70880906 Sep 15 2023 05:01PM San Francisco County Superior Cour

SEP 1 5 2023

Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 1 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 2 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com 5 mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,

Plaintiff,

v. ATHLETA LLC, et al., 16

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

Case No. CGC-23-604604

Assigned for all purposes to: Judge Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MIZUNO USA, INC.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

-1-

1. INTRODUCTION

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Mizuno USA, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells athletic shirts made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California or have done so in the past.

1.3 On October 12, 2022, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in athletic shirts made primarily of polyester with spandex.

- 1.4 On February 15, 2023 CEH filed the original complaint in this matter naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaints; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 or otherwise elects to permanently avail itself of

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

the warning option provided by this Section 3.4, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2 below. Settling Defendant shall then provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A ("BPA") which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by

1	the Parties. To the extent that Settling Defendant can demonstrate that it purchased the Covered						
2	Product subject to the Notice of Violation prior to the Effective Date, the sale of such Covered						
3	Product will not constitute a violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment.						
4	5. PAYMENTS						
5	5.1 Total Settlement Payment. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date,						
6	Settling Defendant shall pay the total settlement amount of \$24,000 and no cents as a settlement						
7	payment as further set forth in this Section.						
8	5.1.1 A civil penalty in the amount of \$ 6,300 pursuant to Health &						
9	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health &						
10	Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of						
11	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of						
12	the civil penalty payment of \$4,725 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with						
13	taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:						
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:						
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B						
16							
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010						
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics						
19	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment						
20	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814						
21							
22	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,575 shall be made payable to the Center for						
23	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This						
24	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA						
25	94117.						
26	5.1.2 An Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in the amount of						
27	\$4,700 to CEH in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and						
28	California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue its work						
ARED	-5-						

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.1.3 Settling Defendant shall pay \$13,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$10,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$3,000 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.1.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$4,725	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,575	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$4,700	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$10,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$3,000	LLG

5.2 **Additional Payment for Warning.** If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make an additional

4

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

6.

6.2

25

24

26

27

28

OCUMENT PREPARED

payment of \$6,000 to be split between a civil penalty, ASP and attorneys' fees and costs as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$2,600 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$1,950 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$650 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$1,900 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.3 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure §708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

Alternative Compliance Standards. If either (i) CEH enters into a court-

approved settlement or a court enters a final judgment in a Proposition 65 enforcement action

22⁻

over exposure to BPA from athletic shirts made primarily of polyester with spandex that includes a different reformulation level than that set forth in Section 3.1; or (ii) the State of California adopts a different definition or method for determining exposure to BPA for purposes of Proposition 65, the Parties will meet and confer in good faith on conforming modifications to this Consent Judgment. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement, either Party may move the Court to modify the Consent Judgment.

6.3 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with their obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date or thereafter provided such Covered Products are subject to the provisions of Section 3.3 above.

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or

implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any

Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or

1	otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements				
2	specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind				
3	any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No				
.4	supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding				
5	unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions				
6	of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other				
7	provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.				
8	13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS				
9	13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling				
10	Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or				
11	assigns of any of them.				
12	14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION				
13	14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the				
14	Consent Judgment.				
15	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT				
16	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that they are fully authorized				
17	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute				
18	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.				
19	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS				
20	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim				
21	against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in				
22	this Consent Judgment.				
23					
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:				
25	Tille a D Chal				
26	Dated: 15, 2023 Judge of the Superior Court				
27	ÉTHAN P. SCHULMAN				

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

28

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:				
2					
3	Dated:, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
4		2 (
. 5		Regina Jackson			
6		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer			
7					
8		·			
9	Dated: June 26 , 2023	MIZUNO USA, INC.			
10					
11		Signature			
12					
13		Kojiro Sampei Printed Name			
14					
15		CFO/CAO Title			
16					
17					
18		•			
19					
20					
21					
22		·			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		-12-			
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – MIZ	UNO USA, INC CASE NO. CGC-23-604604			

EXHIBIT A

-	١		
4	ے		

"Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test method:

a. Obtain homogenized 1-gram sample of the athletic shirt by shredding the entire athletic shirt and taking a representative 1-gram sample of the shreds.

b. Add the 1-gram athletic shirt sample to 10 ml of acetonitrile

c. Heat the solution using a hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius

d. Analytical method - Isotope dilution LC-Tandem MS (LC-MS)

e. Limit of detection 10 ppb

f. Reporting -- BPA concentration in mg of BPA per kg of sample

-13-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.260(g))

I, Felicia Green, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On September 15, 2023, I electronically served CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT MIZUNO USA, INC. via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Dated:

SEP 1 5 2023

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

Rv.

Felicia Green, Deputy Clerk