichever date is later,
19 unless YumEarth can show to the satisfaction of ERC that the cessation in providing the
20 Warning was a temporary error that was resolved when discovered.

21 **4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT**

22 **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement
23 payments, attorney’s fees, and costs, YumEarth shall make a total payment of \$30,000.00
24 (“Total Settlement Amount”) to ERC within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date (“Due
25 Date”). YumEarth shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC’s account, for which ERC
26 will give YumEarth the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be
27 apportioned as follows:

28 **4.2** \$4,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and

1 Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$3,000.00) of the civil penalty to the
2 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) for deposit in the Safe
3 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety
4 Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) of the civil penalty.

5 **4.3** \$3,563.09 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable
6 costs incurred in bringing this action.

7 **4.4** \$22,436.91 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as
8 explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

9 **4.5** In the event that YumEarth fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed
10 under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, YumEarth shall be
11 deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall
12 provide written notice of the delinquency to YumEarth via electronic mail. If YumEarth fails
13 to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total
14 Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the
15 California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, YumEarth agrees to pay
16 ERC’s reasonable attorney’s fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under
17 this Consent Judgment.

18 **5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

19 **5.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by
20 written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment
21 or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a
22 modified consent judgment.

23 **5.2** If YumEarth seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then
24 YumEarth must provide written notice to ERC of its intent (“Notice of Intent”). If ERC seeks
25 to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must
26 provide written notice to YumEarth within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If
27 ERC notifies YumEarth in a timely manner of ERC’s intent to meet and confer, then the Parties
28 shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person

1 or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer.
2 Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall
3 provide to YumEarth a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and
4 confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should
5 it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-
6 confer period.

7 **5.3** In the event that YumEarth initiates or otherwise requests a modification under
8 Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a
9 modification of the Consent Judgment, YumEarth shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable
10 attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the
11 motion or application.

12 **6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT**
13 **JUDGMENT**

14 **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or
15 terminate this Consent Judgment.

16 **6.2** If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Conforming
17 Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall
18 inform YumEarth in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information
19 sufficient to permit YumEarth to identify the Covered Products at issue. YumEarth shall, within
20 thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an
21 independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4,
22 demonstrating YumEarth's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first
23 attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

24 **7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

25 This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their
26 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries,
27 divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers,
28 retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no

1 application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of
2 California and that is not used by California consumers.

3 **8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

4 **8.1** This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC,
5 on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and YumEarth and its respective officers,
6 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers,
7 franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of YumEarth),
8 distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the
9 distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any
10 of them (collectively, "Released Parties").

11 **8.2** ERC, acting in the public interest, releases the Released Parties from any
12 and all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Compliance Date based on
13 exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint. ERC, on
14 behalf of itself only, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all
15 claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and
16 expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of
17 the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing
18 regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered
19 Products regarding lead to and including the Compliance Date.

20 **8.3** ERC on its own behalf only, and YumEarth on its own behalf only, further
21 waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or
22 statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of
23 Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the
24 Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's
25 right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

26 **8.4** It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts
27 alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be
28 discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and YumEarth on behalf of itself only, acknowledge

1 that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up
2 through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and
3 YumEarth acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 above may include
4 unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such
5 unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

6 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE
7 CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO
8 EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE
9 AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY
AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED
PARTY.

10 ERC on behalf of itself only, and YumEarth on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and
11 understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code
12 section 1542.

13 **8.5** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to
14 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged
15 exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

16 **8.6** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or
17 environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of YumEarth's
18 products other than the Covered Products.

19 **9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS**

20 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be
21 unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely
22 affected.

23 **10. GOVERNING LAW**

24 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in
25 accordance with the laws of the State of California.

26 **11. PROVISION OF NOTICE**

27 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall
28 be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first class mail or via electronic

1 mail where required. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

2 **FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:**

3 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center
4 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
5 San Diego, CA 92108
6 Ph: (619) 500-3090
7 Email: chris.heptinstall@erc501c3.org

7 With a copy to:

8 Charles W. Poss Environmental Research Center, Inc.
9 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
10 San Diego, CA 92108
11 Ph: (619) 500-3090
12 Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org

11 **FOR YUMMYEARTH INC.:**

12 Aleksandra Auguste
13 YummyEarth Inc.
14 9 West Broad St , Suite #440
15 Stamford, CT 06902
16 Email: sauguste@yumearth.com

16 With a copy to:

17 Peg Carew Toledo
18 Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
19 Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94111
21 Telephone: (415) 471-3110
22 Email: peg.toledo@arnoldporter.com

21 **12. COURT APPROVAL**

22 **12.1** Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a
23 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this
24 Consent Judgment.

25 **12.2** If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,
26 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible
27 prior to the hearing on the motion.

28 **12.3** If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have

1 no force or effect.

2 **13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

3 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be
4 deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid
5 as the original signature.

6 **14. DRAFTING**

7 The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for
8 each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms
9 and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and
10 construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn,
11 and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact
12 that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any
13 portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated
14 equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15 **15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

16 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent
17 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or
18 in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may
19 be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

20 **16. ENFORCEMENT**

21 ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda
22 County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action
23 brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,
24 penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

25 **17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION**

26 **17.1** This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
27 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and
28 all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No

1 representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have
2 been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to
3 herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

4 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
5 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

6 **18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF**
7 **CONSENT JUDGMENT**

8 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The
9 Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
10 regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to make the findings pursuant to
11 California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this
12 Consent Judgment.

13 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**


14
15 Dated: 8/4/, 2022

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
CENTER, INC.

16
17
18 By: 
Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director

19
20 Dated: 8/5/, 2022

YUMMYEARTH INC., individually and
dba YUMEARTH

21
22
23 By: 
Aleksandra Auguste, Vice-President,
24 Marketing & Quality
25
26
27
28

1 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

2

3 Dated: August 8, 2022

4

5

6

7

8 Dated: August 5, 2022

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24


25

26


27

28

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
CENTER, INC.

By: 
Charles W. Poss
In-House Counsel

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER
LLP


By: 
Peg Carew Toledo
Attorney for YummyEarth Inc.,
individually and dba YumEarth

1 **ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

2 Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
3 approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.


5 Dated: October 21, 2022

 (ES)

6 _____
7 Judge of the Superior Court

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A



Environmental Research Center

3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108
619-500-3090

January 25, 2022

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 *ET SEQ.* (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I am the Executive Director of Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"). ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*, with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

Alleged Violators. The name of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

**YummyEarth Inc., individually and dba YumEarth
Riverside Partners L.L.C., individually and dba The Riverside Company**

Consumer Products and Listed Chemical. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

1. **YumEarth Organic Licorice Gluten Free Strawberry - Lead**
2. **YumEarth Organic Licorice Gluten Free Pomegranate - Lead**
3. **YumEarth Organic Licorice Gluten Free Peach - Lead**
4. **YumEarth Organic Pops Watermelon Wet-Face - Lead**

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to this chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least January 25, 2019, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemical. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to this chemical.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC at the above listed address and telephone number.

Sincerely,



Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

Attachments

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to YummyEarth Inc., individually and dba YumEarth, Riverside Partners L.L.C., individually and dba The Riverside Company, and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by YummyEarth Inc., individually and dba YumEarth and Riverside Partners L.L.C., individually and dba The Riverside Company

I, Chris Heptinstall, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am the Executive Director for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.



Dated: January 25, 2022

Chris Heptinstall

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On January 25, 2022, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO
YummyEarth Inc., individually and dba YumEarth
9 W Broad St, Ste 440
Stamford, CT 06902

CT Corporation System
(Registered Agent for YummyEarth Inc.,
individually and dba YumEarth)
67 Burnside Ave
East Hartford, CT 06108

Current President or CEO
Riverside Partners L.L.C., individually and dba
The Riverside Company
45 Rockefeller Ctr
630 Fifth Ave, Ste 400
New York, NY 10111

CT Corporation System
(Registered Agent for YummyEarth Inc.,
individually and dba YumEarth)
820 Bear Tavern Rd
Ewing, NJ 08628

The Corporation Trust Company
(Registered Agent for Riverside Partners L.L.C., individually
And dba The Riverside Company)
Corporation Trust Center
1209 N. Orange St
Wilmington, DE 19801

On January 25, 2022, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice> :

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 25, 2022, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

January 25, 2022

Page 5

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney
Alameda County
7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650
Oakland, CA 94621
CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney
Calaveras County
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney
Contra Costa County
900 Ward Street
Martinez, CA 94553
sgrassini@contracostada.org

Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney
Fresno County
2100 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA 93721
consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney
Inyo County
168 North Edwards Street
Independence, CA 93526
inyoda@inyocounty.us

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator
Lassen County
220 S. Lassen Street
Susanville, CA 96130
mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Walter W. Wall, District Attorney
Mariposa County
P.O. Box 730
Mariposa, CA 95338
mcda@mariposacounty.org

Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney
Merced County
550 West Main St
Merced, CA 95340
Prop65@countyofmerced.com

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney
Monterey County
1200 Aguajito Road
Monterey, CA 93940
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney
Napa County
1127 First Street, Ste C
Napa, CA 94559
CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney
Nevada County
201 Commercial St
Nevada City, CA 95959
DA.Prop65@co nevada.ca.us

Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney
Placer County
10810 Justice Center Drive
Roseville, CA 95678
Prop65@placer.ca.gov

David Hollister, District Attorney
Plumas County
520 Main St
Quincy, CA 95971
davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney
Riverside County
3072 Orange Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney
Sacramento County
901 G Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Prop65@sacda.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney
San Diego County
330 West Broadway
San Diego, CA 92101
SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcca.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney
San Diego City Attorney
1200 Third Avenue
San Diego, CA 92101
CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Alexandra Grayner, Assistant District Attorney
San Francisco District Attorney's Office
350 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
Alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

January 25, 2022

Page 6

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney
San Francisco City Attorney
1390 Market Street, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatt.y.org

Nora V. Frimann, City Attorney
San Jose City Attorney
200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor
San Jose, CA 96113
Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney
San Joaquin County
222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202
Stockton, CA 95202
DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney
Santa Cruz County
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney
San Luis Obispo County
County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney
Sonoma County
600 Administration Dr
Sonoma, CA 95403
jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
Santa Barbara County
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney
Tulare County
221 S Mooney Blvd
Visalia, CA 95370
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us


Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney
Santa Clara County
70 W Hedding St
San Jose, CA 95110
EPU@da.sccgov.org

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney
Ventura County
800 S Victoria Ave
Ventura, CA 93009
daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney
Yolo County
301 Second Street
Woodland, CA 95695
cfepd@yolocounty.org

On January 25, 2022, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on January 25, 2022, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.


Phyllis Dunwoody

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

January 25, 2022

Page 7

Service List

District Attorney, Alpine
County
P.O. Box 248
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador
County
708 Court Street, Suite 202
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte
County
25 County Center Drive, Suite
245
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Colusa
County
310 6th St
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte
County
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado
County
778 Pacific St.
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Glenn
County
Post Office Box 430
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt
County
825 5th Street 4th Floor
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial
County
940 West Main Street, Ste 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Kern County
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings
County
1400 West Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles
County
Hall of Justice
211 West Temple St., Ste 1200
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera
County
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin
County
3501 Civic Center Drive,
Room 130
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mendocino
County
Post Office Box 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Modoc
County
204 S Court Street, Room 202
Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono
County
Post Office Box 617
Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Orange
County
300 N Flower St
Santa Ana, CA 92703

District Attorney, San Benito
County
419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San
Bernardino County
303 West Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Mateo
County
400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta
County
1355 West Street
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra
County
Post Office Box 457
100 Courthouse Square, 2nd
Floor
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou
County
Post Office Box 986
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano
County
675 Texas Street, Ste 4500
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus
County
832 12th Street, Ste 300
Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter
County
463 2nd Street
Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama
County
Post Office Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity
County
Post Office Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne
County
423 N. Washington Street
Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba
County
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's
Office
City Hall East
200 N. Main Street, Suite 800
Los Angeles, CA 90012

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.