SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE **Civil Complex Center** 751 W. Santa Ana Blvd Santa Ana, CA 92701

SHORT TITLE: Calsafe Research Center, Inc. vs. Indo-European Foods, Inc.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC	CASE NUM
SERVICE	30-2022-012

BER: 89191-CU-TT-CXC

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that that the following document(s), Order - Other dated 08/03/23, was transmitted electronically by an Orange County Superior Court email server on August 7, 2023, at 1:46:47 PM PDT. The business mailing address is Orange County Superior Court, 700 Civic Center Dr. W, Santa Ana, California 92701. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013b, I electronically served the document(s) on the persons identified at the email addresses listed below:

MANNING LAW APC P65@MANNINGLAWOFFICE.COM

Clerk of the Court, by: Ital QU.

, Deputy

		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
1	Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 2233	AUG 0 3 2023			
2	MANNING LAW, APC 20062 S.W. Birch St., Suite 200	- TAMASANI, Clerk of the Court			
3	Newport Beach, CA 92660	BY:,DEPUTY			
4	(866) 843-8308 Fax				
5					
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.,				
7					
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF ORANGE				
11					
12		Case No.: 30-2022-01289191-CU-TT-CXC			
13	California non-profit corporation	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT			
14	Plaintiff, v.	JUDGMENT			
15					
16	INDO-EUROPEAN FOODS, INC., a California stock corporation; and DOES-1				
17	t o 10 ,	×			
18	Defendants.				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23		···			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

)

P.

Plaintiff CalSafe Research Center, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant INDO-EUROPEAN FOODS,
 INC. ("Defendant") hereby enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") as
 follows:

WHEREAS: On or about August 5, 2022, Plaintiff, through Plaintiff's counsel, served a 60 Day Notice to Defendant, Indo-European Foods, Inc., the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000 (collectively, "Public Prosecutor(s)") alleging that Defendant violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California *Health and Safety Code* § 25249.6, *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations (collectively, "Proposition 65") alleging that and that Plaintiff intended to file an enforcement action in the public interest; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured and/or distributed Zergut, Lutenica
 Pepper & Tomato Spread containing Lead, (collectively the "Covered Products") that were sold or
 distributed for sale in California and further alleges that those Covered Products expose consumers in the
 State of California to chemicals including Lead, which are listed by the State of California pursuant to
 California *Health and Safety Code* § 25249.8; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff further alleges that persons in the State of California were exposed to Lead
in Covered Products without being provided the Proposition 65 warning set out at California *Health and Safety Code* § 25249.6 and its implementing regulations ("Proposition 65 Warning");

WHEREAS: Defendant denies the allegations of the 60 Day Notice, and denies that it has
violated Proposition 65 and expressly denies that it has engaged in any wrongdoing whatsoever,

WHEREAS: Plaintiff seeks to provide the public with Proposition 65 warnings and believes that
 this objective is achieved by the actions described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff and Defendant wish to resolve their differences without the delay and
expense of litigation.

25 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND AGREED UPON AS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF
26 ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS:

27 28

1. INTRODUCTION

2 1.1 On August 5, 2022, Plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice upon Defendant, Indo-European Foods,
 3 Inc., and on Public Prosecutors. No Public Prosecutors commenced an enforcement action. No
 4 Public Prosecutor having commenced an enforcement action, Plaintiff proceeded to file its
 5 Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant in the present action.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant is a business entity that
has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action and qualifies as a "person in
the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.

9 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Plaintiff and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that; 10 1) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violation contained in the Complaint, and 11 personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; 2) venue is proper in 12 the County of Orange; and 3) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full 13 and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on 14 the facts alleged therein with respect to the Covered Products, and of all claims which were or 15 could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on 16 the facts alleged in the 60-Day Notice, in the present action, or arising therefrom or related 17 thereto, with respect to Covered Products, including any Proposition 65 claim arising out of an 18 exposure to Covered Products (collectively, "Proposition 65 Claims").

- 19 1.4 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the Proposition 65 20 Claims, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation and of resolving the issues 21 raised therein both as to past and future conduct. By execution of this Consent Judgment and 22 agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or 23 violation of law, nor shall Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be 24 construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. 25 Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the 60-Day Notices and the 26 Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever.
- 27 28

2 2.1 "Effective Date" shall mean, with respect to this Consent Judgment, the date the Consent
3 Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.

DEFINITIONS

2.

4 2.2 "Covered Products" shall mean, with respect to this Consent Judgment, Zergut, Lutenica Pepper
& Tomato Spread that are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Defendant in
6 California.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

8 3.1 For each Covered Product, Defendant agrees to undertake, or cause to be undertaken on its
9 behalf, either: (a) reformulation of the Covered Product to bring it within the Proposition
10 exemption identified in Section 3.2 below or (b) to provide a warning as prescribed in Sections
11 3.3.-3.4 below. Compliance with Section 3.1 will constitute compliance by Defendant with all
12 requirements of Proposition 65 relating to Lead exposure in the Covered Products.

13 3.2 Proposition 65 Exemption for the Covered Products

Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements with respect to Lead, if the Covered Product contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per serving, with serving size measured by the serving size specified on the label for the Covered Product.

18 3.3 Warning Option

19 Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption set forth in Section 3.2, shall be 20 accompanied by a warning as described in Section 3.4 below. This warning requirement shall 21 only be required as to Covered Products that are manufactured for sale to consumers by 22 Defendant in the State of California after the Effective Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no 23 Proposition 65 warning shall be required as to Covered Products already manufactured or in 24 distribution and the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date. Said Covered Products are 25 hereby deemed to be exempt from a Proposition 65 warning with respect to Lead and/or 26 expressly released under this Consent Judgment.

27 28

١

7

2 3.4 Warning Language 3 Where required to meet the criteria set forth in Section 3.3, Defendant shall provide one of the 4 following warning statements ("Warning"), displayed in a reasonably conspicuous manner: 5 Option (1) 6 WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to 7 chemicals including Lead, which [is] are known to the State of 8 California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other 9 reproductive harm. For more information go to 10 www.P65Wamings.ca.gov/food 11 Option (2) 12 WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm--13 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food 14 The Warning shall be provided through a warning on the label that is securely affixed to or 15 printed upon the label and complies with the warning content provided in Option 1 or Option 2 16 above. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet by Defendant, the Warning 17 shall appear prior to check-out on the primary product page, or as a pop-up when a California 18 address is input into the shipping instructions, or on the checkout page when a California 19 delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. The Warning may be 20 provided with a conspicuous hyperlink stating "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters so 21 long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Warning without content 22 that detracts from the Warning. Given Defendant's lack of control over third-party websites, the 23 online warning requirements expressed in this Section apply only to Covered Products sold 24 through Defendant's website. However, Defendant will instruct any third-party website sellers to 25 which it supplies the Cover Product to provide the Warnings as a condition of selling the 26 Covered Product. If subsequently enacted changes to Proposition 65 or its implementing 27 regulations require the use of additional or different information on any warning specifically 28

[PROPOSER] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

applicable to the Covered Products (the "New Safe Harbor Warning"), the Parties agree that the New Safe Harbor warning may be utilized in place of or in addition to, as applicable, the warnings set forth in this Section.

4. MONETARY RELIEF

5 4.1 Within 30 calendar days of receiving notice that this Consent Judgment has been approved of and 6 entered by the Court, and after receiving taxpayer information from Plaintiff, Defendant shall pay 7 the total sum of \$28,500.00 which includes \$2,850.00 in civil penalties and \$25,650.00 in 8 payment of Plaintiff's costs and reasonable attorney's fees. The \$2,850.00 civil penalty shall be 9 apportioned pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.12 (d), with 75%, or \$2,137.50, paid to 10 the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25%, or 11 \$712.50, payable to Plaintiff. The Plaintiff's portion of the civil penalty shall be made payable to 12 Manning Law APC, Client Trust and CalSafe Research Center, Inc. and associated with taxpayer 13 identification number 84-4419173. This payment shall be delivered to Manning Law, APC, 14 20062 SW Birch St. Suite 200, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

15 4.2 The OEHHA portion of the civil penalty shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with
 16 taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows;

- For United States Postal Service Delivery:
- 18 Attn: Mike Gyurics

1

2

3

4

- Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
- 19 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
- P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 20 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
- 20 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

21 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics

- Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
 1001 I Street, MS #19B
- 24 Sacramento, CA 95814

28

Defendant agrees to provide Plaintiff's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, simultaneous with its penalty payments to Plaintiff. Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required. Relevant information is set out

below:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- CalSafe Research Center, Inc, associated with taxpayer identification number 84-4419173, CalSafe Research Center, Inc. 4533 MacArthur Blvd. Ste. 230, Newport Beach, CA 92660.
- Manning Law, APC, associated with taxpayer identification number 83-0502205, Manning Law, APC, 20062 SW Birch St. Suite 200, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

4.3 The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs in the amount of \$25,650.00 shall be paid to Plaintiff's counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall provide its payment to Plaintiff's counsel in one check, payable to the Manning Law, APC, and delivered to 20062 SW Birch St. Suite 200, Newport Beach, CA 92660, within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.

13 14

5. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff on behalf of itself 5.1 15 and in the public interest, and Defendant and its respective officers, directors, members, 16 shareholders, employees, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, 17 suppliers, franchisees, licensees, and retailers (including Wholesome Choice Market, Inc.), their 18 parent and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof, their respective employees, agents and assigns, 19 as well as all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain for any of the 20 Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, the 21 "Released Parties"). 22

5.2 Plaintiff acting on its own behalf and in the public interest releases the Released Parties from all
claims, actions, causes of actions, suits, demand, liability, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and
expenses asserted or which could have been asserted from the handling or consumption of the
Covered Products, as to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations
up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Lead from the Covered Products as set forth
in the Notices of Violation, the Complaint and herein. Compliance with the terms of this Consent

[PROPOSED]. STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Lead from the Covered Products. This release does not apply to third-party websites that do not provide the required Warnings.

1

2

3

26

27

28

4 5.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the 5 60-Day Notice, or alleged in the Complaint, relating to the Covered Products, will hereafter be 6 discovered or developed. Plaintiff, on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on 7 the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and 8 include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action 9 thereon. Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 10 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless intend to release such claims, and in doing so 11 waive California Civil Code § 1542 which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER
FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF
KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS
OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

17 5.4 Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of
California *Civil Code* § 1542 is that even if Plaintiff suffers future damages arising out of or
resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products,
including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the
Covered Products, Plaintiff will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of
the Released Parties.

23 5.5 Compliance by Defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance
 24 with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to Lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the
 25 60-Day Notices and/or the Complaint.

	1	
	2 6.1	6. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 252249,7(f)</u>
	3	Plaintiff and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in
	1	California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)
		7. <u>PROVISION NOTICE</u>
		When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or writing under this Consent Judgment, the
6		notice or writing shall be sent by first class certified mail with return receipt requested, or by
7		electronic mail, as follows:
8		To Defendant: Collie F. James, IV
9		600 Anton Blvd, Suite 1800 Costa Mesa, CA 92626
10		collie.james@morganlewis.com
11		To Plaintiff:
12		Joseph R. Manning, Jr. Manning Law, APC
13		20062 SW Birch St. Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92660
14		P65@manninglawoffice.com
15		Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the
16		other Party notice that is transmitted in the manner set forth in section 7.1.
17		8. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>
18	0.1	Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall prepare and file, at its sole
19		cost and expense, a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment that Defendant shall support.
20		This Consent Judgment shall not become effective until approved and entered by the Court. If
21		this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and shall not
22		be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.
23	8.2	This Consent Judgment may apply to and benefit the Parties and their respective officers,
24		directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees,
25		licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.
26		This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Products that are distributed or
27		
28		
		[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 9

sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not intended for use by California consumers.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

4

5

1

2

3

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties
 with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,
 commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.

9 10.2 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as
10 expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than
11 those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.

10.3 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be
deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or
referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto
only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

16 10.4 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be
binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby, and approved and ordered
by the Court.

19 10.5 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a
20 waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver
21 constitute a continuing waiver.

22

11. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION & ENFORCEMENT</u>

23 11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforcer or modify the Consent
 24 Judgment. Any alleged breach of the terms of this Consent Judgment must be brought in this
 25 Court.

If Plaintiff alleges that any Covered Products fail to comply with this Consent Judgment, then
 Plaintiff shall inform Defendant in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results and related

1		packaging information, including information sufficient to permit Defendant to identify the
2.		Covered Products at issue. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to Plaintiff
3		taking any further legal action.
4	11.3	In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or preempted as to food products, or if lead is deemed
5		to be naturally occurring in the Covered Products and not requiring a warning through a law or
6		regulation, then Plaintiff shall have no further obligation pursuant to this Consent Judgment with
7		respect to, and to the extent that the Covered Products are so affected.
8		12. <u>NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS</u>
9	12.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Plaintiff from resolving any claim against
10		another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.
11		13. <u>EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS</u>
12	13.1	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an
13		original, and all of which, taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution of the
14		Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means, shall constitute legal and
15		binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the
16		same force and effect as the original.
17		14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>
18	14,1	The undersigned are authorized to stipulate to, enter into, and execute this Consent Judgment on
19		behalf of their respective parties, and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and
20		conditions of this Consent Judgment.
21		15. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>
22	15.1	If subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any part or provision is declared by a
23		Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions of provisions shall continue in
24		full force and effect.
25		
26		
	///	
28		
		[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO: CalSafe Research Center, Inc. Dated: April 17, 2023 By: **AGREED TO:** Indo-European Foods, Inc. Dated: April 17, 2023 By: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered. Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT