LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP 1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 2 Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 3 MAR 25 2025 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 4 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com 5 mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. CGC-24-619142 13 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT 14 JUDGMENT AS TO NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA INC. v. 15 NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA INC., et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 1.1. This Amended Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by 22 Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and defendant 23 Northerner Scandinavia Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against 24 Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental 25 Health v. Northerner Scandinavia Inc., San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-24-26 619142 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 27 On April 3, 2024, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation relating to the 1.2. 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED N RECYCLED PAPER

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA INC. - CASE NO. CGC-24-619142

California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to nicotine resulting from use of nicotine pouch products sold by Setting Defendant ("Nicotine Pouches"). On April 30, 2024, CEH served an amended 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Amended Notice") on all of the same entities listed above that included the same allegations as the Notice, but fixed a typographical error contained in the Notice.

- 1.3. On August 1, 2024, CEH served a second 60-Day Notice of Violation relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000 ("Second Notice"). The Second Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to nicotine resulting from use of oral smokeless nicotine products such as gum, snus, snuff, lozenges, cotton mouth, and chewing tobacco ("Oral Smokeless Nicotine Products") by Setting Defendant. Nicotine Pouches and Oral Smokeless Nicotine Products are collectively referred to herein as the "Covered Products." The Notice, Amended Notice and Second Notice are collectively referred to herein as "Notices."
- 1.4. On October 21, 2024, CEH filed the Action which named Settling Defendant as a defendant which includes a single cause of action under Proposition 65 based on the allegations set forth in the Notice, Amended Notice and Second Notice.
- 1.5. Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that distributes and sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
  - 1.6. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this

Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint with respect to Covered Products distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

1.7. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein and in the Notices. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

## 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means nicotine pouch products, nicotine gum, nicotine lozenges, Cotton Mouth, chewing tobacco and moist smokeless tobacco, including snus and snuff, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 2.2. "Defendant's website" or words to that effect means <a href="www.Nicokick.com">www.Nicokick.com</a> and <a href="www.Northerner.com">www.Northerner.com</a> or another website from which the Settling Defendant sells the Covered Products into California.
- **2.3.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

6

11

9

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

2.4. "Fruit Flavored Covered Product" means a Covered Product that is marketed and sold as having a fruit taste or odor and imparts a fruit taste or odor that is distinguishable by an ordinary consumer either prior to or during the consumption of the product.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

# 3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products.

After thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, no Covered Product shall be sold by Settling Defendant on its websites to a customer in California unless the following warning is provided:



**WARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals including nicotine, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The warning shall not be immediately preceded by, immediately surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use would understanding as contradicting, obfuscating, or otherwise undermining the warning. The warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the particular Covered Product being purchased by a customer in California prior to the authorization of or actual payment. The warning language is not prominently displayed if the customer must search for the warning language in the general content of Settling Defendant's websites or if an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use cannot determine the specific Covered Product to which the warning applies. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section. If consumer information on the website is in a foreign language, the warning must be given in the foreign language in addition to English. In lieu of the preceding warning language set forth above, Settling Defendant may use any specific safe harbor warning content applicable to the Covered Products and the chemical at issue set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600, et seq., as amended August 30, 2018, and

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

subsequently thereafter. In the event Settling Defendant agrees to use the specific warning language set forth in this paragraph, it will make the reduced payments identified in Section 4.2 for the full-length warning. In the event Settling Defendant elects to use other safe harbor warning language, it shall also make payments outlined in Section 4.3.

- 3.2. Optional Additional Injunctive Relief Cessation of Sales of Fruit Flavored Covered Products. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall make its election of whether to be bound by this Optional Injunctive Relief or make the additional payments set forth in Section 4.4.
- 3.2.1. Should Settling Defendant elect to be bound by this Optional Injunctive Relief, it shall, within thirty (30) days after making the election, stop selling all Fruit Flavored Covered Products into the State of California. As to any Fruit Flavored Covered Product, the obligation to stop selling such Covered Product shall continue unless and until both of the following conditions are met: (1) FDA has expressly authorized the sale of the Fruit Flavored Covered Product; and (2) the sale of such Covered Product is not otherwise prohibited by state or federal law. To the extent that the preceding conditions (1) and (2) apply to some, but not all of the Fruit Flavored Covered Products, the sales prohibition will only apply to those Fruit Flavored Covered Products for which the two conditions have not been met.

# 4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1. Payments by Settling Defendant. Within 10 days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$90,000 and no cents as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- **4.2.** Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant of \$90,000 shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA INC. - CASE NO. CGC-24-619142

DOCUMENT PREPARED

Fund and use them to: (1) support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about nicotine in nicotine pouches and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to, or used by youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with Californians about the risks of exposures to nicotine and other toxic chemicals in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to nicotine and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposures to nicotine and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to, or used by youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health

- 4.2.4. \$68,690 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$57,850 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$10,840 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 4.3. If Settling Defendant elects to use any other safe harbor warning language other than the specific warning language set forth in Section 3.1, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$5,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty, ASP and attorneys' fees and costs as set forth herein. Of the additional payment, \$2,500 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$1,875 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA

Francisco, CA 94117.

4.4.

7

1011

13

12

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

address set forth in section 4.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment

of \$625 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with

taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,000 of the additional payment shall be made

payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and

costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$1,500 of the additional payment

shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpaver

identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 4.2.2 above. Both

relief, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$50,000 and no cents to be split

between a civil penalty, ASP and attorneys' fees and costs as set forth herein. Of the additional

payment, \$15,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code

Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment

of \$11,250 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-

0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 4.2.1 above. The CEH portion of

Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$25,000

of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street,

San Francisco, CA 94117 to reimburse it for a portion of its outstanding fees and costs as well as

those associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$10,000 of the additional payment

shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 4.2.3 above. Both

payments to CEH as well as the one to Lexington Law Group shall be delivered to Lexington

Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

the additional civil penalty payment of \$3,750 shall be made payable to the Center for

§ 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health

If Settling Defendant elects not to be bound by the Optional Additional Injunctive

payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San

5.

5.1.

ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior

Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent

Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3

above applicable to Settling Defendant, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of

Violation and a copy of any photographs or other documents which support CEH's Notice of

Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated

Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation.

application. In the event that CEH is successful in any enforcement motion under this Section, it

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and

shall be entitled to recover any remedies available to it under Proposition 65 or any other laws.

Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law. The

moving party shall bear the burden of proof on any motion to modify this Consent Judgment.

hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the

public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors,

shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns

("Settling Defendant's Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising

from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public

interest against Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's Releasees, regarding the failure to

warn about exposures to nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by

7.1. Provided Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4

Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or

motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

# 13

6.

7.

6.1.

14 15

16

### 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

28

-9-

ON RECYCLED PAPER

7.2. Provided Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Se	ction 4
hereof, CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims allege	d in the
Complaint against Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's Releasees arising from ar	ıy
violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failu	re to
warn about exposure to nicotine in connection with Covered Products manufactured, dist	ributed
or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.	

Provided Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 7.3. hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Settling Defendant's Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about nicotine in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

### 8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 8.1. notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
- 8.1.1. Notices to Defendant. The persons for Settling Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Agustin E. Rodriguez Troutman Pepper 1001 Haxall Point 15th Floor Richmond, VA 23219 Agustin.rodriguez@troutman.com

8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

> Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group LLP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by

ON RECYCLED PAPER

### 9. COURT APPROVAL

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

- 9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

### 10. **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

# 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2. Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 12.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 12.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements

specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

- **12.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 12.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

## 13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

## 14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that they are fully authorized by the Party they represent to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

## 15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

### 16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

**16.1.** The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

-12-

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
3		
4	Valor	
5	Dated: January 8, 2025	
6	Kizzy Charles-Guzman CEO	
7		
8		
9	NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA, INC.	
10		
11	Peter Grafstrom  Dated: 12/23/24	
12	Signature	-
13	Peter Grafstrom	
14	Printed Name	
15		
16		·
17		
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
20		
21		
22	Dated: 3 21, 2025  Judge of the Superior Court	<del>1</del> >
23		KET)
24	HAROLD KAHN	
25		
26		\
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
	AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO NORTHERNER SCANDINAVIA INC. – CASE NO. CGC-2	4-619142