Superior Court of California County of Alameda ENTORNO LAW, LLP 09/09/2025 1 Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Chad Finke, Executive Officer/Clerk of the Court Electronically Received 08/06/2025 12:01 PM Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) 2 Deputy Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) D. Labre cque 3 Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com 7 Email: janani@entornolaw.com Email: gianna@entornolaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 9 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, Case No.: 24CV095397 13 INC., **Reservation ID:** A-95397-001 AMENDED (PROPOSED) Plaintiff, 14 JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO v. 15 **TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** PERIO, INC., an Ohio corporation; C.O. SETTLEMENT AND AMENDED BIGELOW CHEMISTS, INC., a New York CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO 16 corporation; ELEMIS USA, INC., a Florida DEFENDANT ELEMIS USA, INC. corporation; THE PROCTER & GAMBLE 17 COMPANY, an Ohio corporation; CVS Date: August 1, 2025 18 PHARMACY, INC., a Rhode Island corporation; Time: 2:00 p.m. WALGREEN CO., an Illinois corporation; 520 Dept.: TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota 19 **Judge**: Hon. Jamilah A. Jefferson corporation; NAME RITE, LLC, a Delaware 20 limited liability company; JOHNSON & Case Filed: October 11, 2024 JOHNSON CONSUMER INC., a Delaware Trial Date: None set. 21 corporation; EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability 22 company; FRUIT OF THE EARTH, INC., a Delaware corporation; ALBERTSONS 23 COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware corporation; THE KROGER CO., an Ohio corporation; BEIERSDORF, INC., a Delaware corporation; 24 DOLLAR SHAVE CLUB, INC., a Delaware 25 corporation; CLARINS U.S.A., INC., a New York corporation; BIO CHEM LABORATORIES CORP., a California 26 corporation; CLINIQUE LABORATORIES, 27 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; THE ESTEE LAUDER COMPANIES, INC., a 28 Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,

AMENDED [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT (CASE NO. 24CV095397)

1	inclusive,	
2	Defendants.	
3		_
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	

1	Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. and Defendant Elemis USA, Inc.
2	(collectively, the "Parties") agreed through their respective counsel to enter judgment pursuant to
3	the terms of their settlement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Amended Consent Judgment").
4	This Court issued an Order approving, in part, the Proposition 65 Settlement and Amended Consent
5	Judgment on August 1, 2025. The Court ordered Parties to submit a modified version of the
6	Amended Consent Judgment to address the Court's concern that it was not clear that public agency
7	prosecutors may enforce the settlement under California Health and Safety Code Section
8	25249.7(c) and that private party prosecutors acting "in the public interest" may enforce the
9	settlement under California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d).
10	To resolve the Court's concerns, Parties added the following language into Section 8 of the
11	Amended Consent Judgment: "The injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment may be enforced by
12	public agency prosecutors pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(c), and/or
13	by private party prosecutors acting "in the public interest" under California Health and Safety Code
14	section 25249.7(d)." Plaintiff then presented this Amended Consent Judgment to the Attorney
15	General, who agreed to waive the 45-day service requirement.
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and
17	Safety Code, section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure, section 664.6, judgment is hereby
18	entered in accordance with the terms of the Amended Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit
19	$oldsymbol{A}$.
20	By stipulation of the Parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under
21	Code of Civil Procedure, section 664.6.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	
25	A -1 / A II
26	Dated: 09/08/2025 Jamild fe/fem_

27

28

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Jamilah A. Jefferson / Judge

1	ENTORNO LAW, LLP		
2	Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Noam Glick (SBN 251582)		
3	Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770)		
4	Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900		
5	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527		
6	Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: noam@entornolaw.com		
7	Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: janani@entornolaw.com		
8	Email: gianna@entornolaw.com		
	Attamasya fan Dlaintiff		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
13	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,	Case No. 24CV095397	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
16	PERIO, INC., an Ohio corporation; C.O.	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
17	BIGELOW CHEMISTS, INC., a New York corporation; ELEMIS USA, INC., a Florida		
18	corporation; THE GILLETTE COMPANY LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;		
19	THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, an Ohio corporation; CVS PHARMACY,		
20	INC., a Rhode Island corporation; WALGREEN CO., an Illinois corporation;		
21	TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota corporation; NAME RITE, LLC, a Delaware		
22	limited liability company; JOHNSON &		
23	JOHNSON CONSUMER INC., a Delaware corporation; EDGEWELL PERSONAL		
24	CARE BRANDS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; FRUIT OF THE EARTH,		
25	INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
20		_	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., ("EHA" or "Plaintiff") and Elemis USA, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Elemis") with EHA and Elemis each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Elemis employs ten or more individuals and for purposes of this Consent Judgment only, is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that Elemis manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale Elemis Ice Cool Foaming Shave Gel For Men that contains diethanolamine ("DEA"). EHA further alleges that Elemis does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Elemis denies these allegations and asserts that its products, including the Covered Products, as defined herein, are safe and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

1.5 Notice of Violation

On or around May 10, 2024, EHA served Elemis, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Elemis had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to diethanolamine ("DEA") contained in shave gel products, including, but not limited to, Elemis Ice Cool Foaming Shave Gel For Men manufactured or processed by Elemis that allegedly contain DEA and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1).

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are shave gel products, including but not limited to Elemis Ice Cool Foaming Shave Gel For Men, manufactured or processed by Elemis that allegedly contains DEA and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Covered Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On or around October 11, 2024, EHA filed a Complaint against Elemis for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

Elemis denies all material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Elemis' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Elemis as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.7

2.1 Reformulation of the Covered Products

Beginning thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Elemis shall be permanently enjoined from supplying any Covered Products directly to any California consumer that has a DEA content above the Reporting Limit of 10 mg/kg when analyzed pursuant to liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS), inductively coupled mass-spectroscopy (ICP-MS) or other method of analysis utilized by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) for qualitative and quantitative screening of cosmetics and cosmetic raw materials. Eleims shall also instruct its third party retailers to stop selling Covered Products to California Consumers as of the Effective Date unless the Covered Products contain the Proposition 65 warning set forth below in section 2.2.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, Elemis agrees any of the Covered Products sold by Elemis directly to any California consumer, or supplied to any retailer that sells to any California consumer, exceeding the Reformulation Standard set forth in section 2.1 above, shall contain a "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warning, within the meaning of Section 25249.6 of the Act. Elemis agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Covered Products the warning applies, and which listed chemical(s) is/are implicated, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. The Parties agree that once Elemis has complied with its obligations under section 2.1 to instruct its retailers to cease selling the Covered Products unless they have the Proposition 65 warning described herein, Elemis shall bear no liability or responsibility for that third party retailer selling Covered Products in violation with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products shall consist of a product-specific warning via one or more of the following methods: (1) A posted sign, shelf tag, or shelf sign for the consumer product at each point of display of the product; (2) Any electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser (not

1	applicable to internet purchases, which are subject to the provisions of § 25602(b)); (3) A warning	
2	directly affixed to the product's label or tag; or (4) A short-form warning on the label that complies	
3	with the content requirements set forth in §§ 25603(b) and 25603(a). Specifically, pursuant to §	
4	25603(a) – (d), one of the following statements must be utilized:	
5	1) AWARNING:" [or] "CA WARNING:" [or] "CALIFORNIA	
6	WARNING:" This product can expose you to chemicals including diethanolamine ("DEA"), which is known to the State of California	
7	to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.	
8	OR	
9	SHORT FORM	
10	2) AWARNING:" [or] "CA WARNING:" [or] "CALIFORNIA WARNING: Cancer risk from exposure to diethanolamine ("DEA"). See	
11	www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.	
12	OR	
13	SHORT FORM 3)	
14	WARNING: Can expose you to diethanolamine ("DEA"), a carcinogen. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.	
15		
16	OR	
17	SHORT FORM ON A	
18	PRODUCT 4) WARNING: Cancer- www.P65Warnings.ca.gov MANUFACTURED/LA	
19	BELED PRIOR TO 1/1/28, REGARDLESS	
20	OF DATE OF SALE	
21		
22	The triangle above shall be yellow on the warning statement. Where the sign, label, or	
23	shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and	
24	white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the warning text, in a size no smaller than the height of	
25	the word, "WARNING." A short-form warning must be provided on a product in a type size that	
26	complies with Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25601(c). In no case shall a warning statement displayed on	
27	the Covered Products' packaging appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type. Where a sign, labeling,	

or label as defined in Section 256001.1 is used to provide a warning that includes consumer information

28

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

about a product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

As set forth in Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 25602(b), to the extent Covered Products are sold online, a warning that complies with the content requirements of Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25603 must be provided via of the following methods: (1) A warning on the product display page; (2) A clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" or the words "CA WARNING" or "CALIFORNIA WARNING" on the product display page that links to the warning; or (3) An otherwise prominently displayed warning provided to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If a warning is provided using the short-form label content pursuant to Section 25602(a)(4), the warning provided on the website may use the same content. For purposes of this section, a warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website. For internet purchases made prior to 1/1/28, a retail seller is not responsible under Section 25600.2(e)(4) for conspicuously posting or displaying the new warning online until 60 calendar days after the retailer receives a warning or a written notice under Section 25600.2(b) and (c) which updates a short-form warning compliant with Section 25603(c) with content compliant with Section 25603(b). These requirements extend to any websites under the exclusive control of Elemis where Covered Products are sold into California. In addition, Elemis shall instruct any third-party website to which it directly sells its Covered Products to include the same online warning, as set forth above, as a condition of selling the Covered Products in California.

There shall be no obligation for Elemis to provide a warning for Covered Products that entered the stream of commerce, or was supplied to any retailer, prior to the Effective Date, and the Section 4 release applies to all such Covered Products.

(i) Changes in Warning Regulations or Statutes

In the event that the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment promulgates one or more regulations requiring or permitting Proposition 65 warning text and/or methods of transmission applicable to the Covered Products and the chemical at issue, which are different than those set forth above, Elemis shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text and/or method of transmission without being deemed in breach of this Agreement. If regulations or legislation

are enacted by a controlling regulatory agency or governing body, or any court of competent jurisdiction providing that Proposition 65 warnings as to DEA in this product are no longer required, Defendant shall move for modification of the agreement pursuant to the modification provision in Section 12.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, supplied to retailers, or put into commerce on or before the date this Agreement is executed shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Elemis, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 2 do not apply to Covered Products manufactured, packaged, supplied to retailers, or put into commerce between the date this Agreement is executed and the Effective Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Elemis shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice(s), the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand doallars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually. The five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) in civil penalties shall be paid as follows:

• One payment of \$5,000.00 to OEHHA, due 14 (fourteen) days after the date the Court approves EHA's motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

1	All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:
2	Environmental Health Advocates
3	225 Broadway, Suite 2100
4	San Diego, CA 92101
5	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA
6	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:
7	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
8	Mike Gyurics
9	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
10	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
11	For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:
12	Mike Gyurics
13	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
14	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814
15	Elemis agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, within
16	five business days of its penalty payment to EHA.
17	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required.
18	Relevant information is set out below:
19	• "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided above.
20	"Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.
21	All payments referenced in this section shall be paid within fourteen (14) days of the date the Court
22	approves EHA's motion to approve this Consent Judgment.
23	3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs
24	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's
25	counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not
26	limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Elemis' attention, as well as
27	litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
28	

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

Elemis shall provide its payment for civil penalty and for attorneys' fees and costs to EHA's counsel by physical check or by electronic means, including wire transfers, at Elemis' discretion, as follows: forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) in Attorney's Fees and Costs shall be paid as follows:

• One payment of \$45,000.00, due fourteen (14) days after the date the Court approves EHA's motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

The attorney fee payments shall be made payable to Entorno Law, LLP and delivered to:

Noam Glick Entorno Law, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Elemis, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Covered Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Amazon.com, Inc.), and marketplaces franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 for exposures to DEA up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEA from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice(s). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEA from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice(s). This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against Elemis and/or Releasees for failure to comply with Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to DEA from Covered Products. This release does not extend to any third-party retailers selling the product on a website who, after receiving instruction from Elemis to include a warning as set forth above in section 2.2, do not include such a warning.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns also provides a release to Elemis and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEA in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Elemis before the Effective Date.

4.3 Elemis's Release of EHA

Elemis on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

4.4 No Other Known Claims or Violations

EHA and EHA's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Elemis or for which Elemis bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, whether by a controlling regulatory agency or governing body or a court of competent jurisdiction; or in the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if DEA cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to DEA in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then Elemis may seek relief from the injunctive obligations imposed by this Consent Judgment to the extent any Covered Products are so affected by modifying the agreement via the mechanisms set forth in Section 12.

8. ENFORCEMENT

In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment may be enforced by public agency prosecutors pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(c), and/or by private party prosecutors acting "in the public interest" under California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier; and (iv) with a copy by email; to the following addresses:

If to Elemis:	If to EHA:

26	Ronie M. Schmelz	Noam Glick
	K&L Gates LLP	Entorno Law, LLP
27	10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 8th Floor	225 Broadway, Suite 2100
	Los Angeles, CA 90067	San Diego, CA 92101
28	ronie.schmelz@klgates.com	noam@entornolaw.com

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27 28

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. **COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. **POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES**

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their reasonable best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

13. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

1	15. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>
2	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties
3	with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,
4	commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
5	implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or
6	otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
7	AGREED TO:
8 9 10 11 12	Date:August 4, 2025 By:
13	
14 15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Date:
17	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
18	Jamilah A. Jefferson / Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
, ,	

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** FILED COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Hayward Hall of Justice County of Alameda 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, CA 94544 09/09/2025 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chad Finke , Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., a California corporation By Ownill Statement Deputy D. Labre cque DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amazon.com, Inc., a Delaware corporation et al CASE NUMBER: CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE CODE OF CIVIL 24CV095397 **PROCEDURE 1010.6**

I, the below named Executive Officer/Clerk of Court of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served one copy of the Judgment entered herein upon each party or counsel of record in the above entitled action, by electronically serving the document(s) from my place of business, in accordance with standard court practices.

Andrew Homer AHomer@Kelleydrye.com Andrew Mark Hutchison Loeb & Loeb LLP ahutchison@loeb.com

David D Schneider Fennemore LLP dschneider@fennemorelaw.com

Elizabeth V McNulty EVANS FEARS SCHUTTERT MCNULTY MICKUS emcnulty@efsmmlaw.com

GREGORY G. SPERLA DLA PIPER LLP US Greg.Sperla@us.dlapiper.com

Gregory P O'Hara Nixon Peabody LLP gohara@nixonpeabody.com

Judith M Praitis judith.praitis@faegredrinker.com

Noam Glick Entorno Law, LLP noam@entornolaw.com

Ronie M. Schmelz ronie.schmelz@klgates.com

Willis M. Wagner
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
Will.Wagner@gtlaw.com

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

Dated: 09/09/2025 By:

Danill Tabayu

D. Labrecque, Deputy Clerk

SHORT TITLE: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION vs AMAZON.COM, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION, et al.

CASE NUMBER: 24CV095397