Envelope: 14380706

FFS-020

0.		EF3-020
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: NAME: Troy Bailey	STATE BAR NO.: 277424	FOR COURT USE ONLY
FIRM NAME: Voorhees & Bailey, LLP		
STREET ADDRESS: 839 Emerson Street		
CITY: Palo Alto	STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 94301	
TELEPHONE NO.: (617) 313-2154	FAX NO.: (650) 618-1606	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: troy@voorheesbailey.com		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Dennis Johnson		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113		
BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Civil		CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Dennis Johns	son	23CV417173
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: The Arister G	Group, Inc., et al.	JUDICIAL OFFICER:
OTHER:		
PROPOSED OR	RDER (COVER SHEET)	DEPT: 20

NOTE: This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

 Name of the party submitting the proposed order: Dennis Johnson

2. Title of the proposed order:

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:
 - a. Description of proceeding: Motion to Approve Consent Judgment
 - b. Date and time: February 8, 2024; 9:00 a.m.
 - c. Place: Dept. 20
- 4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Troy Bailey			1
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	<u></u>	7

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

CASE NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
Johnson v. The Arister Group, Inc., The TJX Companies, Inc. et al.	23CV417173

PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

	THOI COLD CADEA	
1.	I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this action.	
	 My residence or business address is (specify): Voorhees & Bailey, LLP; 839 Emerson Street; Palo Alto, CA 94301 	
	b. My electronic service address is (specify): troy@voorheesbailey.com	
2.	I electronically served the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with a proposed order in PDF format attached, and a proposed order in an editable word-processing format as follows:	
	 a. On (name of person served) (If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.): David Barnes and Trent Norris; counsel for The Arister Group, Inc. 	
	b. To (electronic service address of person served): david.barnes@hoganlovells.com trent.norris@hoganlovells.com c. On (date): January 7, 2024	
Electronic service of the <i>Proposed Order (Cover Sheet)</i> with the attached proposed order in PDF format and service of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format on additional persons are described in an attachment.		
	eclare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and rrect. Date: February 12, 2024	
Tro	OY Bailey (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)	

1 2 3 4 5	Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 David Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 VOORHEES & BAILEY, LLP 839 Emerson Street Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: (650) 313-2154 Facsimile: (650) 618-1606 troy@voorheesbailey.com josh@voorheesbailey.com	Filed March 4, 2024 Clerk of the Court Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara 23CV417173 By: tduarte
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DENNIS JOHNSON	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF S	SANTA CLARA
10	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION
11		
12	DENNIS JOHNSON,	Case No.: 23CV417173
13	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT
14	THE ARISTER GROUP, INC.; THE TJX	TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT
15	COMPANIES, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	JUDGMENT
16		Date:
17	Defendants.	Dept.: Judge:
18		Judge.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Dennis Johnson, and defendant, The Arister Group, Inc. dba

DesignStyles, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered

pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment

("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their

Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit I. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 28, 2024

2/28/2024 2:42:49 PN

smanoukian

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

EXHIBIT 1

1	Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 David Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436	
2	VOORHEES & BAILEY, LLP 839 Emerson Street	
3	Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: (650) 313-2154	
4	Facsimile: (650) 618-1606 troy@voorheesbailey.com	
5	josh@voorheesbailey.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	DENNIS JOHNSON	
8		
9	GURENION COUNT OF TH	
10		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		Y OF SANTA CLARA
12	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION
13		
14	DENNIS JOHNSON,	
15 16	Plaintiff,	
17	v.	Case No. 23CV417173
18	THE ARISTER GROUP, INC.; THE TJX	(I) II O S O S O S O S O S O S O S O S O S
19	COMPANIES, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)
20		
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	I	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT THE ARISTER GROUP INC., dba DESIGN STYLES

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Dennis Johnson ("Johnson") and defendant The Arister Group Inc., dba DesignStyles ("DesignStyles"), with Johnson, and DesignStyles each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Johnson is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Defendant

DesignStyles employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Johnson alleges that DesignStyles manufactures, sells, and distributes for sale in California metal and glass lanterns containing lead. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Johnson alleges that DesignStyles failed to provide the health hazard warnings required by Proposition 65 for exposures to lead.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are all Metal and Glass Lanterns manufactured for sale, distributed for sale, or sold in California by DeisgnStyles (hereinafter "Covered Products").

1.6 Notices of Violation

On June 29, 2022, Johnson served The Arister Group Inc., dba DesignStyles, The TJX Companies, Inc., and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation, alleging that DesignStyles violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead in the Covered

1 2

3

in the Notice.

1.7 **Complaint**

4 5

On June 6, 2023, Johnson commenced the instant action, naming DesignStyles as one of the defendants for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to the Covered Products.

Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth

6

1.8 No Admission

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

DesignStyles denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by DesignStyles of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by DesignStyles of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by DesignStyles. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect DesignStyles' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over DesignStyles as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that Johnson serves notice of entry of the Consent Judgment.

2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION/WARNINGS**

2.1 **Reformulation Standards**

"Reformulated Products" are defined as those Products that contain no more than 90 parts per million ("ppm") lead in any accessible component of the Products when analyzed pursuant to

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining lead content in a solid substance.

2.2 Reformulation Commitment

As of sixty (60) days after the Effective Date ("Compliance Date"), DesignStyles shall not manufacture, import, distribute, sell and/or offer the Covered Products for sale in the State of California unless they are Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.1 or contain appropriate health-hazard warnings pursuant to Section 2.3. DesignStyles may rely on its supplier's test result for compliance with this Consent Judgment as long as said test results meet the requirements of 27 CCR §25900.

2.3 Product Warnings

As of the Compliance Date, all Covered Products DesignStyles sells and/or distributes for sale in California that do not qualify as Reformulated Products, shall bear a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to this Section. There shall be no obligation for DesignStyles to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the Compliance Date, as they have been included in the calculation of civil penalties due pursuant to Section 3.1. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "enter the stream of commerce" means that the Covered Product is no longer in the custody of DesignStyles. DesignStyles further agrees that the warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products shall consist of a warning affixed directly to the product or product packaging, label, or tag, for Products sold in California, and contain one of the following statements:

▲ WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm- www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

OR

▲ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which are known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects and other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

15 16

17

14

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26

27

28

In addition to affixing the warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the warning shall be posted on websites where DesignStyles sells Covered Products to consumers in California and the consumer is able to complete the purchase on the website. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, DesignStyles shall (a) post the warning on its own website, if the website allows for the California consumer to complete the purchase on the website, and (b) if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of its third-party internet sellers where DesignStyles controls the content of the product display page. If DesignStyles does not have the ability to post the warning on the websites of third-party distributors or retail sellers with whom has written agreements to sell the Covered Products on the internet, DesignStyles shall provide such third-party distributors or retail sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2.

Where a label or tag used to provide a warning statement includes consumer information about a product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

- 2.4 In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above, DesignStyles may use any specific safe harbor warning content and method applicable to lead and the Covered Products set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600 et seq., as amended August 30, 2018 and subsequently thereafter.
- 2.5 DesignStyles' Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgement shall constitute its compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 **Civil Penalty Payment**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice or referred to in this Consent Judgment, DesignStyles agrees to pay \$3,000 in civil penalties within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. The penalty payment will be

allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Johnson and delivered to the address in Section 3.3 herein. DesignStyles will provide its payment in two checks as follows: (1) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250; and (2) "Dennis Johnson" in the amount of \$750.

3.2 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Johnson and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to Johnson's counsel, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been reached, DesignStyles expressed a desire to resolve Johnson's fees and costs. The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Johnson's counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter. Under these legal principles, within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, DesignStyles agrees to pay \$20,500, in the form of a check made payable to "Voorhees & Bailey, LLP," for all fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of DesignStyles' management, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any.

3.3 Payment Address

All payments under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

Voorhees & Bailey, LLP 839 Emerson Street Palo Alto, CA 94301

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Johnson's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Johnson, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases DesignStyles and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom DesignStyles directly or indirectly distributes

or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers including The TJX Companies, Inc., franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to lead in the Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by DesignStyles prior to the Compliance Date.

4.2 Johnson's Individual Release of Claims

Johnson, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release to DesignStyles, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Johnson, of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in the Covered Products, manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by DesignStyles before the Compliance Date.

4.3 Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this Consent Judgment, Johnson hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

4.3 DesignStyles' Release of Johnson

DesignStyles, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Johnson and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Johnson and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in

the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Johnson and DesignStyles agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Johnson shall draft and file and DesignStyles shall support. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then DesignStyles may provide written notice to Johnson of any asserted change in the law and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (a) personal delivery; (b) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (c) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

1	For DesignStyles: For Johnson:	
2	Danny Hammond Voorhees & Bailey, LLP	
3	The Arister Group Inc. 55 Hartz Way Secaucus, NJ 07094 Proposition 65 Coordinator 839 Emerson Street Palo Alto, CA 94301	
4	David M. Barnes	
5	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3500 San Francisco, CA 94111	
7	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other a change of address to which all	
8	notices and other communications shall be sent.	
9	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES</u>	
10	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
11	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,	
12	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	
13	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)	
14	Johnson and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced	
15	in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).	
16	11. MODIFICATION	
17	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and	
18	the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of	
19	any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.	
20	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
21	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
22	respective Parties and have read, understand, and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this	
23	Consent Judgment.	
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Date:	Date:
3		. 1 :11
4	By:	By: \(\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \)
5	By: DENNIS JOHNSON	THE ARISTER GROUP INC., DBA DESIGNSTLES
6		DESIGNSTEES
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
40		9

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT THE ARISTER GROUP INC DBA DesignStyles