

1 Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381)

2 **MANNING LAW, APC**

3 26100 Towne Centre Drive

4 Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

5 Office: (949) 200-8755

6 Fax: (866) 843-8308

7 P65@manninglawoffice.com

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAR 13 2024

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

By: C. King, Deputy

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER,
INC., a California non-profit
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

VIFON (USA), INC., a Washington Profit
Corporation; and DOES 1 to 10,

Defendants.

Case No. 23TRCV00638

Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Ronald F. Frank

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONFIRM
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO
VIFON (USA), INC.**

Date: March 13, 2024

Time: 8:30 AM

Dept.: 8

Reservation ID: 008219603343

Complaint Filed: March 3, 2023

On March 13, 2024, at 8:30 AM, Plaintiff CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC's ("CRC")
Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment came on regularly for hearing before this

1 Court in Department 8, the Honorable Ronald F. Frank presiding. After full consideration of the points
2 and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court rules as follows:

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent
4 Judgment is GRANTED. Pursuant to and in accordance with *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(t)(4),
5 the Court makes the following findings with respect to the Consent Judgment between CALSAFE
6 RESEARCH CENTER, INC., and Defendant VIFON (USA), INC., in the action *Calsafe Research*
7 *Center, Inc. v. Vifon (USA), Inc., et al.*, Case No. 23TRCV00638 (the "Consent Judgment"):

- 9
- 10 1. The Consent Judgment ensures compliance with the Proposition 65 warning requirement;
 - 11 2. The attorneys' fee award in the Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law;
 - 12 3. The civil penalty in the Consent Judgment is reasonable based on the criteria listed in *Health*
13 *& Safety Code* § 25249.7(b)(2); and
 - 14 4. The amount sought Plaintiff's costs for testing and bringing this action is reasonable.

15 In light of the findings set forth herein, the Consent Judgment is hereby APPROVED.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: Mar. 13, 2024



18 
19 Hon. Ronald F. Frank
20 Judge of the Superior Court.