2

3

5

6

COMPANY,

vs.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SOUTHEAST DISTRICT/NORWALK COURT

CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES LLC, A) Case No.: 23NWCV02775
CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY)

) ORDER/RULING

FILED Superdor Court of Califord County of Los Angeles

JAN 23 2025

Political States, Experience Office Server Comp

Dyr. S. Hayerb, Dept. ty

Plaintiff CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES, LLC's Unopposed Motion to

Moving Party to give notice.

Plaintiff.

WISEMATTAC ASIAN FOOD, INC.,

Defendant

No Oppositions filed as of January 22, 2025.

Confirm Proposition 65 Settlement is GRANTED.

This Proposition 65 Action was filed by Clean Product Advocates LLC on August 31, 2023 alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers that their products exposed users to the listed chemical cadmium. On May 8, 2024, the parties finalized and mutually executed the consent judgment.

Plaintiff now requests that this Court approve the Settlement and enter Judgment in accordance with its terms.

The unopposed Motion is GRANTED. The Consent Judgment contains "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 compliant warnings; the payment of \$2,000.00 in civil penalties is reasonable; the award of \$18,000.00 in attorney fees as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is appropriate and reasonable under California Law; Plaintiff adequately represented the public interest in entering into the Consent Judgment; and the terms of the Settlement Agreement in the public interest consistent with California Health and Safety Code \$25249.7(d).

The Court will sign the Proposed Order filed in conjunction with the Subject Motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/23/2025

THE HONORABLE RADL A. SAHAGUN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT



1 2	CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM Elham Shabatian (SBN 221953) 12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 800			
3	Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: (310) 200-3227			
4	Email: ellie@cliffwoodlaw.com			
5				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES, LLC			
7	İ			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
10				
11	CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES, LLC, a	Case No. 23NWCV02775		
12	California Limited Liability Company,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		
13	Plaintiff,	[[Filed Concurrently with Notice of Motion		
14	V.	[[Filed Concurrently with Notice of Motion and Motion, Supporting Declaration of [Elham Shabatian], [Proposed] Order, and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in		
15	WISMETTAC ASIAN FOODS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100,	Support to Approve and Enter Consent Judgment		
16	Defendants.	Hon. Olivia Rosales		
17		Dept. F		
18		Reservation ID: Date:		
19	; ;	Time:		
20		Complaint filed: August 31, 2023		
21				
22				
23				
2425				
26				
27				
28	i i			
-		Page 1		
	CONSENT JUDG	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "CPA"), acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant WISMETTAC ASIAN FOODS, INC. ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant are collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Covered Products

- 1.2.1 CPA alleges that Defendant employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendant is deemed to be a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2.2 CPA alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, distributes and/or sells Frozen Cuttlefish Fillet (SK-Mongo) (UPC 074410905224) and Crab Shumai Dumpling (UPC 074410918651) in California. As set forth in Section 2.1 below, Frozen Cuttlefish Fillet and Crab Shumai Dumpling products that allegedly contain cadmium are collectively referred to herein as "Covered Products."

1.3 Listed Chemicals

1.3.1 Cadmium and cadmium compounds ("Cadmium") has been listed by the State of California as chemicals known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm. The Maximum Allowable Dose Level ("MADL") for cadmium set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment is 4.1 micrograms (µg) per day.

1.4 Notices of Violation

- 1.4.1 On or about June 1, 2022, CPA served a "60-Day Notice of Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986," AG# 2022-01119, in which it alleged that the recipients, specifically including Defendant, violated *Health & Safety Code § 25249.6* by failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in the Crab Shumai Dumpling product sold by Defendant in California.
 - 1.4.2 On or about June 21, 2022, CPA served a "60-Day Notice of Violation of the

Page 2

Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986," AG# 2022-01300, in which it alleged that the recipients, specifically including Defendant, violated *Health & Safety Code § 25249.6* by failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in Frozen Cuttlefish Fillet sold by Defendant in California.

1.4.3 The Notices of Violation, as described in Section 1.4.1 and Section 1.4.2, are collectively referred to as the Notices.

1.5 Complaint

1.5.1 On August 31, 2023, CPA filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 23NWCV02775, against Defendant. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Cadmium contained in Covered Products that Defendant allegedly placed into the stream of commerce in California.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

1.6.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against Defendant contained in the Complaint and the Notices, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto with respect to Covered Products.

1.7 No Admission

1.7.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant expressly maintains that all of their products, including but not limited to the Covered Products subject to the Notices and the Complaint, comply with all laws including Proposition 65, and are completely safe for their intended use. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed

 as an admission by Defendant of any material allegation in the Notices or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in *Health and Safety Code section 25249.6*. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing or liability by Defendant, their officers, directors, employees or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency or forum, except for purposes of res judicata or collateral estoppel. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 "Covered Products" means Frozen Cuttlefish Fillet (SK-Mongo) and Crab Shumai Dumpling, manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold in California by Defendant.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
 - 2.4 "Cadmium" means cadmium and cadmium compounds.
 - 2.5 "Listed Chemical" cadmium and cadmium compounds.
- 2.6 "Notices" means the June 1, 2022, *Proposition 65* 60-Day Notice, AG# 2022-01119 and the June 21, 2022, *Proposition 65* 60-Day Notice, AG # 2022-01300.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

3.1 Defendant shall provide a Proposition 65 warning for all Covered Products manufactured 30 or more days after the Effective Date that are distributed or offered for sale in California and contain 4.1 micrograms or more of Cadmium per day, calculated using the following formula: micrograms of cadmium per gram of product, multiplied by grams of

or

product per serving of the product. The Proposition 65 warning may be provided by any one of or combination of the methods described below.

3.2 Any warning provided pursuant to this section may be provided either on the product itself or by retail warning sign. Any warning on the Covered Product itself shall be on the product label, or affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Product, and shall be placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The product warning shall state either:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including

Cadmium, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects

or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

- 3.3 Defendant may provide warnings as specified in the Proposition 65 regulations in effect as of the Effective Date, or as such regulations may be modified or amended in the future. Defendant may also comply with this Section 3 by complying with the procedures set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2.
- 3.4 The same warning shall be posted on any website under the exclusive control of Defendant where the Covered Products are sold to consumers located in California. The warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the forgoing warning appears either:

 (a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed and/or described by providing a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING,"; (b) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product, or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or check-out listing of the Covered Product, if the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product to which the warning applies.

3.5 Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgement, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, imported, or distributed prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such products were, or are in the future, shipped, delivered, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of Releasees do not apply to the Products manufactured, packaged, imported, or distributed prior to the Effective Date.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees, investigative expenses, or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices or Complaint, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to *Health & Safety Code § 25249.12*:
- (a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of One Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500) representing 75% of the total civil penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CPA in the amount of Five Hundred (\$500) representing 25% of the total civil penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$1,500. Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CPA (EIN: 88-2690913) in the amount of \$750 and deliver it to CPA c/o Cliffwood Law Firm, PC, 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 4.1.2 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Defendant shall issue a check in the amount of Eighteen Thousand (\$18,000) payable to "Cliffwood Law Firm, PC" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating,

1

9

12

13

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

24 25

26

2728

bringing this matter to the Defendant' attention, preparing and serving the Notices, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 above, shall be delivered to: Cliffwood Law Firm, PC, 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90025. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Defendant shall provide written confirmation to CPA that the payment to OEHHA has been made concurrently with the payment it makes to OEHHA.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CPA and 5.1 Defendant as to those matters raised in the Notices and Complaint, CPA, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby waives and releases Defendant and their owners, officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, predecessors and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, all suppliers, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, marketplace hosts, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, licensors and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any and all claims for alleged or actual violations of Proposition 65 for alleged exposures or failure to warn of alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Defendant up through the Effective Date. Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical from the Covered Products imported, distributed or sold by Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date except this release will not apply to any third party website that does not comply with the instruction to provide the warning. Nothing in this

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

2122

24

25

23

26

2728

Section affects CPA's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

CPA on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, 5.2 successors and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, penalties, damages, costs, fines, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by the Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date. In furtherance of the foregoing, CPA on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by the Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CPA understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CPA alleges or suffers future harm arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured. imported, distributed and/or sold by the Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees through the Effective Date, CPA

will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or any other relief against such releasees. Furthermore, CPA acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law Claim that may exist as of the date of this release but which CPA does not know exists, and which, if known, would materially affect CPA's decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or any other cause.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CPA shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties agree to act in good faith to obtain Court approval of the Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CPA and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing, trial, or appeal on the allegations in the Notices and/or Complaint.
- 6.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

8. ENFORCEMENT

8.1 Any alleged violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively hereunder by the Parties hereto. Before CPA moves to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment against Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees related to the alleged Listed Chemical in the Covered Products, CPA must provide written notice to Defendant of any alleged violation, and must provide all evidence supporting the alleged violation including any applicable test results, product photographs and purchase receipts, subject to a reasonable confidentiality agreement if requested. The Parties will thereafter meet and confer for a minimum period of 60 days to allow time for the named entity or entities to present to CPA any relevant compliance information and/or corrective action taken related to the alleged violation, including if applicable, the date of manufacture, import, distribution or sale of the Covered Product at issue for purpose of determining the applicability of the release hereunder. If the Parties cannot resolve the alleged violation, any Party may move to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment consistent with the terms hereof.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under *Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6*.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by any Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CPA shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by all Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CPA may then submit it to the Court for approval.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or

Page 10

otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein, have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.2, Defendant and CPA shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims alleged in the Notices and Complaint, and resolved in this Consent Judgment.

13. GOVERNING LAW

- 13.1 The validity, construction, terms and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CPA of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- 13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment, and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section

1	1654.		
2	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
3		14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of	
4	facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute		
5	one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.		
6	15. NOTICES		
7		15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First-Class	
8	Mail.	If to Plaintiff CLEAN PRODUCT ADVOCATES, LLC:	
9	Elham (Ellie) Shabatian, Esq.		
11		12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90025	
12 13		If to Defendant WISMETTAC ASIAN FOODS, INC.:	
14	,	Wismettac Asian Foods, Inc. 13409 Orden Drive	
15	'	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	
16		With a copy to:	
17	·	Paul Hirose, Esq.	
18		Jasmine W. Wetherell, Esq. Perkins Coie LLP	
19		1888 Century Park East Suite 1700	
20		Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721	
21	16.	AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE	
22		16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully	
23	authorized by the Party or Parties he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment ar		
24	to execute it on behalf of the Party or Parties represented and legally to bind that Party or		
25	Parties.		
26			
27			
28			

1	1		
2	Date: <u>05/08</u> , 2024 Date:/	<u>/3 /</u> , 2024	
3	JG / 7	707	
4	Por Deki Vangzom Par Hi	roe Yamush! Ta	
5		C ASIAN FOODS, INC.	
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9	Date: 05/08	IE SUPERIOR COURT	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	В		
		Page 13	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		