	2	
Electronically Received 05/13/2024 11:52 AM	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
`	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

26

27

28

1

Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381) MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 Office: (949) 200-8755 Fax: (866) 843-8308 P65@manninglawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ALAMEDA COUNTY

JUL 1 5 2024

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR, COURT .

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation Plaintiff, ٧. BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC., a New York Domestic Business Corporation; and DOES 1 to 100, Defendants.

Case No. 23CV056184

Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Peter Borkon

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONFIRM STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC.

Date: June 27, 2024 Time: 2:30 PM

Dept.: 15

Reservation ID: 772055367072

Complaint Filed: December 20, 2023

On June 27, 2024, at 2:30 PM, Plaintiff CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER's ("CRC") Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment came on regularly for hearing before this Court in Department 15, the Honorable Peter Borkon presiding. After full consideration of the points and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the Court rules as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Court Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment is GRANTED. Pursuant to and in accordance with *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(t)(4), the Court makes the following findings with respect to the Consent Judgment between CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, and Defendant BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC., in the action *Calsafe Research Center v. Byacliff Company, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 23CV056184 (the "Consent Judgment"):

- 1. The Consent Judgment ensures compliance with the Proposition 65 warning requirement (A true and correct copy of the approved Consent Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit 1);
- 2. The attorneys' fee award in the Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law;
- 3. The civil penalty in the Consent Judgment is reasonable based on the criteria listed in *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b)(2); and
- 4. The amount sought of Plaintiff's costs for testing and bringing this action are reasonable.

In light of the findings set forth herein, the Consent Judgment is hereby APPROVED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/15/2024

Hon. Peter Borkon
Judge of the Superior Court.

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** FILED COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Rene C. Davidson Courthouse County of Alameda 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612 07/22/2024 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chad Flike, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation Deputy A. Scoggins DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC., a New York Domestic Business Corporation CASE NUMBER: CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE CODE OF CIVIL 23CV056184 PROCEDURE 1010.6

I, the below named Executive Officer/Clerk of Court of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served one copy of the ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONFIRM STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC. entered herein upon each party or counsel of record in the above entitled action, by electronically serving the document(s) from my place of business, in accordance with standard court practices.

BAYCLIFF COMPANY, INC., a New York Domestic Business CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-Corporation

profit corporation

Joseph Manning Jr. Manning Law Office, A.P.C. onelegal@manninglawoffice.com

Dated: 07/22/2024

Malcolm C. Weiss Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP mweiss@HuntonAK.com

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By:

A. Scoggins, Deputy Clerk

Clarette Aregina