1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
10/21/2024

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

. L. Meguerian Deput

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CONSUMER PROTECTION GROUP, LLC, IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

HOUSE OF SPICES (INDIA) INC., PIONEER CASH & CARRY, INC., and DOES 1-30

DEFENDANTS.

CASE NO. 22STCV34988

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGEMENT BETWEEN CONSUMER PROTECTION GROUP, LLC, AND DEFENDANT HOUSE OF SPICE (INDIA) INC.

[Notice of Motion and Motion; Memorandum of Points And Authorities, Supporting Declaration of Jonathan M. Genish; and [Proposed] Consent Judgment filed concurrently herewith]

**Reservation No.:** 645273997937 **Date:** October 21, 2024

**Time:** 8:30 am **Dept.:** 74

**Judge:** Hon. Colin Leis

Complaint filed: November 3, 2022

1

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

On October 21, 2024, at 8:30 AM in Department 61, the Court heard the Motion to Approve and Enter Consent Judgment Between CONSUMER PROTECTION GROUP, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "CPG") and HOUSE OF SPICE (INDIA) INC ("Defendant"). The Court, having considered the documents filed in connection with this matter, and no opposition being filed, has arrived at the following conclusions and SO ORDERS:

- 1. The Court GRANTS the Motion to Approve and Enter Consent Judgment
  Between Plaintiff and Defendant, in its entirety pursuant to California Health and
  Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4).
- 2. The Court approves the [Proposed] Consent Judgment, which Plaintiff and Defendant have submitted to this Court for approval pursuant to Proposition 65 (Cal. Health & Safety Code §§25249.5, et seq.) after making the following findings:

The Court finds that the [Proposed] Consent Judgment complies with the requirements of Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 et seq. Defendant's agreement to change its conduct complies with *HSC* § 25249.7(f)(4)(A) as follows:

a) As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any garlic power ("Covered Products") unless the level of Lead does not exceed 10 parts per billion. For illustration purposes the following warnings are deemed to comply with Proposition 65:

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to Lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food

Or

WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food

- b) The warning requirements shall not apply to Covered Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Effective Date.
- c) Within thirty days (30) after the Consent Judgment is entered by the Court or one hundred and twenty days (120) days after this Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties, whichever is later, Defendant must pay a total of one-hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00) covering civil penalties and attorneys' fees and costs. This payment is divided as follows:
  - i. Five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) payment as civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 are reasonable under the factors set forth in Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(2):
    - A. Twelve thousand dollars (\$12,000.00) as civil penalties are reasonable under the factors set forth in Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (b)(2). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code, section 25249.12, nine thousand dollars (\$9,000.00) representing 75% of the total penalty will be paid to State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), and three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) representing 25% of the total penalty will be paid to Consumer Protection Group, LLC., and;
    - B. Defendant's payment of one hundred and thirty-eight thousand dollars (\$138,000.00) for attorney's fees and costs to CPG's attorneys to which the parties have agreed to is reasonable under California law pursuant to *HSC* section 25249.7(f)(4).
  - b) Further, the proposed settlement terms are presumed to confer a public benefit. A public benefit is presumed, because the [Proposed] Consent Judgment requires Defendant to sell products with Proposition 65 compliant warnings affixed to said Covered Product or to reformulate the Covered Products to significantly reduce the level of Lead.

1	į .	3.	The Court shall sign and hereby dire	cts the Clerk to file and enter the [Proposed]
2			Consent Judgment.	
3				
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
5				Coln Leis
6	Dated:		10/21/2024	
7				Hon. Colin Leis Judge of the Superior Court
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				