60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

Date:

October 13, 2006

To:

Tammy Yu-Fen Lee, President – PCE Industry Inc. Mike Unger, President – Foxconn Electronics Inc. President – Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd.

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and

City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento and Los Angeles

From:

Russell Brimer

I. INTRODUCTION

My name is Russell Brimer. I am a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. I seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). As noted above, notice is being provided to the violators, PCE Industry Inc., Foxconn Electronics Inc. and Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd. (the "Violators"). The violations covered by this Notice consist of the product exposures, routes of exposures, and types of harm potentially resulting from exposure to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below, as follows:

Product Exposure:

See Section VI. Exhibit A

Listed Chemical:

Lead

Routes of Exposure:

Ingestion, Dermal, Inhalation

Types of Harm:

Birth Defects and Other Reproductive Harm

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and that is covered by this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VI below. All products within the type covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." The Violators' sales of these motherboard products have been occurring from at least October 13, 2005, to the present. As a result of the sales of these products, exposures to the listed chemical have been occurring without clear and reasonable warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper warnings regarding, the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical resulting from contact with the products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

A. CONSUMER PRODUCT EXPOSURE

California consumers who purchase or acquire the products are exposed to the listed chemical through the act of handling, installing, repairing, transporting, manipulating, or otherwise utilizing the motherboard products. These tasks cause consumers to be exposed directly and/or indirectly to the listed chemical through the routine touching of the parts or portions of the products containing readily available amounts of the listed chemical on the surface (i.e., solder points). Additionally, exposures can occur as the listed chemical is transferred from the fingers and hands of users to the lips and mouth through various hand-to-mouth activities, such as eating, drinking, gum chewing, and fingernail biting. Furthermore, consumers can be exposed to the listed chemical through the inhalation of fumes that result when the solder and solder materials are heated to certain temperatures. These products are also used by sole proprietors, employees, and other persons engaged in the small business field of computer service and repair whom the Occupational Safety Health Act ("OSH Act") does not cover. People likely to be exposed include both children and adults.

B. OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

Similarly, men and women in California use or otherwise handle the products as a part of their jobs and, therefore, are subject to occupational exposures to the listed chemical. Employees are exposed to the listed chemical at California business locations where the products, or the component parts thereof, are, by way of example but not limitation, used, installed, packed, unpacked, labeled, arranged, displayed, repaired, or otherwise handled. Those California business locations include, without limitation, locations of the manufacturer, apparent manufacturer, distributor, retailer (and their agents, assigns, and divisions), and/or the more than 4,200 advertised California computer service and repair companies. These tasks cause employee exposure directly and/or indirectly to the listed chemical through the routine touching of the parts or portions of the products containing readily available amounts of the listed chemical on the surface (i.e., solder points). Additionally, exposures can occur as the listed chemical is transferred from the fingers and hands of employees to the lips and mouth through various hand-to-mouth activities, such as eating, drinking, gum chewing, and fingernail biting. Furthermore, employees can be exposed to the listed chemical through the inhalation of fumes that result when the solder and solder materials are heated to certain temperatures. These products are also used by sole proprietors and other persons in settings not covered by the OSH Act. This Notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposure governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Froposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliance contained in the general hazard communication requirement to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the California Attorney General.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me at the following addresses:

Russell Brimer
c/o D. Joshua Voorhees
C/o Christopher Martin
Hirst & Chanler LLP
Hirst & Chanler LLP
Hinsdale Square
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710
Chicago, IL 60521

Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Telephone: (630) 789-6998

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violators' reference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. ADDITIONAL NOTICE INFORMATION

THIS INFORMATION IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED UNDER CAL. CODE REGS., TITLE 22 §12903(b)(4).

Identified below is a specific example of a product recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors, and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warnings" at other locations and via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business-to-business, through the Internet, and/or via a catalog by the Violators and/or other retailers and distributors of the manufacturer.

Product*	Retailer(s)	Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)
Foxconn 915 Series Motherboard,	http://www.pcclub.com	PCE Industry Inc.;
Model No. 915A03-P-8EKRS		Foxconn Electronics Inc.;
		Hon Hai Precision Industry
		Co., Ltd.
		·

VI. EXHIBIT A

Product Category/Type	Such As*	Toxins
Motherboards with solder	Foxconn 915 Series Motherboard,	Lead
(containing lead)	Model No. 915A03-P-8EKRS	

*The specifically identified example of the type of product which is subject to this Notice is for the recipients' benefit to assist in their investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposure to the listed chemical from other items within the product category listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that this example is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product of the type listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A. Further, it is this citizen's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the type or category described above that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipients' custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, D. Joshua Voorhees, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the *alleged* exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2) (i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.)

Dated: 10/13/06

D. Joshua Voorhees

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710.

On October 13, 2006, I served the following document:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d);

PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY;

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS (SERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL)

served on the Violators listed below via First Class Certified Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each Violator and providing such envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Tammy Yu-Fen Lee, President

Mike Unger, President

President

PCE Industry Inc.

Foxconn Electronics Inc.

Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd.

458 Lambert Road

468 Lambert Road

468 Lambert Road Fullerton, CA 92835

Fullerton, CA 92835

Fullerton, CA 92835

as well as providing copies of the notice to the public enforcers by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party listed below, and served as follows:

Via 2 nd Day Air Service by placing such envelope in a Federal Express Drop-Off Box:	The Attorney General of the State of California;
By placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service mailbox, first class postage prepaid:	The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego,
	San Jose, San Francisco and Sacramento;

A list of addresses for each of these recipients is attached.

Executed on October 13, 2006, at Berkeley, California.

Patrick Rafanan