

Electronically Received 06/02/2023 11:44 AM

1 Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381)
2 **MANNING LAW, APC**
3 26100 Towne Centre Drive
4 Foothill Ranch, CA 92610
5 Office: (949) 200-8755
6 Fax: (866) 843-8308
7 P65@manninglawoffice.com

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

AUG 01 2023

David W. Stayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By: C. King, Deputy

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9 CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

12 CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER,
13 INC., a California non-profit
14 corporation

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., LLC,
18 a Kentucky Limited Liability Company; and
19 DOES 1 to 10,

20 Defendants.

Case No. 23TRCV00311

*Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Ronald F.
Frank*

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONFIRM
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
TO LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., LLC**

Date: August 1, 2023
Time: 8:30 AM
Dept.: 8
Reservation ID: 581685914327

Complaint Filed: February 3, 2023

25 On August 1, 2023, at 8:30 AM, Plaintiff CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC's ("CRC")
26 Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment came on regularly for hearing before this
27
28

1 Court in Department M, the Honorable Ronald F. Frank presiding. After full consideration of the points
2 and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court rules as follows:

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent
4 Judgment is GRANTED. Pursuant to and in accordance with *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(t)(4),
5 the Court makes the following findings with respect to the Consent Judgment between CALSAFE
6 RESEARCH CENTER, INC and Defendant LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., LLC in the action
7 *CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., LLC, et al.*, Case No. 23TRCV00311 (the
8 "Consent Judgment"):
9

\$31,500


- 10 1. The Consent Judgment ensures compliance with the Proposition 65 warning requirement;
- 11 2. The attorneys' fee award in the Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law;
- 12 3. The civil penalty and additional settlement payment in lieu of civil penalty in the Consent
13 Judgment are reasonable based on the criteria listed in *Health & Safety Code* §
14 25249.7(b)(2);
- 15 4. The additional settlement payment to Plaintiff pursuant to *Health & Safety Code* §
16 25249.7(b) and *California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204* to be used to support CRC's
17 programs is reasonable; and
- 18 5. The amount sought for reimbursement of Plaintiff's costs for testing and bringing this action
19 are reasonable.

20 In light of the findings set forth herein, the Consent Judgment is hereby APPROVED.
21

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: Aug 1, 2023



24 
25 Hon. Ronald F. Frank
26 Judge of the Superior Court.
27
28