Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY SMITH 1 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300 2 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 MAY 27 2025 3 Fax: (310) 247-0160 CKERK OF THE COURT 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 10 GABRIEL ESPINOZA, Case No.: CGC-25-622591 11 Plaintiff, **CONSENT JUDGMENT** 12 Havid Kaha Judge: Christine Van Aken v. 13 Dept.: 301 Hearing Date: May 27, 2025 Hearing Time: 9:00 AM MELTON INTERNATIONAL TACKLE, INC., 14 Complaint Filed: February 21, 2025 Defendant. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinoza acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinoza") and Melton International Tackle, Inc. ("Melton" or "Defendant") with Espinoza and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinoza is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Melton is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinoza alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to diisononyl phthalate (DINP) from its sales of Jinkai hand crimpers, # 291100 without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DINP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- Notice of Violation/Action. On February 21, 2024, Espinoza served Melton and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the use of Jinkai hand crimpers sold by Melton exposes users in California to DINP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On February 21, 2025, Espinoza filed a complaint (the "Complaint").
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice.

1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Espinoza's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 Covered Products. The term "Covered Products" means Jinkai hand crimpers that are manufactured, distributed, shipped into California and offered for sale in California by Melton.
- 2.2 Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND/OR WARNINGS

- Reformulation of Covered Products. Commencing within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Melton directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either be: (a) reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 3.2 Reformulation Standard. "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DINP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. Commencing within 60 days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3

and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce within 60 days after the Effective Date. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:

(a) Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

A WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including diisononyl phthalate (DINP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

(b) Alternative Warning: Melton may, but is not required to, use the alternative shortform warning¹ as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:

⚠ WARNING: Cancer - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

"WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The Warning or Alternative Warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process only if such electronic device or automatic process provides the Warning or Alternative Warning without the purchaser having to seek it out, providing that the Warning or Alternative Warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The Warning or Alternative Warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the

An Alternative Warning on a Covered Product manufactured and labeled after January 1, 2028 shall be provided in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25603(b).

same size as those other safety warnings. If "consumer information," as that term is defined in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.1(c) as it may be amended from time to time, is provided in a foreign language, Melton shall provide the Warning or Alternative Warning in the foreign language in accordance with applicable warning regulations adopted by OEHHA.

In addition to affixing the Warning or Alternative Warning to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the Warning or Alternative Warning shall be posted on websites where Melton offers Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, Melton shall (a) post the Warning or Alternative Warning on its own website and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of its third-party internet sellers; and (b) if it does not have the ability to post the Warning or Alternative Warning on the websites of its third-party internet sellers, provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600.2 Third-party internet sellers of the Covered Product that have been provided with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600.2 are not released in Section 5 of this Agreement if they fail to meet the warning requirements herein.

3.5 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning regulations adopted by OEHHA applicable to the Covered Product and exposures at issue.

4. MONETARY TERMS

4.1 Civil Penalty. Melton shall pay \$2,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Espinoza, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Melton, and its parents,

shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents,

26

27

28

6

attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, downstream sellers, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DINP from use of the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Melton within 60 days of the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DINP from use of the Covered Products that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Melton and the Defendant Releasees ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Melton's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Melton and Defendant Releasees with regard to exposure to DINP from use of the Covered Products.

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Melton and Defendant Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Melton or Defendant Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinoza hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

28

8. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

9. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u> <u>APPROVAL</u>

- 9.1 Espinoza agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 9.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

10. MODIFICATION

10.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

11. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

- 1	12.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		i.
2	4	12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction	n of this matter to implement or m	odify the
3	Consent Judgment.			
4	13.	AUTHORIZATION		-
5		13.1 The undersigned are authorized to e	execute this Consent Judgment on behavior	alf of their
6	respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this			
7	document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute			
8	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as			Except as
9	explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
10	•	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
11			Date: 4/1/2025	
12	Date		Date.	<u>E</u>
13		SEL NUXTUAGE GABRIEL ESPINOZA	By: Tracy Welton MELTON INTERNATIONAL T	ACVIE
14	•	DADRIEL ESI INOZA	INC,	ACKLE,
15		2		(e) 3
16		2		3
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:			
18				
19	Dated:	9"	see next-oag	e
20	Dailott.		Judge of Superior Court	•
21				*
22			.500	1
23				8
24			8 2	8
25		a'		İ
26				i
27				
28	20		<u> </u>	9

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 4 9 25	Date:
BW GABRIEL ESPINOZA	By: Gel previous page MELTON INTERNATIONAL TACKLE,
	INC.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

Dated:	reactnelz			
1945		Judge of Superior Court (125)		
		HAROLD KAHN		
	a			