1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Patrick Carey, State Bar No. 308623		
2	Mary Haley Ousley, State Bar No. 332711  503 Divisadero Street  Superior Court of California County of San Francisco		
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800  JUL 23 2025		
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 pcarey@lexlawgroup.com  CLEBICS THE COURT		
5.	mhousley@lexlawgroup.com  BY: Deputy Clerk		
6	Counsel for Plaintiff		
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. CGC-24-615036		
13	a non-profit corporation,  a non-profit corporation,  Proposed Consent		
14	Plaintiff,  JUDGMENT AS TO GRUPO AGC  LLC		
15	vs.		
16	BRAND BUZZ, LLC et al.,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19 <sub>.</sub> -			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for		
22	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Grupo AGC LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH		
23	and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		
24	1.2 Settling Defendant is a limited liability company that manufactures,		
25	distributes, and/or sells liquid soaps, such as shampoo, that contain coconut oil diethanolamine		
26	condensate (also known as cocamide diethanolamine, coconut diethanolamide, and coconut oil		
27			
28	1		

diethanolamide) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.

- 1.3 On March 15, 2024, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in liquid soaps such as shampoo that Settling Defendant manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California.
- 1.4 On May 29, 2024, CEH filed the operative complaint in this matter ("Complaint") naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment; and (iv) that Settling Defendant employs ten or more persons.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

hand soap.

4

by the Court.

2.2

5

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

"Covered Products" means liquid soaps, such as shampoo, body soap, and 2.1

"Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered

### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation.** As a result of CEH's Notice and Complaint, Settling Defendant reformulated the Covered Products to remove cocamide DEA. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Products that contain cocamide DEA that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- Specification to Suppliers. If Settling Defendant continues to distribute 3.2 and/or sell or offer for sale the Covered Products in California, it shall issue specifications to its manufacturers and/or suppliers of any Covered Products that will be available to California consumers, requiring that Covered Products not contain cocamide DEA.

# Action Regarding Specific Products.

3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling and/or distributing the following products if such products contain cocamide DEA in California: (1) Árbol Verde Shampoo with Hispanic Herbs shampoo with Copalchi, Rosemary, Aloe Vera, Mexican Loeselia, and Walnut shell; (2) Árbol Verde Shampoo with Hispanic Herbs shampoo with Bergamot, Flaxseed, Horsetail and Blueberry; and (3) Árbol Verde Shampoo with Hispanic Herbs shampoo with Chia, Jojoba, Aloe and Pear (the "Section 3.3 Products"). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products, which contain cocamide DEA to any of its immediate downstream customers that it knows resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its immediate downstream customers that it

. 3

knows resell the Section 3.3 Products, which contain cocamide DEA in California instructing them to not sell any Section 3.3 Products to California consumers.

3.3.2 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section.

### 4. ENFORCEMENT

A.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties. To the extent that Settling Defendant can demonstrate that it distributed or sold the Covered Product subject to the Notice of Violation prior to the Effective Date, the sale of such Covered Product will not constitute a violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment.

### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$82,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section according to the following schedule.
- Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. All payments under Section 5 shall be made by check. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$250 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable,

1	together with reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, in the event of a successful enforcement		
2	proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling		
3	Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made		
4	payable as follows:		
5	5.2.1 A civil penalty in the amount of \$11,239 pursuant to Health &		
6	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health &		
7	Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of		
8	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of		
9	the civil penalty payment of \$8,429 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with		
10	taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This total payment shall be made in two payments		
11	with the first payment of \$4,215 due within fourteen calendar days of the Effective Date and the		
12	second payment of \$4,214 due within sixty calendar days of the Effective Date. Each payment		
13	shall be delivered as follows:		
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B		
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
19	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
20	, Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
21	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B		
22	Sacramento, CA 95814		
23	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,810 shall be made payable to the		
24	Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981		
25	This total amount shall be made in two payments with the first payment of \$1,405 due within		
26	fourteen calendar days of the Effective Date and the second payment of \$1,405 due within sixty		
27	calendar days of the Effective Date. Each payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group,		
28	LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.		

11

12

13<sup>-</sup>

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

An Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in the amount of \$8,429 to CEH in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including cocamide DEA, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to cocamide DEA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total amount due under this Section shall be made in two payments with the first payment of \$4.214 due within fourteen calendar days of the Effective Date and the second payment of \$4,215 due within sixty calendar days of the Effective Date. Each payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 Settling Defendant shall pay \$62,832 as a reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The total attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement are as follows: (a) \$52,867 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$9,965 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total amounts due under this Section shall be made in four payments delivered as follows: within fourteen calendar days of the Effective date, Settling Defendant shall deliver to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 (a) a check in the amount of \$26,434 made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP and (b) a check in the amount of \$4,982 made payable to the Center for Environmental Health; within sixty calendar days of the Effective Date (a) a second check in the amount of \$26,433 made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP

and (b) a second check in the amount of \$4,983 made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.3 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and the following parties: (1) Settling Defendant, (2) Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and (3) each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees, including Target Corporation ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in

18<sup>-</sup>

2,

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.

  Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling

  Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 Settling Defendant is responsible for payment of any appearance fee required by or owed to the Court.
- 9.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

# 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- Plaintiff shall similarly be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs should it successfully resolve any enforcement action during the meet and confer process outlined in Section 4. Plaintiff, and its attorneys, shall provide time keeping records reflecting their reasonable fees and costs associated with any fee and cost demand they make pursuant to this Section.

- 11.3 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

## 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

# 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT 1 2 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that they are fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute 3 4 the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party. 5 NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim 16.1 6 against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in 7 8 this Consent Judgment. 9 **EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS** The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and 10 17.1 by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to 11 constitute one document. 12 13 14 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, 15 16 17 of the Superior Court of the State of California 18 JOŚEPH M. QUINN 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GRUPO AGC LLC - CASE NO. CGC-24-615036

28

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: <u>April 3</u> , 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
. 4	Mpril 3, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		KS-FA
7		Kizzy Charles-Guzman
8		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
9.		
10		
11		
13	Dated:, 2025	GRUPO AGC LLC
14		
15		Signature
16		·
17		Printed Name
18		
19	• .	Title
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – GRUPO AGC LLC – CASE NO. CGC-24-615036

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated:, 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
7		•
8		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
9 10		
11		
12	1.125	
13	Dated: April 25, 2025	GRUPO AGC LLC
14	gradien in State of Section 1990 and the	Atricial .
15		Signature  Jose Carlos Atristain Gutierrez
16		Printed Name
17		1 Interest Name
18		Title
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
25 26		
27		
28		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GRUPO AGC LLC - CASE NO. CGC-24-615036