1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BRODSKY SMITH 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Superior Court of California County of San Francisco SEP 1 9 2024 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Victor Par Inner Deputy
8	GY INFRIOR COVIDE OF THE	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11	GABRIEL ESPINOZA,	Case No.: CGC-23-606876
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	v.	Judge: Richard B. Ulmer Dept.: 302
14	OLIVET INTERNATIONAL, INC., WALMART, INC.,	Hearing Date: September 19, 2024 Hearing Time: 9:30 AM
15	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: June 1, 2023
16		
17		
18 19	8	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		*
26		
27		*
28		Ω .

Espinoza acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinoza") and Olivet International, Inc. ("Olivet" or "Defendant") with Espinoza and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinoza is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Olivet is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.

- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinoza alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales of My Life As® backpacks at a level that requires a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3 Notices of Violation/Action. On or about July 6, 2022, Espinoza served Walmart, Inc. ("Walmart"), Olivet, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "First July Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of My Life As® backpacks, UPC # 618842396870 expose users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the First July Notice. On or about July 8, 2022, Espinoza served Walmart, Olivet, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Second July Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of My Life As® backpacks, UPC # 618842396870 expose users in California to DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Second July Notice.

The First July Notice and the Second July Notice are collectively referred to herein as, the "Notices."

On June 1, 2023, Espinoza filed a complaint (the "Complaint").

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Action filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Action based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notices.
- 1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Espinoza's Notices and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 Covered Products. The term "Covered Products" means backpacks, My Life As® backpacks that are manufactured, distributed, shipped into California and offered for sale in California by Olivet.
- 2.2 Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND/OR WARNINGS

Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Olivet directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either be: (a) Reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in §

3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.

- 3.2 Reformulation Standard. "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.
- Judgment, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:
 - (a) Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
 - WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (b) Alternative Warning: Olivet may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
 - ⚠ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- 3.4 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 3.3 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The Warning or Alternative Warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or

electronic device or automatic process, providing that the Warning or Alternative Warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The Warning or Alternative Warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings. If "consumer information," as that term is defined in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.1(c) as it may be amended from time to time, is provided in a foreign language, Olivet shall provide the Warning or Alternative Warning in the foreign language in accordance with applicable warning regulations adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA").

In addition to affixing the Warning or Alternative Warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, Olivet shall post the Warning or Alternative Warning on websites where Olivet offers Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, Olivet shall (a) post the Warning or Alternative Warning on its own website and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of the third-party eCommerce platforms via which it sells its products (such as Walmart.com, where Olivet has the ability to post the Warning or Alternative Warning when it offers and lists Products for sale); and (b) if it does not have the ability to post the Warning or Alternative Warning on the websites of its third-party internet sellers (which does not include Walmart.com), provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600.2. Third-party internet sellers of the Covered Product that have been provided with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600.2 are not released in Section 5 of this Agreement if they fail to meet the warning requirements herein. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to alter, modify, or waive the terms,

12 13

14 15

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky Smith at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.2 Attorneys' Fees. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Olivet shall pay \$25,500.00 to Brodsky Smith as complete reimbursement for Espinoza's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of Olivet, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinoza acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, Olivet, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns, and Walmart and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities and/or individuals from which Defendant Releasees obtain and to which they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers, marketplace hosts, and internet marketplaces, including but not limited to Wal-Mart Stores East, L.P., Wal-Mart Stores East, Inc., Walmart Inc., Wal-Mart Stores Inc., Wal-Mart.com USA LLC, and all of their respective officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, representatives, attorneys, agents, direct or indirect parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, predecessors, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from use of or exposure to the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Olivet up until 60 days after the Effective

Date. It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and take any action with respect to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from use of or exposure to the Covered Products that was alleged in the Notices and/or the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notices against Olivet, the Downstream Defendants, and the Downstream Releasees ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Olivet's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Olivet with regard to exposure to DEHP from use of or exposure to the Covered Products.

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Olivet, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by Olivet, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinoza hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Olivet waives any and all claims against Espinoza, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken, or statements made (or those that could have been

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1

taken or made) by Espinoza and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to Covered Products.

6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. NOTICES

7.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

Peter Renstrom, Esq. Goldberg Segalla 611 Gateway Blvd., Ste. 120 South San Francisco, CA 94080

And

For Espinoza:

Evan Smith Brodsky Smith 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

8. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

9. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u> APPROVAL

- 9.1 Espinoza agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 9.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

10. MODIFICATION

10.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

11. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as

explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs. **AGREED TO:** AGREED TO: Date: GABRIEL ESPINOZA OLIVET INTERNATIONAL, INC. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: Dated: Judge of Superior Court

13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

	and a dispersion and design of the 1 wife	y represented and legally official raity. Except as
6	explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.	
7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
8	AGREED 10.	AGREED TO:
9	Date: 8 1 24	Date:
10		
11	By: GABRIEL ESPINOZA	By: OLIVET INTERNATIONAL, INC.
12		
13	IT IS SO ODDEDED ADUDOUD AND A	DECEMBED.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DE	DECREED:
15	Dated: 9 19 24	(10
16		Judge of Superior Court
17	*	RICHARD B. ULMER
18		
19		e de la companya de
20		
21		V
22		
23		
24		36