1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 San Francisco County Superior Court 2 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP DEC 01 2025 503 Divisadero Street 3 San Francisco, CA 94117 CLERK OF THE COURT Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 4 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com Deputy Clerk 5 mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. CGC-25-624261 12 a non-profit corporation, 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT 14 JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS **E-ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS,** v. 15 LLC AND SWISHER E-ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS, LLC, et al., INTERNATIONAL INC. 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED

-1-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - EAS AND SWISHER - CASE NO. CGC-25-624261

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendants E-Alternative Solutions, LLC ("EAS") and Swisher International, Inc. ("Swisher"). EAS and Swisher are referred to collectively as "Settling Defendants" and CEH and Settling Defendants are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 Settling Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or sell mint flavored caffeine pouches that contain pulegone in the State of California ("Covered Products") or have done so in the past.
- On November 13, 2024, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on EAS, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of pulegone in mint flavored caffeine pouches.
- 1.4 On January 16, 2025, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Swisher, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of pulegone in mint flavored caffeine pouches.
- 1.5 On April 10, 2025 CEH filed the original complaint ("Complaint"). The complaint names Settling Defendants as defendants in the action.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendants and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means mint flavored caffeine pouches that are manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.3 "Reformulation Level" means 8.7 ppm pulegone in Covered Products as measured by the Test Protocol.
- 2.4 "Test Protocol" means the use of GC-FID to measure the total pulegone content in a Covered Product.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- Reformulation of Covered Products. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date (the "Reformulation Date"), Settling Defendants shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California that contains pulegone in excess of the Reformulation Level.
- 3.2 **Specifications to Suppliers.** No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain pulegone in excess of the Reformulation Level.
 - 3.3 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The reformulation requirements of

Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendants have purchased prior to the Effective Date, including but not limited to Covered Products that have been manufactured and are in the process distribution, or in inventory or distribution centers, or at retail locations.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendants with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendants with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants. Settling Defendant shall jointly and severally pay the total settlement amount of \$32,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendants shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be allocated between civil penalties, an additional settlement payment and attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due dates set forth in Section 5.2.1-5.2.3. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to

1	Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as				
2	set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:				
3	5.2.1 \$4,320 of the total payment is a civil penalty pursuant to Health &				
4	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with				
5	Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of				
6	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil				
7	penalty payment for \$3,240 shall be made payable to OEHAA and associated with taxpayer				
8	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:				
9	For United States Postal Service Delivery:				
10	Attn: Mike Gyurics				
11	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment				
12	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010				
13					
14	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:				
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief				
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B				
17	Sacramento, CA 95814				
18	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,080 shall be made payable to the Center for				
19	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This				
20	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,				
21	CA 94117.				
22	5.2.2 \$3,240 of the total payment is an Additional Settlement Payment				
23	("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of				
24	Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use				
25	such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic				
26	chemicals, including pulegone, in caffeine pouches and other products. CEH may also use a				
27	portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test				
28					

Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance.

5.2.3 \$24,940 of the total payment is a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be divided as follows: (a) \$20,960 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$3,980 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.3 To summarize, the payments described above shall be payable as set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$3,240	OEHHA per Section 5.1.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,080	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$3,240	LLG
Lexington Law Group, LLP	Fee and Cost	\$20,960	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$3,980	LLG

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

itself and the public interest, and Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Defendant Releasees distribute or sell Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to pulegone contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding pulegone in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about pulegone in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8. NOTICE

When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

-7-

Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification means a justification that is well grounded in both law and fact.

- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- Nothing in this Section 101 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

8

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION"

CLAUSE

- Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 16.2 If CEH enters into any consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to pulegone in caffeine pouches in which it agrees to different injunctive terms, Settling Defendants may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendants seeks to adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this Consent Judgment. If CEH enters into any Settlement Document with any other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to pulegone in caffeine pouches that contains a different test protocol for determining the total content of pulegone in caffeine pouches, Settling Defendants may also use that test protocol.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 2025

Judge of the Superior Court

Visiting Judge Bay bawa 20019

-10-

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	,		
2		·		
3	Dated:June 12, 2025 CE	NTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HÉALTH		
4		ı		
5	\ \			
6	 			
7	Kiz Chi	zy Charles-Guzman ef Executive Officer		
8	Cili	er Executive Officer		
9		,		
10	Dated: E-A	ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS, LLC		
11		see next page		
12	$\overline{ ext{Sig}}$	nature Page		
13				
14	Pris	nted Name		
15				
16	Titl	,		
17		· ;		
18	Dated: SW	ISHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.		
19		See nuxt page		
20	Sig	nature		
21				
22	Pri	nted Name		
23				
24	Tit	le		
25				
26				
27		:		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		11		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11- CONSENT JUDGMENT – EAS AND SWISHER. – CASE NO. CGC-25-624261			

Į.	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
, 2	·
3	Dated: CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5	
6	See previous page
7	SU WWW OVS PAGE Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
' 8	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	Dated: 4/w/x E-ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS, LLC
11	AAB TITE
12	Signature Signature
13	Rayned J. Brandsteetter
14	
15	VP- Finance ? Operations Title
16	Title
17	
18	Dated: 4/10/25 SWISHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.
19	121
^ 20	Signature
21	CHRISTOHER L. Man
22 23	Printed Name
24	EUP GENERAL CONSIL
25	Title
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-
;	CONSENT JUDGMENT - EAS AND SWISHER CASE NO. CGC 25-024201