

CONSUMER DEFENSE GROUP ACTION

GRAHAM & MARTIN, LLP

3 Park Plaza, Suite 2030

Irvine, CA 92614

Telephone: (949) 474 - 1022

Facsimile: (949) 474 - 1217

60 Day Notice of Intent to Sue BRE Properties, Inc. Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Consumer Defense Group Action, a California corporation (hereinafter "CDG" or the "Noticing Party") hereby gives Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 (the "Notice") to Frank McDowell, the President and CEO of BRE Properties, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "BRE"), as well as the governmental entities on the attached proof of service. The Noticing Party must be contacted through the following entity: Law Offices of Graham & Martin, LLP, 3 Park Plaza, Suite 2030, Irvine, California 92614.

This Notice is intended to inform BRE that it has violated Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5) (hereinafter "Proposition 65") by failing and refusing to post clear and reasonable warnings at each of the facilities listed on Exhibit A hereto (which are owned/managed by BRE) (hereinafter "the Facilities") that BRE (1) permits the smoking of tobacco products at the Facilities, which exposes customers, visitors and employees to tobacco smoke in the areas where smoking is permitted; and, (2) permits the operation of motor vehicles at the Facilities, which exposes customers, visitors and employees to diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes, and the chemicals contained in those fumes, in the areas where such vehicles are allowed to be operated.

Summary of Violation:

Proposition 65 provides that when a party, such as BRE, has been and is knowingly and intentionally exposing its customers, visitors, employees and the general public to chemicals designated by the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity ("the Designated Chemicals") it has violated the statute unless, prior to such exposure, it provides clear and reasonable warning of that potential exposure to the potentially exposed persons (Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6). Tobacco smoke, as well as the constituent chemicals contained in tobacco smoke, are Designated Chemicals. Similarly, diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes contain Designated Chemicals.

BRE, in the ordinary course of business, controls much of the conduct and actions of its customers, visitors and employees at each of the Facilities. One of the actions BRE controls is whether or not to allow its customers, visitors and employees at each of the Facilities to smoke tobacco products. Similarly, BRE controls whether or not to allow its customers, visitors and employees at each of the Facilities to operate motor vehicles and the location of such operation at the Facilities.

Persons representing CDG have personally visited each of the Facilities over the course of the year preceding the date of this Notice (hereinafter referred to as the "Investigation Period"). During those investigations CDG discovered that the Facilities are owned and/or managed by BRE, and that BRE has more than nine employees. Those investigations showed that BRE has chosen to allow its customers, visitors and employees at each of the Facilities to smoke tobacco products, and has specifically chosen to allow smoking in certain areas. Those areas are the apartments, the lobbies, corridors and hallways of floors where apartments where smoking is allowed are located, areas adjacent to pools, entrances and

common areas where smoking is permitted, public walkways and parking areas where smoking is permitted. Further, those investigations showed that BRE has chosen to allow its customers, visitors and employees at each of the Facilities to operate motor vehicles in certain areas, the driveways and parking areas.

In the Facilities and areas noted BRE has chosen to allow its customers, visitors and employees to be exposed to: (1) tobacco smoke via the breathing of second hand tobacco smoke and via contact with their skin and clothing; and, (2) diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes via the breathing of such fumes (inhalation) and by contact with the skin and clothing (dermal contact). Evidence that (1) the smoking of tobacco products was taking place and had taken place at the Facilities, and (2) that the operation of motor vehicles (and thus exposures to diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes) was taking place at the Facilities, was seen by the investigators for CDG at the Facilities during the Investigation Period. The investigation by CDG at the Facilities showed that BRE has specifically chosen to ignore the requirements of Proposition 65 and has failed to post clear and reasonable warnings at any of the entrances to the Facilities, in the parking areas, in the areas leading into the Facilities from the parking areas, at the entrances to apartment buildings or floors where apartments where smoking is permitted are located, or in or near the walkways in the Facilities, so that its customers, visitors and employees, who may not wish to be exposed, can be warned that, upon entering any of those areas, they may be exposed to tobacco smoke. The investigation by CDG at the Facilities showed that BRE has specifically chosen to ignore the requirements of Proposition 65 and has failed to post clear and reasonable warnings at the parking areas or in the areas leading into the Facilities from the parking areas to warn its customers, visitors and employees, who may not wish to be exposed, that, upon entering any of those areas, they may be exposed to diesel and/or gasoline exhaust fumes, and chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity.

It is clear therefore that for the entire period of time that BRE has owned and/or controlled the Facilities prior to the Investigation Period, BRE has failed to post clear and reasonable warning signs at the Facilities in compliance with Proposition 65. Given that the maximum period of potential liability pursuant to Proposition 65 and Business & Professions Code §17200 (which are the operative statutes pursuant to which a complaint will be filed against BRE) is four years, this Notice is intended to inform BRE that it has been in violation of Proposition 65 from the time period from four years prior to the last date of the Investigation Period noted above, for every day upon which BRE owned and/or controlled any Facility listed on Exhibit A.

The written reports prepared by the investigators for CDG, prepared contemporaneously with the investigations conducted during the Initial Investigation Period, together with supporting photographic and other evidence from the Facilities, has been provided to the Office of the Attorney General responsible for Proposition 65 enforcement.

Environmental Exposures:

While in the course of doing business at the Facilities, during the Investigation Period, and for up to four years prior to that time, BRE has been and is knowingly and intentionally exposing its customers and the public to tobacco smoke and other chemicals listed below and designated by the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning of that fact to the exposed persons (Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6). The source of exposure is (1) tobacco smoke caused by the smoking of tobacco products by persons who BRE permits to smoke tobacco products at the Facilities, and (2) diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes produced by the operation of motor vehicles which BRE permits at the Facilities. The areas at the Facilities where customers, visitors and employees are being exposed to tobacco smoke are the apartments, the lobbies, corridors and hallways of floors where apartments where smoking is allowed are located, areas adjacent to pools, entrances and common areas

where smoking is permitted, public walkways and parking areas where smoking is permitted. The areas at the Facilities where customers, visitors and employees are being exposed to diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes the apartments and public walkways near to driveways and parking areas, and those driveways and parking areas.

Occupational Exposures:

While in the course of doing business at the Facilities, during the Investigation Period, and for up to four years prior to that time, BRE has been and is knowingly and intentionally exposing its employees to tobacco and tobacco smoke, diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes and other chemicals listed below and designated by the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning of that fact to the exposed person (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6). The source of exposure is (1) tobacco smoke caused by the smoking of tobacco products by persons who BRE permits to smoke tobacco products at the Facilities, and (2) diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes produced by the operation of motor vehicles which BRE permits at the Facilities. The source of exposure includes tobacco and tobacco smoke at the locations in Exhibit A. Employees include and are not limited to security personnel, maintenance workers, service personnel and administrative personnel. The areas at the Facilities where employees are being exposed to tobacco smoke are the apartments, the lobbies, corridors and hallways of floors where apartments where smoking is allowed are located, areas adjacent to pools, entrances and common areas where smoking is permitted, public walkways and parking areas where smoking is permitted. The areas at the Facilities where employees are being exposed to diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes the apartments and public walkways near to driveways and parking areas, and those driveways and parking areas.

The route of exposure for Occupational Exposures and Environmental Exposures to the chemicals listed below has been inhalation and dermal contact with (1) tobacco smoke and (2) diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes at the Facilities. In other words, via breathing and contact with the skin of (1) tobacco smoke and (2) diesel and gasoline exhaust fumes.

For each such type and means of exposure, BRE has exposed and is exposing the above referenced persons to the chemicals listed on the document attached hereto entitled "LIST OF CARCINOGENS/TOXINS."

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to BRE sixty days before a suit is filed. With this letter, CDG gives notice of the alleged violations to BRE and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to CDG from information now available to them. CDG reserves the right to amend this Notice to inform BRE of other violations and/or exposures as it gathers further information. With the copy of this amended notice submitted to BRE, a copy is provided of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary".

Dated: August 22, 2003

By:

LIST OF CARCINOGENS AND REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS

CARCINOGENS IN TOBACCO SMOKE:	
Acetaldehyde	Acetamide
Acrylonitrile	4-Aminobiphenyl
(4-Aminodiphenyl)	Aniline
Ortho-Anisidine	Arsenic (inorganic arsenic compounds)
Benz[a]anthracene	Benzene
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Benzo[j]fluoranthene
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Cadmium
Captan	Chromium (hexavalent compounds)
Chrysene	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)
Bibenz[a,h]anthracene	7H-Dibenzo[c,g]carbazole
Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene
Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,l]pyrene
1,1-Dimethylhydrazine (UDMH)	Formaldehyde (gas)
Hydrazine	Lead and lead compounds
1-Naphthylamine	2-Naphthylamine
Nickel and certain nickel compounds	2-Nitropropane
N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	N-Nitrosodiethanolamine
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	N-Nitrosomethylethylamine
N-Nitrosomorpholine	N-Nitrosornicotine
N-Nitrosopiperidine	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine
Ortho-Toluidine	Tobacco Smoke
Urethane (Ethyl carbamate)	
CARCINOGENS IN DIESEL AND GASOLINE EXHAUST FUMES:	
Benzene	Unleaded Gasoline (wholly vaporized)
REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS IN TOBACCO SMOKE:	
Arsenic (inorganic Oxides)	Cadmium
Carbon disulfide	Carbon monoxide
Lead	Nicotine
Toluene	Tobacco Smoke
Urethane	
REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS IN DIESEL AND GASOLINE FUMES:	
Benzene	Unleaded Gasoline (wholly vaporized)

EXHIBIT A**BRE PROPERTIES**

Blue Rock Village I 1900 Ascot Parkway Vallejo, CA 280 Units	Pinnacle at Laguna Niguel 28188 Moulton Pkwy. Laguna Niguel, CA 460 Units
Deer Valley 2500 Deer Valley Road San Rafael, CA 171 Units	Pinnacle at MacArthur Place 31 MacArthur Crescent Santa Ana, CA 253 Units
Foster's Landing 700 Bounty Drive Foster, CA 490 Units	Sycamore Valley 10349 Slater Avenue Fountain Valley, CA 440 Units
Lakeshore Landing 2717 South Norfolk St. San Mateo, CA 308 308 Units	The Arbors at Warner Center 6333 Canoga Avenue Woodland Hills, CA 250 Units
Pinnacle City Centre 22800 Meridian Drive Hayward, CA 192 Units	The Summit 2400 Ridgeview Drive Chino Hills, CA 125 Units
Pinnacle Crow Canyon 1700 Promontory Lane San Ramon, CA 400 Units	Village Green 901 South Idaho Street La Habra, CA 272 Units
Red Hawk Ranch 1401 Red Hawk Circle Fremont, CA 453 Units	Windrush Village 1699 East Washington Street Colton, CA 366 Units
Sharon Green 350 Sharon Park Drive Menlo Park, CA 296 Units	Bernardo Crest 11820 Paseo Lucido San Diego, CA 216 Units

<p>Sun Pointe Village 39451 Gallaudet Drive Fremont, CA 171 Units</p>	<p>Cambridge Park 3394 Daley Center Drive San Diego, CA 320 Units</p>
<p>Verandas 33 Union Square Union City, CA 282 Units</p>	<p>Canyon Villas 601 Telegraph Canyon Road Chula Vista, CA 183 Units</p>
<p>Arbor Pointe 9750 Old Placerville Road Sacramento, CA 240 Units</p>	<p>Cimmaron 10201 Camino Ruiz San Diego, CA 184 Units</p>
<p>Canterbury Downs 800 Micro Court Roseville, CA 173 Units</p>	<p>Countryside Village 1525 Graves Avenue El Cajon, CA 96 Units</p>
<p>Hazel Ranch 8842 Winding Way Fair Oaks, CA 208 Units</p>	<p>Hacienda 10201 Camino Ruiz San Diego, CA 192 Units</p>
<p>Overlook at Blue Ravine 1200 Creekside Drive Folsom, CA 512 Units</p>	<p>Lakeview Village 3115 A Sweetwater Springs Boulevard Spring Valley, CA 300 Units</p>
<p>Pinnacle at Blue Ravine 1005 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 260 Units</p>	<p>Mission Trails 6975 Golfcrest Drive San Diego, CA 208 Units</p>
<p>Pinnacle at Galleria 1100 Roseville Parkway Roseville, CA 236 Units</p>	<p>Montanosa 11012 Camino Playa Carmel San Diego, CA 472 Units</p>
<p>Quail Chase 99 Cable Circle Folsom, CA 90 Units</p>	<p>Pinnacle at Carmel Creek 11724 Carmel Creek Road San Diego, CA 348 Units</p>

<p>Rocklin Gold 2651 Sunset Boulevard Rocklin, CA 121 Units</p>	<p>Pinnacle at Otay Ranch 1310 Santa Rita Chula Vista, CA 364 Units</p>
<p>Selby Ranch 258 Selby Ranch Road Sacramento, CA 400 Units</p>	<p>Reflections 11505 Windcrest Lane San Diego, CA 356 Units</p>
<p>Shaliko 5051 El Don Drive Rocklin, CA 151 Units</p>	<p>Terra Nova Villas 440 East H Street Chula Vista, CA 232 Units</p>
<p>Boulder Creek 2442 Iowa Avenue Riverside, CA 264 Units</p>	<p>Westpark 8294 Flanders Drive San Diego, CA 96 Units</p>
<p>Candlewood North 9830 Reseda Boulevard Northridge, CA 189 Units</p>	<p>Winchester 8294 Flanders Drive San Diego, CA 144 Units</p>
<p>Cortesia at Rancho Santa Margarita 29752 Melinda Road Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 308 Units</p>	<p>Parkside Terrace 2111 West 17th Street Bldg. N Santa Ana, CA 240 Units</p>
<p>Parkside Court 4200 West 1st Street Santa Ana, CA 210 Units</p>	<p>Pinnacle at Adams Place 1250 Adams Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 272 Units</p>

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, Anthony G. Graham, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the State Bar of California, a partner of the law firm of Graham & Martin LLP, and one of the attorneys principally responsible for representing The Consumer Defense Group Action, the “Noticing Party” as to the “60 Day Notice of Intent to Sue” (hereinafter, “the Notice”) served concurrently herewith. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called upon, could and would testify competently thereto.

2. I have consulted with appropriate and qualified scientific experts and, having reviewed relevant scientific data and results of relevant test reports, as well as having reviewed the facts as set forth below and the documentary evidence of those facts regarding the exposures to the chemicals as set forth in the Notice, I have a good faith basis for believing that the exposures set forth in the Notice are likely to be above the minimum significant risk level for the chemicals at issue. I have provided the information, documents, data, reports and/or opinions I have relied upon to the Attorney General’s office as required by the regulations promulgated under Proposition 65.

3. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that “reasonable and meritorious case for the private action” means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs’ case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

4. The information referred to in paragraph 3 is as follows; by physical investigation

of the location referenced in the Notice and by investigation of relevant information, documents, data, and reports Consumer Defense Group Action discovered that:

- (1) the Violator is responsible for, and thus “operates”, the specific subject property or properties for purposes of Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 and 25249.6;
- (2) the Violator has more than nine employees;
- (3) the Violator permits and has permitted the “release” of the chemicals set forth in the Notice and such “releases” threaten to pass in sources of drinking water;
- (4) exposures to the chemicals set forth in the Notice have occurred and continue to occur both to offsite and onsite persons;
- (5) the Violator has not put in place a clear and reasonable warning as required under Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, or any other sign purporting to comply with the requirements of that section.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Irvine, California on August 20, 2003.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 3 Park Plaza, Suite 2030, Irvine, California 92614.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1.) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6;
- 2.) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary (*only sent to violators*); and
- 3.) Certificate of Merit;
- 4.) Supporting documents (*only sent to Office of Attorney General*)

by enclosing a true copy of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person whose name and address is shown below and depositing the envelope in the United States mail with the postage fully prepaid:

Date of Mailing: August 22, 2003

Place of Mailing: Irvine, California

NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM DOCUMENTS WERE MAILED:

Frank Mcdowell, President/CEO BRE Properties, Inc. 44 Montgomery Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, California 94104	California Attorney General (Proposition 65 Enforcement Division) 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA
--	---

Orange County DA 700 Civic Center Dr. W., 2 nd Fl. Santa Ana, CA 92701	San Mateo District Attorney 1050 Mission Road South San Francisco, CA 94080
---	---

San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102	San Francisco County DA 880 Bryant Street San Francisco, CA 94103
--	---

Los Angeles County DA 210 W. Temple Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012	Marin County DA 3501 Civic Center Dr. #130 San Rafael, CA 94903
--	---

Sacramento County DA P.O. Box 749 Sacramento, CA 95812	San Diego County District Attorney 330 Broadway San Diego, CA 92101
--	---

San Diego City Attorney 1200 3rd Ave. Ste. 1620 San Diego, CA 92101	Los Angeles City Attorney 200 N. Main St. N.E. Los Angeles, CA 90012
---	--

Riverside County DA 4075 Main St., 1 st Fl. Riverside, CA 92501	San Bernardino County DA 316 N. Mountain View Av. San Bernardino, CA 92415
--	--

San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110	Contra Costa County DA 727 Court Street Martinez, CA 94553
--	--

Napa County DA
931 Parkway Mall
Napa, CA 94559

San Joaquin DA
225 W. Elm Street #C
Lodi, CA 95240

Solano County DA
321 Tuolumne Street
Valejo, CA 94590

Stanislaus County DA
300 Starr Avenue
Turlock, CA 95380

Santa Clara County DA
2645 Zanker Road
San Jose, CA 95134

Kern County DA
2100 College Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93305

Fresno County DA
2220 Tulare Street, #1000
Fresno, CA 93721

Alameda County DA
1225 Fallon Street
Oakland, CA 94612

Santa Cruz County DA
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95061

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: August 22, 2003

—