



Dinyari Construction Inc  
Farbod Dinyari  
500 Phelan Ave  
San Jose CA 95112

during the period referenced above, Violators have been and are knowingly and intentionally exposing Violators' employees to *Asphalt*, including asphalt shingles, and inter-ply layers of asphalt or coal tar, and its constituent chemicals as listed below and designated to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, pursuant Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22, § 12000, without first giving clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons (Proposition 65). The source of exposures is *Asphalt*, including asphalt shingles, and inter-ply layers of asphalt or coal tar, and its constituent chemicals as listed below.

The employees exposed to said chemicals include roofing contractors, drivers, roofing installers, and workers otherwise involved in the removal of roofs and extraction of *Asphalt* and or *Asphalt* products from roofs. Exposures occurred where Violators performed roofing removals and/or extractions, and along the routes by which Violators transported *Asphalt* from said places of performance to where Violators disposed of extracted *roofing* work. Exposures were created by removing substances and particles that were originally applied as heated *Asphalt*, *Asphalt* smoke, and associated fumes, and particulate matter and other airborne constituents, including gaseous emissions, released from "cold" asphalt emulsions and adhesives, asphalt shingles, and inter-ply layers of asphalt or coal tar and tearing out said products from old roofing.. The route of exposure was through inhalation as the exposed persons breathed in these materials via the ambient air (which was a direct result of participating in removing *Asphalt* from roofing surfaces, handling roofing materials for storage, application, and transportation) causing a route of exposure of inhalation contact with their mouths, throats, esophagi, and lungs. The route of exposure was also through dermal contact, as the exposed persons touched the removed *Asphalt* and related *Asphalt* particles from roofing surfaces, handled roofing materials for storage, extraction, disposal and transportation, thereby causing dermal exposure to their hands, feet, bodies, and their mouths when they touched their mouths with their hands.

**Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.** alleges that Violators caused a route of exposure of dermal contact due to employees touching *Asphalt*, while removing, extracting and transporting *Asphalt*, including asphalt shingles, and inter-ply layers of asphalt or coal tar, from surfaces at work locations, and by allowing work gloves, which had touched the asphalt, to touch their skin. Employees also sustained dermal contact when moving asphalt products, including products used to remove *Asphalt*, in and out of the storage facilities/garage areas at Violators' places of business, as referenced above. Exposures took place in the counties whose district attorneys received copies of this notice as listed in the attached certificate of service.

The roofing products used by Violators' employees that contain or result in exposure to asphalt or coal tar, and any equipment used in the removal, extraction and transportation of such products whose use may cause persons to be exposed to asphalt or coal tar, include: binders, felts, base sheets, cap sheets, surfacing materials, membrane systems, insulation materials, substrates, shingles, roll roofing, felt underlayments, flashings, coatings, mastics, cements, adhesives, caulking compounds, roofing kettles, tank trucks, vehicles used to tow roofing kettles to, from, and within job sites, torches, hot-air welders, other heating equipment, spreaders, felt-laying machines, roof removal equipment, including roof cutters, and hand tools.

***This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65*** with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997.

This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to (a.) the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California; and (b.) employers with less than 10 employees. The approval also provides that an employer may use any means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires

that supplemental enforcement be subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the California Attorney General. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 338, subd. (b).)

For each such type and means of exposure, Violators have exposed and are exposing the exposed persons to:

**CARCINOGENS**

∇

Benz[a]anthracene	Chrysene	Toluene diisocyanate	Formaldehyde (gas)
5-Methylchrysene	Nickel and Nickel Compounds	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	Benzene
Lead and lead compounds	Benzo[b]flouranthene	Benzo[k]flouranthene	Benzo[a]pyrene
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	Acetaldehyde	Beryllium and Beryllium compounds	Arsenic (inorganic arsenic compounds)
Cadmium and Cadmium compounds	Chromium (hexavalent compounds)	Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene)	Trichloroethylene
Formaldehyde (gas)	Chrysene	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	1, 3-butadiene
Carbazole	dibenz[a,j]acridine	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	dibenzo[a,e]pyrene
dibenzo[a,h]pyrene	dibenzo[a,i]pyrene	dibenzo[a,l]pyrene	Silica, Crystalline (airborne particles of respirable size)

**REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS**

∇


Toluene	Carbon Disulfide	Benzene	Lead
Mercury and Mercury compounds	Arsenic (inorganic oxides)	Cadmium	

Proposition 65 (Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.7) requires that notice and intent to sue be given to the violator(s) 60 days before the suit is filed. With this letter, **Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.** gives notice of the alleged violations to Violators and appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within 60 days of the sending of this notice, **Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.** may file suit. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that **Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.** currently knows of from information now available to it. With the copy of this notice submitted to the violators, a copy of the following is attached: *The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.*

**Note: Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc., in the interest of the public, is determined to resolve this matter in the least costly manner and one that would benefit all parties involved. In order to encourage the expeditious and proper resolution of this matter, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. is prepared to forgo all monetary recovery including attorney fees and costs, penalties, and restitution in exchange for a complete elimination of the exposures listed above through the possible reformulation of your products or modification of your business practices.**

Dated: April 14, 2006

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
 REUBEN YERUSHALMI  
 Attorney for  
**Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.**


**CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: **April 14, 2006**

By:   
REUBEN YEROUSHALMI

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) *Attorney General Copy (only sent to Attorney General)*
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Los Angeles, CA

**Name and address of each violator to whom documents were mailed:**

Dinyari Construction Inc  
 Farbod Dinyari  
 500 Phelan Ave  
 San Jose CA 95112


**Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:**

Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Alameda County District Attorney 1225 Fallon St, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612	San Francisco County District Attorney 850 Bryant St, Rm 322 San Francisco, CA 94103
San Francisco City Attorney # 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234 San Francisco, CA 94102	San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center Redwood City, CA 94063	Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 W Hedding St. San Jose, CA 95110
San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110		

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 14, 2006

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Erez Ahdut