1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jarrett S. Charo, Esq. (State Bar No. 22400) JARRETT CHARO, APC 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018 San Diego, California 92122 P: (619) 350-3334 jcharo@charolaw.com  Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 Office: (949) 200-8755 Fax: (866) 843-8308 P65@manninglawoffice.com	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT  DEC 1 3 2024
9 10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	
12 13 14 15		F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OF SAN BERNARDINO
16	RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	Case No.: CIVSB2407282
17 18	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT
19 20 21	ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC; RADC ENTERPRISES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,  Defendants.	Date: February 4, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept. S37 - SBJC Judge: Hon. Corey G. Lee  Complaint Filed: April 5, 2024
22 23		
24		·
25		
26		
27		
28		

[Proposed] Order Entering Judgment

After consideration of the papers submitted and arguments presented, this Court finds that the Procedure section 664.6 to enforce the settlement. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 12/13/2024 

terms of the Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment attached as Exhibit A hereto meet the criteria established by California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the terms of the Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment attached as Exhibit A hereto are approved and, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with such terms. By written request of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction pursuant to California Code of Civil

[Proposed] Order Entering Judgment

## Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JARRETT CHARO APC Jarrett Charo, Esq. (SBN 224001) 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018 San Diego, California 92122 P: (619) 350-3334 jcharo@charolaw.com  Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 (949) 200-8755 Phone (866) 843-8308 Fax GasVaporProp65@manninglawoffice.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
13		
14		
15	RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	Case No.: CIV SB 2407282
16 17 18	Plaintiff, v.  ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC; RADC	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
19	ENTERPRISES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment	

Plaintiff RAMY EDEN ("Plaintiff") and defendants ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC and RADC ENTERPRISES, INC. ("Defendants") hereby enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") as follows:

WHEREAS: On or about June 15, 2023, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), Plaintiff served the California Attorney General, the San Bernardino County District Attorney and Defendants with a 60-Day Notice of Violation regarding the service station located at 202 S. Mountain Ave. in Upland, California ("Mountain Location").

WHEREAS: On or about June 23, 2023, pursuant to Proposition 65, Plaintiff served the California Attorney General, the Los Angeles County District Attorney, the Los Angeles City Attorney, and Defendants with a 60-Day Notice of Violation regarding the service station located at 5137 N. Figueroa St. in Los Angeles, California ("Figueroa Location");

WHEREAS the Mountain Location and the Figueroa Location are collectively referred to herein as the "Subject Locations";

WHEREAS: The 60-Day Notices of Violation alleged that Defendants were required but failed to provide warnings with respect to Unleaded Gasoline at the Subject Locations which sufficiently complied with the requirements of Proposition 65;

WHEREAS: No Public Prosecutor commenced an enforcement action concerning the allegations in the 60-Day Notices of Violation;

WHEREAS: On or about April 5, 2024, Plaintiff filed a civil-complaint against Defendants in the above-entitled Court alleging violation of Proposition 65 with regard to Unleaded Gasoline at the Subject Locations ("Complaint");

WHEREAS: Defendants deny Plaintiff's allegations in the 60-Day Notices of Violation and in the Complaint and deny that they have otherwise violated Proposition 65 or engaged in any wrongdoing whatsoever;

WHEREAS: Plaintiff and Defendants wish to resolve their differences without the delay, uncertainty, and expense of litigation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND AGREED UPON AS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DEFENDANTS AS FOLLOWS:

[Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

### 

## 

## 

#### 1. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND DEFENDANTS' DENIAL OF LIABILITY

- 1.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") agree that: This Court has jurisdiction over the allegations contained within the Complaint; Venue of this matter is proper in the County of San Bernardino; and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgement as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint and/or the 60-Day Notices of Violation and through the date of this Judgment with respect to any purported violation of Proposition 65 arising out of an exposure to unleaded gasoline at the Subject Locations ("Proposition 65 Claims").
- 1.2 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the Proposition 65 Claims for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation and of resolving the issues raised therein both as to past and future conduct. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendants' compliance with the Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations in the 60-Day Notices of Violation and the Complaint and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever.

### 2. APPLICATION OF THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT AND EFFECTIVE DATE

- 2.1 The locations covered by this Consent Judgment are the Subject Locations. This Consent Judgment may apply to and benefit the Parties, Anabi Oil Corporation and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, any operators of the Subject Locations, tenants, landlords, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and/or any other person or party who may be subject to any Proposition 65 Claims related to the Subject Locations.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" shall mean, with respect to this Consent Judgment, the date on which the Court approves and enters the Consent Judgment.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 <u>Clear and Reasonable Warning</u>. As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall cause to be posted at each of the Subject Locations a clear and reasonable exposure warning consistent with

California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 as set forth in this section 3.1.

The warning shall consist of the following text:

WARNING: Breathing the air in this area or skin contact with petroleum products can expose you to chemicals including benzene, motor vehicle exhaust and carbon monoxide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not stay in this area longer than necessary. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station</a>

The words "WARNING:" shall be in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the words "WARNING:" shall be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the words "WARNING:".

The warning shall be posted on a sign at, or on, each gas pump at the Subject Locations and the warning must be printed in no smaller than 22-point type and be enclosed in a box. If other signage at a Subject Location is provided for the public in a language other than English, the warning must be provided at that Subject Location in English and that other language.

3.2 Changes to Proposition 65. If, after the Effective Date, changes are enacted to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations which require the use of additional or different information on any warning applicable to the Subject Locations ("New Warnings"), the Parties agree that the New Warnings may be used in place of the warnings set forth in section 3.1 and/or may take any other steps or measures to comply with any applicable regulations.

#### 4. MONETARY RELIEF

- 4.1 Civil Penalty. Defendants shall collectively pay a total of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) as a Civil Penalty in accordance with this Section. The Civil Penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the Civil Penalty remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Eden. The Civil Penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses identified in § 4.3, below.
- 4.2 Date for Payment of Civil Penalty. Within seven (7) days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall collectively issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of three thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$3,750.00); and (b) one

0284486).

4.4 Attorney's Fees and Costs. Defendants shall collectively pay a total of eight thousand five hundred dollars (\$8,500.00) to Plaintiff's counsel, Jarrett Charo APC, which is entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by it in this action for, including, without limitation, investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, prosecuting this action in court, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Within seven (7) days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall collectively issue one check payable to "Jarrett Charo APC" in the amount of eight thousand five hundred dollars (\$8,500.00) and deliver it to the address identified in § 7.1(a), below. Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for this payment.

#### 5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff on behalf of himself and in the public interest, and Defendants and their respective officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, and retailers, their parent and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof, their employees, agents and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties").
- 5.2 Plaintiff's Release of Released Parties. Plaintiff acting on his own behalf and in the public interest releases the Released Parties from all claims, actions, causes of actions, suits, demands, liability, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted or which could have been asserted based on the alleged failure to warn about exposures to unleaded gasoline under Proposition 65 at the Subject Locations up through the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to unleaded gasoline at the Subject Locations.
- 5.3 Defendants' Release of Eden. Defendants, on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Eden, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Eden and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, bringing the 60-Day Notices of Violation, prosecution of this action, or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against

Defendants in this matter, or with respect to the Subject Locations.

California Civil Code § 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in this matter and relating to alleged violations of Proposition 65 concerning the Subject Locations will develop or be discovered. Eden on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Parties each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 which is a material inducement for this Agreement.

## 6. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) AND MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL

6.1 Eden agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment so long as sufficient time is provided for the dates and deadlines contemplated in this Consent Judgment. Defendants agree not to oppose such motion.

### 7. NOTICES

7.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment to any Party shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent to that Party—via: (i) email; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail with return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight or two-day courier—at the following addresses:

6
[Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment

1	(a). For Plaintiff: (b). For Defendants:		
2	Jarrett S. Charo Eric M. Khodadian  Jarrett Charo APC Cummins & White, LLP		
3	4079 Governor Drive, No. 1018 2424 S.E. Bristol Street, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92122 Newport Beach, CA 92660		
4	jcharo@charolaw.com ekhodadian@cwlawyers.com		
5			
6			
7	address to which all notices and other communications from that other Party shall be sent.		
8	8. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>		
9	8.1 This Consent Judgment shall not become effective until approved and entered by the		
10	Court. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and shall		
11	not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.		
12	9. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>		
13	9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the law of the State of		
14	California.		
15	10. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>		
16	10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties with		
17	respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior negotiations and understandings		
18	related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of		
19	agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the		
20	other Party or the subject matter hereof.		
21	11. MODIFICATION		
22	11.1 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment		
23	shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby and approved and ordered		
24	by the Court; or upon the Court granting a motion brought by any of the Parties.		
25	12. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>		
26	12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce, or modify the		
27	Consent Judgment. Any alleged breach of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be brought in this		
21	Consent Judgment. Any alleged breach of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be brought in this		
28	Consent Judgment. Any alleged breach of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be brought in this Court.		

[Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment

#### COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES 13. 2 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, .pdf signature, or DocuSign signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken 3 together, shall constitute one and the same document. Any photocopy of the executed Consent 4 Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the original. 5 AUTHORIZATION 14. 6 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by 7 8 the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and has read, understood, and 9 agrees to each of the terms and conditions contained herein. 15. SEVERABILITY 10 15.1 If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any part or provision is 11 declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions or provisions shall 12 continue in full force and effect. 13 14 STIPULATED AND AGREED TO: 8/12/2024 15 Dated: 16 By: Ramyo Edens 17 Dated: 8/9/2024 18 19 Rawa Anabi on behalf of Anabi Real Estate Development, LLC and 20 RADC Enterprises, Inc. 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED. 23 Dated: 24 Judge of the Superior Court 25 26 27 28