PREPARED BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF.

Superior Court of California County of San Francisco

OCT 08 2025

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By Victor For Tonkla

Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,

Plaintiff,

v.

KHS AMERICA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-24-615171

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: KHS AMERICA, INC.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and KHS America, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter.
 - 1.2 On or about February 22, 2024, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of

CONSENT JUDGMENT - KHS AMERICA, INC. - CASE NO. CGC-24-615171

Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead contained in brass mouthpieces used with musical instruments without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On May 31, 2024, CEH filed the original Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Settling Defendant expressly denies the material allegations contained in the Complaint and Notices and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty. Defendant expressly denies any liability for any of the claims asserted and the facts alleged in the Complaint and Notices.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Settling

Defendant. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 "Compliance Date" means ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.
- 2.3 "Covered Products" means brass mouthpieces used with musical instruments.
- 2.4 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
- 2.5 "Reformulation Level" means 100 parts per million ("ppm") lead, which shall be determined by total content testing.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.
- 3.1.1 Warnings. As of the Compliance Date, any Covered Product that is not a Reformulated Covered Product and is sold or offered for sale in California by Settling Defendant shall contain a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.1 in the following form:

3.1.1.1 Long Form Warning.



WARNING: This product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3.1.1.2 Alternative Warnings Prior to January 1, 2028. Prior to January 1, 2028, Settling Defendant may comply with the provisions of this Section 3.1 by displaying the following warning or one of the warnings provided for in Section 3.1.1.3:



WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3.1.1.3 Alternative Warnings Following January 1, 2028. Beginning on January 1, 2028, the alternative warning provided for in Section 3.1.1.2 shall no longer satisfy the warning provisions of this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may continue to comply with the provisions of this Section 3.1 by displaying one of the following warnings:



WARNING: Risk of cancer and reproductive harm from exposure to Lead. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov;

Or



WARNING: Can expose you to lead, a carcinogen and reproductive toxicant. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. The warning statement must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. For internet, catalog, or any other online sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the Covered Product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. Where a sign

or label used to provide a warning includes consumer information about a product in a language other than English, the warning shall also be provided in that language in addition to English.

- 3.2 Optional Reformulation of Covered Products. After the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant may, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products in California without meeting the warning requirements of Section 3.1 so long as those Covered Products meet the Reformulation Level ("Reformulated Covered Products"). Should Settling Defendant avail itself of this Section 3.2, it shall notify CEH in writing and concurrently with its release of the Reformulated Covered Products of its intent to do so and provide CEH with information to identify the Reformulated Covered Products, such as SKU and product name, as well as a testing result demonstrating that the Reformulated Covered Products meet the Reformulation Level.
- 3.3 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by adhering to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted or implemented by OEHHA applicable to the Covered Product after the Effective Date.
- 3.4 Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or before the Compliance Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Defendant, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 3 do not apply to Covered Products that are manufactured, in the process of being manufactured, packaged, in the process of being packaged, or put into commerce prior to the Compliance Date.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of California County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action may be

filed in the absence of such good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. Should an exceedance of the reformulation standard(s) in Section 3.2 be alleged, Settling Defendant must be provided with written notice and data supporting such an allegation and thirty (30) days to address the allegations before an enforcement motion may be filed. No violation of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to occur if Settling Defendant demonstrates that its own testing of the Covered Product at issue is in accordance with Section 3.2. Only CEH may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

- 4.2 The inability of Settling Defendant to comply with any deadline set forth in this Consent Judgment due to an act of terrorism, fire, earthquake, civil disorders, war, or act of God that is beyond the reasonable control of Settling Defendant shall be grounds to move for modification of the deadlines set forth in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.3 CEH and its attorneys shall be entitled to collect their reasonable fees and costs should they resolve an alleged violation during the meet and confer process described in Section 4.1, except that Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the notification requirements of Section 3.2 shall not provide a basis for CEH or its attorneys to recover their reasonable fees and costs under this Section 4.3. Both CEH and its attorneys will, upon request by Settling Defendant, provide their itemized time records substantiating their requested reasonable fees and costs.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late

1	fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees and		
2	costs, in the event of a successful enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this		
3	Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below		
4	between the following categories and made payable as follows:		
5	5.2.1 \$3,769 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).		
6	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §		
7	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health		
8	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty		
9	payment for \$2,826.75, shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer		
10	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:		
11	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
12	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
13	Deputy Director for Administrative Services Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
14	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
15	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
16	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
17	Deputy Director for Administrative Services Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
18	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814		
19			
20	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$942.25 shall be made payable to the Center		
21	for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This		
22	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,		
23	CA 94117.		
24	5.2.2 \$2,800 as an ASP to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code §		
25	25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these		
26	funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to: (1) support CEH programs and		
27	activities that seek to educate the public about lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer		

products that are marketed to youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with Californians about the risks of exposures to lead in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$23,431 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$19,700 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$3,731 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To	
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 2,826.75	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1	
Center for Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 942.25	LLG	
Center for Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 2,800.00	LLG	
Lexington Law Group, LLP	Fees and Costs	\$ 19,700.00	LLG	
Center for Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$ 3,731.00	LLG	

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.1.1 If a California court enters a judgment in the Action or another

 Proposition 65 enforcement action over exposure to lead in Covered Products or products substantially similar to Covered Products that imposes a different injunctive relief from what is set for in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may seek to modify Section 3 of this Consent Judgment to conform with the injunctive relief provided in such judgment. CEH may oppose such modification, if good cause exists for such opposition.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims arising under Proposition 65 relating to alleged exposure to lead from Covered Products as to all claims pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d) that were raised or could have been raised in the Notices or Action, arising from the failure to warn under Proposition 65 regarding the presence of lead in Covered Products, between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, owners, members, managers, representatives, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to any and all distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to lead contained in Covered

With a copy by first class and electronic mail to:

J.T. Wells Blaxter
Blaxter | Blackman LLP
601 Montgomery Street, Suite 1110
San Francisco, CA 94111
wblaxter@blaxterlaw.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 Except as provided in Section 11.2, should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding pursuant to this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.
- 11.2 Should CEH prevail on any motion or application for enforcement of the injunctive provisions pursuant to Section 3, and Settling Defendant had provided to CEH, before it filed such motion or application, a test report either (i) from a sample of the Covered Product

taken prior to the issuance of the Notice of Violation, or (ii) from the sample of the Covered Product that forms the basis of the Notice of Violation that was provided to the Settling Defendant by CEH, then CEH may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs only upon a finding by the Court that the Settling Defendant's opposition to the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against CEH as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification.
- 11.4 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.5 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

1	writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent			ent
2	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof			of
3	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.			
4	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION			
5		13.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to implement or modify	the
, 6	Conse	nt Judg	ment.	:
7	14.	AUTI	HORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT	,
8		14.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully aut	horized
9	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and			ıd
10	execu	te the C	onsent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that	Party.
11	15.	SUC	CESSORS AND ASSIGNS	1
12		15.1	This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settli	ng
13	Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or			rs or
14	assigns of any of them.			ı
15	16.	NO E	FFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	1 7 6
16		16.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any	claim
17	again	st an ent	tity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those conta	ained in
18	this C	onsent.	Judgment.	1
19	17.	EXE	CUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	5 5 6 4
20		17.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts	and by
21	mean	s of facs	simile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deen	ned to
22	consti	itute one	e document.	
23				
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,			
25	AND	DECR	EED.	
26				•
27	Dated	l:	Tidge of the Superior Court	1
28			13	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – KHS AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: July 3 , 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		Va C.
5		
6		Signature
7		Kinny Oh arlan Oyunya ar
8		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Printed Name
9		
10		CEO Title
11		
12	Dated:, 2025	KHS AMERICA, INC.
13		
14		
15		Signature
16 17		
18		Printed Name
19		
20		Title
21		Title
22	·	1
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		14
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KH	S AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
2				
3	Dated:, 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
4				
5				
6		Signature		
7		• 1		
8		Printed Name		
9		, ,		
10		Title		
11		Title		
12	Dated: July 23 rd , 2025	KHS AMERICA, INC.		
13	Dated. July 25, 2025	;		
14		And All		
15		Signature Signature		
16 · 17				
18		Gerald L. Goldenson Printed Name		
19		,		
20	i.	President Title		
21		'		
22 -				
23				
24		!		
25				
26	'	! :		
27				
28		14		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KHS AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171			