

07/02/2026

Clerk of the Court, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By: J. Bessard Deputy

Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366)
Environmental Research Center, Inc.
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 500-3090
Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org
Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.

David Lerch (SBN 229411)
O'Hagan Meyer
1 Embarcadero Center, Suite 2100
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 578-6900
Email: dlerch@ohaganmeyer.com
Attorney for Defendant Alpha Prime Supps, LLC and Alpha Prime
Apparel, Inc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
CENTER, INC., a California non-profit
corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALPHA PRIME SUPPS, LLC; ALPHA
PRIME APPAREL, INC.; and DOES 1-100

Defendants.

CASE NO. 25CV155175

AMENDED ~~PROPOSED~~
STIPULATED CONSENT
JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.*

Action Filed: November 17, 2025
Trial Date: None set

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On November 17, 2025, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), against Alpha Prime Supps, LLC ("Alpha Prime Supps") and Alpha

1 Prime Apparel, Inc. (“Alpha Prime Apparel”) (together, the “Defendants”) and Does 1-100. In
2 this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by the
3 Defendants contain perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and/or lead (“Pb”) and/or cadmium (“Cd”),
4 chemicals listed under Proposition 65 as carcinogens and/or reproductive toxins, at levels that
5 require a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a
6 “Covered Product” or collectively as “Covered Products”) are: (1) Prime Bites Protein
7 Brownie Chocolate Chip Cookie Dough Bites Flavored Brownie (Pb), (2) Prime Bites Protein
8 Brownie Chocolate Glazed Donut (Pb), (3) Prime Bites Protein Brownie Glazed Cinnamon
9 Roll (Pb), (4) Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Chocolate Cherry
10 Cake (Pb, Cd, PFOA), (5) Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein]
11 Vanilla Milkshake (PFOA), and (6) Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based
12 Protein] Salted Caramel (PFOA).

13 All of the Covered Products except Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant
14 Based Protein] Vanilla Milkshake and Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based
15 Protein] Salted Caramel may also be referred to as “Pb Covered Product(s).” Additionally, the
16 following Covered Products may be referred to as “PFOA Covered Products”: Alpha Prime
17 Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Chocolate Cherry Cake, Alpha Prime Vegan
18 Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Vanilla Milkshake, and Alpha Prime Vegan Protein
19 [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Salted Caramel. Further, the following Covered Product may
20 also be referred to as “Cd Covered Product”: Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant
21 Based Protein] Chocolate Cherry Cake.

22 **1.2** ERC and Alpha Prime Supps may also hereinafter be referred to individually as
23 a “Party” or collectively as the “Parties.”

24 **1.3** ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other
25 causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of
26 hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees,
27 and encouraging corporate responsibility.

28 **1.4** For purposes of this Amended Proposed Stipulated Consent Judgment (“Consent

1 Judgment”), the Parties agree that, at times material to this action, Alpha Prime Supps, LLC
2 qualified as a “person in the course of doing business” within the meaning of Proposition 65.
3 Alpha Prime Supps manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.

4 The Defendants assert that Alpha Prime Apparel is an apparel manufacturer and does not,
5 and did not at all material times, manufacture, distribute or sell the Covered Products.

6 **1.5** Contingent upon the above representation by the Defendants, Alpha Prime
7 Supps will take responsibility for and be obligated for all payments or actions required of
8 either or both of the Defendants, pursuant to this Consent Judgment and will not at any time
9 attempt to shift the performance of any responsibility or obligation it may have under this
10 Consent Judgment to Alpha Prime Apparel. Alpha Prime Supps further agrees that it will not
11 in the future claim or attempt to claim that Alpha Prime Apparel should be considered the
12 responsible Party for the Covered Products and/or for compliance with any obligation imposed
13 by this Consent Judgment. Based upon these representations by the Defendants, ERC will,
14 within 30 days after Alpha Prime Supps’ full and complete compliance with the payment
15 obligation outlined in Section 4.1, file a Request for Dismissal as to Alpha Prime Apparel.

16 **1.6** The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC’s Notice of Violation
17 dated July 17, 2025, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public
18 enforcers, and the Defendants (“Notice”). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated
19 July 17, 2025, is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated herein by reference. More
20 than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public
21 enforcers, and the Defendants, and no designated governmental entity has filed an action
22 against Alpha Prime Supps or Alpha Prime Apparel with regard to the Covered Products or the
23 alleged violations.

24 **1.7** ERC’s Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products by
25 California consumers exposes them to PFOA and/or cadmium and/or lead without first
26 receiving clear and reasonable warnings from Alpha Prime Supps, which is in violation of
27 California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. The Defendants deny all material
28 allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and expressly deny any and all wrongdoing,

1 violations, and/or liability. Alpha Prime Supps asserts that it has conducted its own testing and
2 that its products comply with all federal and state laws.

3 **1.8** The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle,
4 compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.
5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute
6 or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers,
7 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions,
8 franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact,
9 issue of law, or violation of law.

10 **1.9** Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
11 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in
12 any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. Nothing herein, nor the
13 fact that consideration was paid, shall be used as evidence against any Party in any such current
14 or future legal proceeding.

15 **1.10** The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered
16 as a Judgment by this Court.

17 **2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become
19 necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter
20 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction
21 over Alpha Prime Supps and Alpha Prime Apparel as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that
22 venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent
23 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date
24 that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and
25 Complaint.

26 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS**

27 **3.1** Beginning on the Effective Date, Alpha Prime Supps shall be permanently
28 enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of

1 California,” or directly selling in the State of California, any Pb Covered Product that exposes
2 a person to a “Daily Lead Exposure Level” of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or
3 any PFOA Covered Product that exposes a person to a quantifiable level of PFOA that can be
4 reliably achieved using industry standard methods for testing and/or any Cd Covered Product
5 that exposes a person to a “Daily Cadmium Exposure Level” of more than 4.1 micrograms of
6 cadmium per day, unless the Pb Covered Products and/or Cd Covered Product and/or PFOA
7 Covered Products meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

8 **3.1.1** As used in this Consent Judgment, the term “Distributing into the State
9 of California” shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in
10 California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Alpha Prime Supps knows or has
11 reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.

12 **3.1.2** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the “Daily Lead Exposure
13 Level” shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:
14 micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the
15 product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings
16 of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on
17 the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no
18 recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

19 **3.1.3** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the “Daily Cadmium Exposure
20 Level” shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:
21 micrograms of cadmium per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of
22 the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by
23 servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings
24 appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of cadmium exposure per day. If the label
25 contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings
26 shall be one.

27 **3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

28 If Alpha Prime Supps is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the

1 following warning must be utilized (“Warning”):

2 **WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] [and]
3 [perfluorooctanoic acid] [and] [cadmium] which is [are] known to the State of California to
4 cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to
5 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

6 The Warning shall begin either with the word “**WARNING,**” as indicated above, or the
7 words “**CA WARNING**” or “**CALIFORNIA WARNING,**” in all capital letters and bold print.
8 Alpha Prime Supps shall use the phrase “cancer and” in the Warning if Alpha Prime Supps has
9 reason to believe that the “Daily Lead Exposure Level” (for Pb Covered Products) is greater than
10 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in
11 Section 3.4 and/or, for PFOA Covered Products, if there is a quantifiable level of PFOA that is
12 reliably achieved using industry standard methods for testing or if Alpha Prime Supps has reason
13 to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning. As
14 identified in the brackets, the warning shall appropriately reflect whether there is lead (only for the
15 Pb Covered Products), PFOA (only for the PFOA Covered Products), cadmium (only for the Cd
16 Covered Product), or multiple chemicals present in each of the Covered Products, but if there is a
17 chemical present at a level that requires a cancer warning, the chemical requiring use of the phrase
18 “cancer and” in the Warning shall always be identified.

19 The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the label of each Covered
20 Product, and it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. In
21 addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall appear on the
22 checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered
23 Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on
24 the checkout page are subject to the Warning. In no event shall any internet or website
25 Warning be contained in or made through a link.

26 The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety
27 warnings also appearing on the website or on the label and in no event less than six (6) point type.
28 No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on

1 the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further no statements may accompany the
2 Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a
3 less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

4 Alpha Prime Supps must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as
5 compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to
6 render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary
7 conditions of purchase or use of the product. Where a sign or label used to provide the Warning
8 for a Covered Product includes consumer information about the Covered Product in a language
9 other than English, the Warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

10 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written,
11 printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate
12 container or wrapper.

13 **3.3 Conforming Covered Products**

14 A Covered Product shall be considered a Conforming Covered Product if (a) for Pb
15 Covered Products, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per
16 day as determined by the exposure methodology set forth in Section 3.1.2 and the quality control
17 methodology described in Section 3.4, and (b) for PFOA Covered Products there is no
18 quantifiable level of PFOA as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section
19 3.4, and (c) for the Cd Covered Product, the "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" is no greater than
20 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day as determined by the exposure methodology set forth in
21 Section 3.1.3 and the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4, and (d) the Covered
22 Product is not known by Alpha Prime Supps to contain other chemicals that violate Proposition
23 65's safe harbor thresholds. No Warning is required for Conforming Covered Products.

24 **3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

25 **3.4.1** Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Alpha Prime Supps
26 shall arrange for lead and PFOA and cadmium testing of the Covered Products at least once a
27 year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of three (3) randomly
28 selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user,

1 which Alpha Prime Supps intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly
2 selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests
3 conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered
4 Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section
5 will no longer be required as to that Covered Product.

6 **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" and/or the
7 "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level," the highest lead and/or cadmium detection result of the
8 three (3) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

9 **3.4.3** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a
10 laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate
11 for the method used, including limit of detection and limit of quantification, sensitivity,
12 accuracy and precision that meets the following criteria: For the Pb Covered Products and the
13 Cd Covered Product, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a
14 limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.005 mg/kg for lead and cadmium, and, for the
15 PFOA Covered Products, testing shall be conducted by Symbio Laboratories, or another lab
16 that can achieve at least as sensitive of testing as Symbio Laboratories, using Liquid
17 Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and using the lowest reporting
18 level that can be reliably quantified using available technologies for PFOA.

19 **3.4.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an
20 independent third party laboratory certified by the State of California or accredited by the State
21 of California, a federal agency, the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or
22 similar nationally recognized accrediting organization to perform the particular method of
23 detection and analysis in question.

24 **3.4.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Alpha Prime Supps' ability
25 to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including
26 the raw materials used in their manufacture.

27 **3.4.6** Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, Alpha Prime Supps
28 shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. Alpha Prime Supps shall

1 retain all test results and documentation for a period of two years from the date of each test.

2 **3.5** Nothing in Section 3 of this Consent Judgment shall prevent or preclude ERC
3 from obtaining and relying upon its own testing for purposes of enforcement, so long as such
4 testing meets the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. Nothing in Section 3.4 of this Consent
5 Judgment is intended by either party to set a precedent for the level of lead, PFOA, cadmium, or
6 other chemicals that are permissible in consumer products under Proposition 65.

7 **4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT**

8 **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement
9 payments, attorney's fees, and costs, Alpha Prime Supps shall make a total payment of
10 \$90,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 10 days of the Effective Date ("Due
11 Date"). Alpha Prime Supps shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for
12 which ERC will give Alpha Prime Supps the necessary account information. The Total
13 Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

14 **4.2** \$20,209.22 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and
15 Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$15,156.91) of the civil penalty to
16 the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe
17 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety
18 Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$5,052.31) of the civil penalty.

19 **4.3** \$5,415.52 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable
20 costs incurred in bringing this action.

21 **4.4** \$15,000.00 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment
22 ("ASP"), which shall be subject to the Court's ongoing judicial oversight pursuant to
23 California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities
24 that address the same public harm as alleged in the Notice and Complaint in this matter. These
25 activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or
26 eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California.
27 ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the
28 State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or

1 elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and
2 reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products. ERC asserts
3 that its activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the
4 State of California.

5 ERC asserts that based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the
6 following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition
7 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate
8 those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (up to 65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and
9 testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California
10 consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent
11 judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations
12 thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This
13 work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery
14 through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (up to 10-
15 20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from
16 companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies,
17 providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering
18 guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead in dietary supplement
19 products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?"
20 Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers
21 by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted
22 to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then
23 may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results
24 shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

25 ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document
26 and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds
27 are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment.
28 ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of

1 documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

2 **4.5** \$49,375.26 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as
3 explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

4 **4.6** In the event that Alpha Prime Supps fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount
5 owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, Alpha Prime Supps
6 shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC
7 shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Alpha Prime Supps via electronic mail to the
8 addresses identified below in section 11. If Alpha Prime Supps fails to deliver the Total
9 Settlement Amount within five (5) business days from the written notice, the Total Settlement
10 Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California
11 Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010, and any release provisions in Section 8 that are for the
12 benefit of Alpha Prime Supps and/or the Released Parties (as defined in Section 8.2) shall be
13 suspended and waived during the period of time that transpires until the Total Settlement
14 Amount is paid in full. Additionally, Alpha Prime Supps agrees to pay ERC's reasonable
15 attorneys' fees and costs, if any, for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent
16 Judgment.

17 **5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

18 **5.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to non-monetary terms (i) by
19 written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment
20 or (ii) by motion of either Party, based upon an agreement to modify the Consent Judgment,
21 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.

22 **5.2** If Alpha Prime Supps seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1,
23 then Alpha Prime Supps must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent").
24 If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent,
25 then ERC must provide written notice to Alpha Prime Supps within thirty (30) days of
26 receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Alpha Prime Supps in a timely manner of
27 ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as
28 required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person, via remote meeting, or by telephone

1 within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30)
2 days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Alpha
3 Prime Supps a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for
4 an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become
5 necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer
6 period.

7 **5.3** In the event that Alpha Prime Supps initiates or otherwise requests a
8 modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to an agreed upon
9 motion or stipulation for a modification of the Consent Judgment, Alpha Prime Supps shall
10 reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees for the time spent in the meet-and-
11 confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.

12 **6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT** 13 **JUDGMENT**

14 **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or
15 terminate this Consent Judgment.

16 **6.2** If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Conforming
17 Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall
18 inform Alpha Prime Supps in a reasonably prompt manner, while also providing its test results,
19 including information sufficient to permit Alpha Prime Supps to identify the Covered Products
20 at issue. Alpha Prime Supps shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC
21 with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements
22 of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating Alpha Prime Supps' compliance with the Consent
23 Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter in good faith prior to ERC taking
24 any further legal action.

25 **7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

26 This Consent Judgment applies to and is binding upon the Parties and their respective
27 officers, directors, shareholders, and assigns, and their employees and agents (in their capacities as
28 employees or agents of a Party). This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered

1 Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not used
2 by California consumers.

3 **8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

4 **8.1** This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on
5 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Alpha Prime Supps and their respective officers,
6 directors, shareholders, assigns, and their employees and agents (in their capacity as employees
7 or agents of Alpha Prime Supps).

8 **8.2** ERC, acting in the public interest, releases Alpha Prime Supps, and its
9 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, contractors, representatives, affiliates,
10 agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, purchasers, predecessors, successors, divisions,
11 suppliers, franchisees, licensees, assigns, customers (not including private label customers of
12 Alpha Prime Supps), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and
13 downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors,
14 successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties") from any and all
15 claims for violations of Proposition 65 up to and including the Effective Date based on
16 exposure to lead from the Pb Covered Products, PFOA from the PFOA Covered Products and
17 cadmium from the Cd Covered Product, all as set forth in the Notice of Violation.

18 **8.3** ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby fully releases the Released Parties and
19 Alpha Prime Apparel from any and all claims, disputes, damages, violations, harms, losses,
20 fees, costs, expenses, penalties, and lawsuits, known or unknown, accrued or unaccrued,
21 disclosed or undisclosed, asserted, or that could have been asserted, stemming or arising from,
22 or related to the handling, manufacture, sale, marketing, distribution, use, or consumption of
23 the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing
24 regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered
25 Products regarding lead from the Pb Covered Products and/or PFOA from the PFOA Covered
26 Products and/or cadmium from the Cd Covered Product up to and including the Effective Date.

27 **8.4** ERC on its own behalf only, and Alpha Prime Supps and Alpha Prime Apparel,
28 on their own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against

1 each other and each other's employees, agents, representatives, assigns, successors, and
2 affiliates, for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing
3 enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up to and
4 including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit
5 any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6 **8.5** It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts
7 alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be
8 discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, and Alpha Prime Supps and Alpha Prime Apparel,
9 on behalf of themselves only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment and the Release herein
10 is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims, including, but not limited to, those
11 asserted against the Released Parties, up to and including the Effective Date, including all
12 rights of action therefor. ERC and Alpha Prime Supps and Alpha Prime Apparel acknowledge
13 that the claims released in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 above may include unknown claims and
14 nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims.

15 California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

16 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE
17 CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO
18 EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE
19 AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY
AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED
PARTY.

20 ERC, on behalf of itself only, and Alpha Prime Supps and Alpha Prime Apparel, on behalf of
21 themselves only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this
22 specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

23 **8.6** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to
24 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged
25 exposures to lead in the Pb Covered Products and PFOA in the PFOA Covered Products and
26 cadmium in the Cd Covered Product, as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

27 **8.7** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or
28 environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Alpha Prime

Supps' products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail and via electronic mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 500-3090
Email: chris.heptinstall@erc501c3.org

With a copy to:

Charles W. Poss
Environmental Research Center, Inc.
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 500-3090
Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org

FOR ALPHA PRIME SUPPS, LLC; ALPHA PRIME APPAREL, INC.:

Caesar Bacarella
5259 N. Hiatus Rd.
Sunrise, FL 33351
Email: Bacarella45@gmail.com

And

Segundo Pla
3310 NW 30 Street
Miami, FL 33142
Email: Segundo@masterbakerceo.com

1 With a copy to:
2 Justin B. Levine
3 Cole Scott & Kissane
4 The Florida Law Firm
5 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 500
6 West Palm Beach, FL 33401
7 Telephone: (561) 612-3459
8 Email: justin.levine@csklegal.com
9

10 **12. COURT APPROVAL**

11 **12.1** Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall file a
12 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this
13 Consent Judgment.

14 **12.2** If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,
15 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and, if
16 possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.

17 **12.3** If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be
18 void and have no force or effect.

19 **13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

20 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be
21 deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid
22 as the original signature.

23 **14. DRAFTING**

24 The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for
25 each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms
26 and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and
27 construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn,
28 and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact
that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any
portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated
equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

1 **15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

2 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent
3 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, via remote meeting,
4 by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No
5 action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute
6 beforehand.

7 **16. ENFORCEMENT**

8 Either Party may, by motion before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the
9 terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by any of the
10 Parties to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing Party may seek whatever fees, fines,
11 costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent
12 Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a
13 violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this
14 Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies
15 as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

16 **17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION**

17 **17.1** This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
18 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and
19 all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No
20 representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have
21 been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to
22 herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

23 **17.2** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
24 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

25 **18. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL**
26 **OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

27 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The
28 Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed

1 regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

2 (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and
3 equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has
4 been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement;


5 (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section
6 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment; and

7 (3) Retain jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 664.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, after
8 the Consent Judgment is entered in order to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

9 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**


10 Dated: 6/9/, 2026

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
CENTER, INC.

11
12 By: 
13 Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director

14 Dated: June 8, 2026

ALPHA PRIME SUPPS, LLC

15 
16
17 By:
18 Its:

19 Dated: June 8, 2026

ALPHA PRIME APPAREL, INC.


20 
21
22 By:
23 Its:
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.


Judge of the Superior Court
Chad Stegeman, Judge

EXHIBIT A



Environmental Research Center

3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108
619-500-3090

July 17, 2025

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 *ET SEQ.* (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I am the In-House Counsel for Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"). ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*, with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

Alleged Violators. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

**Alpha Prime Supps, LLC
Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc.**

Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Prime Bites Protein Brownie Chocolate Chip Cookie Dough Bites Flavored Brownie-Lead**
- 2. Prime Bites Protein Brownie Chocolate Glazed Donut - Lead**
- 3. Prime Bites Protein Brownie Glazed Cinnamon Roll - Lead**

4. **Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Chocolate Cherry Cake–Lead, Cadmium, Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)**
5. **Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Vanilla Milkshake - Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)**
6. **Alpha Prime Vegan Protein [All Natural Plant Based Protein] Salted Caramel - Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)**

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

Cadmium was officially listed as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity and male reproductive toxicity on May 1, 1997, while cadmium and cadmium compounds were listed as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1987.

On November 10, 2017, the State of California officially listed Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity. On February 25, 2022, the State of California officially listed Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) as a chemical known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least July 17, 2022, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.


Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violators to: (1) recall the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, and/or (2) affix clear and reasonable Prop 65 warning labels for products sold in the future while reformulating such products to eliminate the exposures, and (3) conduct bio-monitoring of all California consumers that have ingested the identified chemicals in the listed products, and (4) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

July 17, 2025

Page 3

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to my attention, or Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director of ERC, at the above listed address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Ch' followed by a long, sweeping horizontal line.

Charles Poss
In-House Counsel
Environmental Research Center

Attachments

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Alpha Prime Supps, LLC; Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc. and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Alpha Prime Supps, LLC and Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc.

I, Charles Poss, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am the attorney for the noticing party, Environmental Research Center.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: July 17, 2025



Charles Poss

July 17, 2025

Page 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On July 17, 2025, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"** on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Caesar Bacarella, Chief Executive Officer
or Current President or CEO
Alpha Prime Supps, LLC, Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc.
5259 N Hiatus Road
Sunrise, FL 33351

Cesar Bacarella
(Registered Agent for Alpha Prime Supps, LLC,
Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc.)
5259 N Hiatus Road
Sunrise, FL 33351

Caesar Bacarella, Chief Executive Officer
or Current President or CEO
Alpha Prime Supps, LLC, Alpha Prime Apparel, Inc.
5249 N Hiatus Road
Sunrise, FL 33351

On July 17, 2025, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1)** were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice> :

Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Post Office Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On July 17, 2025, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Royl Roberts, Interim District Attorney
Alameda County
7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650
Oakland, CA 94621
CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney
Calaveras County
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

July 17, 2025

Page 6

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney
Contra Costa County
900 Ward Street
Martinez, CA 94553
sgrassini@contracostada.org

James Clinchard, Assistant District Attorney
El Dorado County
778 Pacific Street
Placerville, CA 95667
EDCDAPROP65@edcda.us

Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney
Fresno County
2100 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA 93721
consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney
Inyo County
168 North Edwards Street
Independence, CA 93526
inyoda@inyocounty.us

Devin Chandler, Program Coordinator
Lassen County
2950 Riverside Dr
Susanville, CA 96130
dchandler@co.lassen.ca.us

Lori E. Frugoli, District Attorney
Marin County
3501 Civic Center Drive, Suite 145
San Rafael, CA 94903
consumer@marincounty.org

Walter W. Wall, District Attorney
Mariposa County
P.O. Box 730
Mariposa, CA 95338
mcda@mariposacounty.org

Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney
Merced County
550 West Main St
Merced, CA 95340
Prop65@countyofmerced.com

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney
Monterey County
1200 Aguajito Road
Monterey, CA 93940
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney
Napa County
1127 First Street, Ste C
Napa, CA 94559
CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney
Nevada County
201 Commercial St
Nevada City, CA 95959
DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us

Todd Spitzer, District Attorney
Orange County
300 N Flower St
Santa Ana, CA 92703
Prop65notice@ocdapa.org

Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney
Placer County
10810 Justice Center Drive
Roseville, CA 95678
Prop65@placer.ca.gov

David Hollister, District Attorney
Plumas County
520 Main St
Quincy, CA 95971
davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney
Riverside County
3072 Orange Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney
Sacramento County
901 G Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Prop65@sacda.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney
San Diego County
330 West Broadway
San Diego, CA 92101
SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org

Mark Ankorn, Deputy City Attorney
San Diego City Attorney
1200 Third Avenue
San Diego, CA 92101
CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

July 17, 2025

Page 7

Alexandra Grayner, Assistant District Attorney
San Francisco District Attorney's Office
350 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
Alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org

Henry Lifton, Deputy City Attorney
San Francisco City Attorney
1390 Market Street, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Prop65@sfcityatt.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney
San Joaquin County
222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202
Stockton, CA 95202
DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney
San Luis Obispo County
County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
Santa Barbara County
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney
Santa Clara County
70 W Hedding St
San Jose, CA 95110
EPU@da.sccgov.org

Nora V. Frimann, City Attorney
Santa Clara City Attorney
200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor
San Jose, CA 96113
Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney
Santa Cruz County
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Carla Rodriguez, District Attorney
Sonoma County
600 Administration Dr, Rm 212
Santa Rosa CA 95403
ECLD@sonoma-county.org


Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney
Tulare County
221 S Mooney Blvd
Visalia, CA 95370
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney
Ventura County
800 S Victoria Ave
Ventura, CA 93009
daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney
Yolo County
301 Second Street
Woodland, CA 95695
cfepd@yolocounty.org

On July 17, 2025, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on July 17, 2025, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.


Phyllis Dunwoody

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.*

July 17, 2025

Page 8

Service List

District Attorney, Alpine
County
P.O. Box 248
17300 Hwy 89
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador
County
708 Court Street, Suite 202
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte
County
25 County Center Drive, Suite
245
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Colusa
County
310 6th St
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte
County
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, Glenn
County
Post Office Box 430
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt
County
825 5th Street 4th Floor
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial
County
940 West Main Street, Ste 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Kern County
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings
County
1400 West Lacey Boulevard
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles
County
Hall of Justice
211 West Temple St., Ste 1200
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera
County
300 South G Street, Ste 300
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Mendocino
County
Post Office Box 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Modoc
County
204 S Court Street, Room 202
Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono
County
Post Office Box 617
Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, San Benito
County
419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San
Bernardino County
303 West Third Street
San Bernadino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Mateo
County
400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta
County
1355 West Street
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra
County
Post Office Box 457
100 Courthouse Square, 2nd
Floor
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou
County
Post Office Box 986
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano
County
675 Texas Street, Ste 4500
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus
County
832 12th Street, Ste 300
Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter
County
463 2nd Street
Yuba City, CA 95991


District Attorney, Tehama
County
Post Office Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity
County
Post Office Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne
County
423 N. Washington Street
Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba
County
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's
Office
City Hall East
200 N. Main Street, Suite 800
Los Angeles, CA 90012

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Rene C. Davidson Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612	FILED Superior Court of California County of Alameda 07/06/2026
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a California non-profit corporation	Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court By:  Deputy J. Bessard
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: ALPHA PRIME SUPPS, LLC et al	
CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1010.6	CASE NUMBER: 25CV155175

I, the below named Executive Officer/Clerk of Court of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served one copy of the Amended Stipulated Judgment Consent entered herein upon each party or counsel of record in the above entitled action, by electronically serving the document(s) from my place of business, in accordance with standard court practices.

Charles Poss
Environmental Research Center, Inc.
charles.poss@erc501c3.org

DAVID LERCH
O'HAGAN MEYER LLP
dlerch@ohaganmeyer.com

Dated: 07/06/2026

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By:


J. Bessard, Deputy Clerk