DR. RICHARD F. SOWINSKI

1457 Ramsay Circle Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Sixty Day Notice of Intent to Sue Canon U.S.A., Inc. and All of Its Operating Affiliates Under Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.6

Dr. Richard F. Sowinski (hereinafter the "Noticing Party") hereby provides this Amended Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq (the "Notice") to Yoroku Adachi, President and CEO of Canon U.S.A., Inc. and all of its operating affiliates (hereinafter referred to collectively as "CANON"), as well as the governmental entities on the attached proof of service. The Noticing Party lives at the above address but is represented by counsel and requests that any correspondence or communications be directed to his counsel, Anthony G. Graham, of the law firm of Graham & Martin, LLP, at 950 South Coast Drive, Suite 220, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, Telephone: (714) 850-9390 and Facsimile: (714) 850-9392.

This Notice is intended to inform CANON that it is in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5) (hereinafter "Proposition 65"), specifically Section 25249.6. Proposition 65 states that when a party, such as CANON, an entity with more than ten employees, has been or is knowingly and intentionally exposing its customers and users of its products to a detectable level of any chemical designated by the State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity (the "Designated Chemicals"), it has violated the statute unless, prior to such exposure, it provides clear and reasonable warning of the exposure to the potentially exposed persons (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6). CANON manufactures, distributes, sells and markets toners ("the Consumer Products") in California through retail outlets and through its internet website (www.USA.Canon.com), which when operated or used as intended emit detectable levels of Designated Chemicals. The Consumer Products relevant to this Notice are identified on Exhibit A hereto by reference to the specific printer/copier machine in which they are used. CANON however has not placed on the any of the Consumer Products, its packaging or marketing materials, nor anywhere on its internet website a clear and reasonable warning that use of any such Consumer Products will expose the user to a Designated Chemical. CANON is therefore violating Health & Safety Code Section 25:249.6.

In the ordinary course of its business, CANON manufactures, distributes and offers for sale, both through retail outlets and through its internet website (www.USA.canon.com) in California, the toners identified on Exhibit A hereto. It has been doing so for at least one year prior to the date of this Notice.

Print toner used in photocopy machines and printers produce hazardous emissions during their operation which include Benzene, styrene oxide, Ethylbenzene, Napthalene, toluene, 1,1,2,2, Tetrachlorethane, 1-Nitropyrene, and Trichlorokethylene, chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or reproductive harm. These chemicals pose a significant health risk and are emitted without a clear and reasonable warning as required by The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

A photocopy machine is an electronic duplicator that fuses a reproduced image to plain bond paper. Photocopy machines work as follows:

- A copier drum is given a positive charge.
- The image from the original copy illuminates the charged drum and a latent image is formed.
- Static electricity attracts toner to the drum surface and a visible image is formed.

- Toner on the drum is transferred to paper by positive charging.
- After the image transfer process is completed, the paper is separated from the drum surface.
- * Toner on the copy paper is firmly fixed when the paper runs between heat and pressure rollers.
- A cleaning blade wipes off excess toner.
- The drum is exposed by a neon lamp to erase remaining static charge.

Toner is a powder used in printers and photocopiers to form the text and images on the printed paper. In its early form it was simply carbon powder. Then, to improve the quality of the printout the carbon was blended with a polymer. Toner particles are melted by the heat of the fuser, causing them to bind to the paper

The Consumer Products, when used in the ordinary course of business, emit vapors, gases and particles containing Designated Chemicals. Each of the Consumer Products identified on Exhibit A hereto emit, when in operation or use, the following Designated Chemicals: Benzene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity; styrene oxide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; Ethylbenzene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; Napthalene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity; 1,1,2,2, Tetrachlorethane, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer; 1-Nitropyrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and Trichlorokethylene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Persons using the products identified on Exhibit A will be exposed to these Designated Chemicals primarily by inhalation. None of the products identified on Exhibit A hereto have a clear and reasonable warning, as required under Proposition 65, informing persons either purchasing or using the products that use of such products will result in exposures to the identified Designated Chemicals.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to CANON sixty days before a suit is filed. With this letter, Dr. Sowinski gives notice of the alleged violations to CANON and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to Dr. Sowinski from information now available to him. Dr. Sowinski reserves the right to amend this Notice to inform CANON of other violations and/or exposures as it gathers further information. With the copy of this amended notice submitted to CANON, a copy is provided of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary."

Dated: September 31, 2008

By:

Anthony G. Graham Isq.

CANON EXHIBIT A

Toner Model Number

- Fax L100
- Fax L200
- Fax L250
- Fax L260 I
- Fax L280
- Fax L300
- Fax L350
- Fax L400
- Fax L500
- Fax L550
- Fax L600
- Fax L700
- Fam L 720
- Fax L730
- Fax L760
- Fax L765 Fax L770
- Fax L775
- Fax L777
- Fax L780
- Fax. L785
- Fax: L790
- Fax L800
- Fax L900
- Fax L920
- Fax L1000
- Fax L2000
- Fax L2000 IP
- Fax L3100
- Fax L3300
- Fax L3300I
- Fax L3500
- Fax L4600
- Fax L4600 E
- Fax LC5000
- Fax LC5500
- T 1 07000
- Fax LC7000
- Fax LC7100
- Fax LC7500
- Fax LC7700
- Fax LC8000
- Fax LC8500
- Fax LC9000

Fax LC9000 MS

Fax LC9000 S

Fax LC9500

Fax LC9500 MS

Fax LC9500 S

Fax 1360

Fax 1380

Fax 1380 S

Fax 1390

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Richard F. Sowinski, hereby declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposures to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
- Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 4. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Costa Mesa, California on May 13, 2008.



OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA EN VIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmen-12) Houlth Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authorizative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its imp) ementing regulations (see cliations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List" Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law, Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activiues involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive barm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chomical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

YES. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the interal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public wain systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a intal of nine or fewer employees.

ignificant risk of concer. For obemicals that are Exposures that pol ate to cause cancer ("curcinogens"), a wamine listed as known to Life is not required if the business car dernonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that posses "no rignificant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogent.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,00% times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defeas or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposurwill produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in que s tion. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observe able effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertaint factor. The 'no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or de velopmental effect.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the liste chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibitio from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger able to demonstrate that a "right fresht amount" of the listed chemical h. not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the di charge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, r quirements, or orders A "significant amount" means any detectat amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITIONES ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city tomeys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawer may also be brought by private parties scring in the public interest, only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Anomey Ger al, the appropriate district actorney and city attorney, and the business cused of the violation. The motice must provide adequate informatio: allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A ne must comply with the information and procedural requirements speci in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 129 A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly to Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above init an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to penalties of unito \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the ness may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the viol:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's osition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

§ 14000. Chemicals Required by State or Federal Law Have Been Tested for Potential to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, but Whi Have Not Been Adequately Tested As Required.

(a) The Sale Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of) quires the Governor to publish a lin of themicals formally requ state or federal agencies to have testing for carcinogenicity or retive toxicity, but that the state's qualified expens have not found been adequately tested as required [Health and Safety Code 2524

Readers should note a chemical that alreaders as ucen designated as known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity is not included. in the following listing as requiring additional testing for that particular logical endpoint. However, the "data gap" may continue to exist, for purposes of the state or federal agency's requirements. Additional information on the requirements for testing may be obtained from the spedisc agency identified below.

(b) Chemicals required to be tested by the California Department of

The Birth Defect Prevention Act of 1984 (SB 950) mandates that the Pesticide Regulation. California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) review chronic the registration of pesticidal active ingredicour. Missing or unacceptable studies are identified as data gaps. The sudies are conducted to fulfill generic data requirements of the Federal Insecuride, Fungeide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), which is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The studies are reviewed by CDPR according to guidelines and sundards promulgated under FIFRA. Thus, older studies may not meet current guidelines. The existence of a data gap for a compound does not indicate a total

lack of information on the Carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity of the neck of information on a sees, information exists in the open scientific literacompounds in some specific additional information. A data gap does cure, but SE 950 requires specific additional information. A data gap does not necessarily indicate that an oncogenic or reproductive hazard exists. not necessary buttered is a data gap is still considered to be present unil the study is reviewed and found to be acceptable.

Following is a listing of SE 950 data gapt for encogenicity, reproducnon, and terstology studies for the first 200 pesticidal active ingredients. This list will change as data gaps are filled by additional data or replace-

For purposes of this section, "one mouse" means oncogenicity in mice, ment studies. "one rat" means encogenicity in rats, "repro" means reproduction, "tera rodent" means teratogenicity in rodents, "tera rabbit" means teratogenicity in rabbits.

Chemical		1 STIME LANGUE	
		one rai, repre, ters redent	•
Bendiocert			
		one rai, one mouse, repre, tera-	
Chloroneb	•	rocent, tera rabbit	
	•	tehan' bing lay	
PCP Peroleum distillates, I	aromeric	one rat, one mouse, repro, tera rodent, tera rabbit	

(c) Chemicals required to be tested by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Toxic Substances.

Under Section 4(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act, testing of a Chemical is required when that chemical may present an unreasonable chemical is required with substantial quantities and enters the environment risk, or is produced in substantial quantities and enters the environment max, or as produced an entry have significant or substantial human ex-

For purposes of this section, "tera" means teratogenicity, "rtox" means reproductive toxicity, "one" means oncogenicity.

Tardan Nasdad

Chemical	Jesiing Needell
Alkyl (C12-13) plycidyl ether	Tion, term
-Altry (L 12mm) product	nou, ters
Bisphenol A diglycidyl ether	onc, nox
	noz, tera
Cycloberane	
Glycidyi melhaciylate*	len.
1,6-Hezzmethylene diisocyansie	TIOX, tera
	one, nox, ten
n-Methylpymolidone	
,	rica ·
Pheno	

حنص with effects testing programs for " The Taxic Substances Cont have been completed and the U.S. Envicyclohexane and glycidyl method ronneaud Protection Agency's review of the testing program data is currently un-

(d) Chemicals required to be tessed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for the regulation of pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenucide Aci (FIFRA), FIFRA requires EPA to register pesticides based on data adequate to demon strate that they will not result in unreasonable adverse effects to people or the environment when used in accor. dance with their EPA-approved labels.

in 1988, FIFRA was amended to surengthen EPA's pessicide regulatory authority and responsibilities to reregister pesticides registered prior to 1984 to ensure they meet today's stringent scientific and regulatory sundards. Reregistration requires registrants to develop up-to-date data bases for each pessicide active ingredient. As part of the reregistration process, modifications may be reside to registrations, labels or tolerances to ensure they are protective of hauman health and the emvironment. Also, recepistration reviews will identify any pesticides where regulatory action may be necessary to deal with unreasonable risks. EPA has been directed to accelerate the reregistration process so that the entire process is completed by 1997. The 1988 amendments set out a five-phase schedule to accomplish this task with cleadlines applying to both pesticide reg. istrants and the EPA. These amendments are requiring a substantial number of new studies to be conducted and old studies to be reformatted for EPA review to ensure they are and equate. EPA may, in the future, request additional data or information to further evaluate arry concerns over the salety of possicide products.

The chemicals listed below are those for which data are unavailable or inadequate to characterize on cogenicity, teratogenicity, or reproductive effects potential. For purposess of this section, "one" means oncogenidity, "tera" means teratogenicity, and "repro" means reproductive toxic-

Chemical

Data Requirements

onc, len
len .
repre, tera
our tebut ress
OOC, TEPET
ook, repre, beca.
DEC, ICHE, SCIE
००८, १६५०, ३८४३
1chto
ters
repro
•
len .
LETE
DEC" 1EDLO
ten.
COC
ooc, repro, tera
TEND, META
i ten
OOC, KETA
ooc, repro
ouc, topro, term
DEC, PEPER, MICHAEL
OOC, IEPTO, BEETA
tern.
ORC, PEDRO, RETA
ORC., LETA
Tapero
Onc

	Dato Residents
Chemical	onc, repro, tera
Doding	
-	one, repro, ters
Endothall and salts	DDC
Etholugaente	iera
Ethoxyquir	
EII:0-7-1	tera ·
Fention	onc, repro, tera
Fenyalerate	repro, tera
Fluvalinat:	inplut
- In Astron	LETA
Hydroxy-methyldlthiocarbamate	
11/2007	200
lmaralii	one, repro, tera
	onc, repre, ters
inorganic sulfites	1573
locine-potestium locine	. Icra
lprodione:	סחב, זכורים, וכונ
1 promon	oriel salest see
Jul man	סמב, זבטוס
Lemprecide	ous, topic
	onc
Magnesium phosphide	ont
Malathin	00C, 1578
1 A	ien
et III	
Land dide BIN Paris	ten.
Mepiquel chloride	one, term
A de la lactify Com	ooc, sepre, test
	ters.
SALES SECUROUS ASSESSMENT	ters ·
Methyl parathics	repro
Ad pality) ditirious assessment	ters -
MOK 264	repro
Molinate	
	-one
Naphthaless	· occ, repro
	ien *
Naphthemate salts	repro
Naproparmide	onc, tera
Nicotine and derivatives	DOC, 1478
Micona	one, ters
Niprophenol	ooc. repro, ters
4-Nindamen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Octhilinone	iera ·
Oil of Pennyroyal	, one, repre, tera
Omadine salu	repro
Oradiston	800
Oxyfluorien	
5. 7	tera
Province	(ers
Darri Dizidottic	DOC .
Then The ripide	tera
	onc, ters
7-Phenylphenol	tera
Diese Palli	LETT
Piperonyl bounder bigumide	
Piperonyl botoxide Poly (hexamethylene biguanide Poly (hexamethylene biguanide	oli one, repro, term
Poly (hexamethylene tag Polyethexylated aliphatic alcoh	rest solved
Prometon	OBC
Propechica	
Link	•

•	
Chemical Proposamphos Propiconazok Propylene uzlde Pyrazon Pyrethnin and derivatives	Date Requirements Date, repro Letta Conc., repro DOC, letta
Pyrimidiatoric	one, term
Sether ydim Sidurou Sodium Nooride	ORC ORC, ECHTO, LETA LETA ORC, LETA
Sulfameuron-methyl .	ODC, NEIA
THT-containing compounds TCME Temephos Tetrachicrovimphos Tetramethria Thisbendazoic and salts Thiddiszmon Thiodicarb Thiophanate-methyl	ODC, LETE ODC ODC ODC ODC ODC ODC ODC ODC LETE ODC
Thirsm	ooc .
Trisdimeton Triclopyr and salts	onc onc. repro
Vernolate	ooc, repro
Revised: January 1, 199	Haner

1. New section submitted to GAL. for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.1 (Register 89., No. 17).

2. Amendment submitted to GAL. for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.2 (Register 90., No. 2).

3. Amendment submitted to GAL. for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.2 (Register 91., No. 17).

4. Editorial correction of subsecction (d) (Register 91, No. 31).

5. Editorial correction of printing error (Register 91, No. 43).

6. Editorial correction instituting insulvenessly emitted amendment. Submitted in OAL for printing only pursuant to Government Code section 11343.5 (Register 93, No. 20).

7. Editorial correction of printing errors (Register 93, No. 20).

93, No. 44).
7. Editorial correction of printing errors (Register 93, No. 45).
8. Amendment of subsection (d.) filed 8-1-94. Submitted to OAL formining on

1. Amendment of subsection (d) filed 1-1-24. Submitted to OAL for printing on (Register 94, No. 31).

9. Amendment of subsections (b), (c), and (d) filed 12-73-94. Submitted to O/ for printing only (Register 95, No. 1).

10. Amendment submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Governm Code section 11343.5 (Register 95, No. 52).

11. Amendment filed 1-30-97; operative 1-30-97. Submitted to OAL for printing only pursuant to Fieldh and Safety Code section 25249.5 (Register 97, 5).

12. Amendment of subsections (b), (c) and (d) filed 2-13-91; operative 2-13-Submitted to OAL for prinating only pursuant to Health and Salety Code sec 25249.1 (Register 98, No. 7).

[The next page is 201.]

A rumal bioassay data is admissible and generally indicative of potential offices in humans.

For purposes of this regulation, substances are present occupationally when there is a possibility of exposure either as a result of normal work operations or a reasonably foreseeable emergency resulting from work-place operations. A reasonably foreseeable emergency is one which a reasonable person should anticipate based on usual work conditions, a reasonable person should anticipate based on usual work conditions, a substance's particular chemical properties (e.g., potential for explosion, fire, reactivity), and the potential for human health hazards. A reasonably foreseeable emergency includes, but ins not limited to, spfils, fires, explosions, equipment failure, rupture of containers, or failure of control equipment which may or do result in a release of a hazardous substance into the workplace.

(b) Administrative Procedure Followed by the Director for the Development of the Initial List. The Director shall hold a public hearing concerning the initial list. The record will remain open 30 days after the public hearing for additional written comment. Requests to exempt a substance in a particular physical state, volume, or concentration from the provisions of Labor Code sections 6390 to 6399.2 may be made at this time. If no comments in opposition to such a request are made at the public hearing or received during the comment period, or if the Director can find no valid reason why the request should not be considered, it will be incorporated during the Director's preparation of the list.

After the public comment period the Director shall formulate the initial list and send it to the Standards Board for approval. After receipt of the list or a modified list from the Standards Board, the Director will adopt the list and file it with the Office of Administrative Law.

(c) Concentration Requirement. In determining whether the concentration requirement of a substance should be changed pursuant to Labor Code section 6383, the Director shall consider valid and substantial evidence. Valid and substantial evidence shall consist of clinical evidence or toxicological studies including, but not limited to, animal bioassay tests, short-term in vitro tests, and human epidemiological studies. Upon adoption, a regulation indicating the concentration requirement for a substance shall consist of a foomote on the list.

(d) Procedures for Modifying the List. The Director will consider petitions from any member of the public to modify the list or the concentration requirements, pursuant to the procedures specified in Covernment Code section 1347.1. With petitions to modify the list, the Director shall make any necessary deletions or additions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list. The Director will review the existing list at least every two years and shall make any necessary additions or deletions in accordance with the procedures herein set forth for establishing the list.

(c) Criteria for Modifying the List. Petitions to add or remove a substance on the list, modify the concentration level of a substance, or reference when a particular substance is present in a physical state which does not pose any human health risk must be accompanied with relevant and sufficient scientific data which may include, but is not limited to, short-term tests, animal studies, human epidemiological studies, and clinical term tests, animal studies, human epidemiological studies, and clinical term tests, animal studies not include the complete content of a referenced study or other document, there must be sufficient information to permit the Director to identify and obtain the referenced material. The petitioner bears the burden of justifying any proposed modification of the list.

The Director shall consider all evidence submitted, including negative and positive evidence. All evidence must be based on properly designed studies for toxicological endpoints indicating adverse health effects in humans, e.g., carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, neurotoxicity, organ damage/effects.

For purposes of this regulation, animal data is admissible and generally indicative of potential effects in humans.

The absence of a particular category of studies shall not be used to prove the absence of risk.

inherent insensitivities, negative results must be ree valuated in light of the limits of sensitivity of each study, its test design, and the protocol followed.

In evaluating different results among proper tests, as a general rule, positive results shall be given more weight than negative results for purposes of including a substance on the list or modifying the list in reference to concentration, physical state or volume, so that appropriate information may be provided regarding those positive results. In each case, the relative sensitivity of each test shall be a factor in resolving such conflicts.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 6380, Labor Code, Reference: Sections 6361, 6380, 6380.5, 6382 and 6383, Labor Code,

HISTORY

- 1. New article 5 (section 337) filed 11-5-81; effective thirtieth day thereafter (Register 81, No. 45).
- Amendment of subsection (d) filed 1-15-87; effective upon filing pursuant to Government Code section 11346.2(d) (Register 87, No. 3).
- 3. Editorial correction of HISTORY 2. (Register 91, No. 19).

§ 338. Special Procedures for Supplementary Enforcement of State Plan Requirements Concerning Proposition 65.

(a) This section sets forth special procedures necessary to comply with the terms of the approval by the United States Department of Labor of the Callfornia Hazard Communication Standard, persaining to the incorpo ration of the occupational applications of the California Safe Drinkin and Toxic Enforcement Act (hereinafter Proposition 65), as set forth i 62 Federal Register 31159 (June 6, 1997). This approval specificall placed certain conditions on the enforcement of Proposition 65 with re gard to occupational exposures, including that it does not apply to th conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. An person proceeding "in the public interest" pursuant to Health and Safet Code § 25249.7(d) (hereinafter "Supplemental Enforcer") or any distri attorney or city attorney or prosecutor pursuant to Health and Safe Code § 25249.7(c) (hereinafter "Public Prosecutor"), who alleges the c istence of violations of Proposition 65, with respect to occupational c posures as incorporated into the California Hazard Communication Su dard (hereinafter "Supplemental Enforcement . Matter"), shall conti with the requirements of this section. No Supplemental Enforcement Maner shall proceed except in compliance with the requirements of t

(b) 22 CCR § 12903, setting forth specific requirements for the content and manner of service of sixty-day notices under Proposition 65, in fect on April 22, 1997, is adopted and incorporated by reference. In action, any sixty-day notice concerning a Supplemental Enforcement Atter shall include the following statement:

"This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to cupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisior Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This proval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupation exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the duet of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The proval also provides that an employer may use the means of complicin the general hazard communication requirements to comply with a osition 65, it also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject approvision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Admiration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substructure orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney Gen

- (c) A Supplemental Einforcer or Public Prosecutor who commen Supplemental Enforcement Matter shall serve a file-endorsed on the complaint upon the Attorney General within ten days after filing the Court.
- (d) A Supplemental Einforcer or Public Prosecutor shall serve up Attorney General a copy of any motion, or opposition to a most

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 950 South Coast Drive, Suite 220, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1.) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 24249.6;
- 2.) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary (only sent to violators;
- 3.) Certificate of Merit (supporting papers sent to Attorney General only)

by enclosing a true copy of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person whose name and address is shown below and depositing the envelope in the United States mail with the postage fully prepaid:

Date of Mailing: September 31, 2008 Place of Mailing: Costa Mesa, California

NAME AND ADDRESS OF EACH PERSON TO WHOM DOCUMENTS WERE MAILED:

Yoroku Adachi, President and CEO	California Attorney General
CANON U.S.A., Inc.	(Proposition 65 Enforcement Division) 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA
1 Canon Plaza	
Lake Success, NY 11042-1198	

And all entities on the attachment hereto

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 31, 2008

ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF SERVICE

San Diego City Attorney 1200 3rd Ave. Ste. 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

Los Angeles City Attorney 200 N. Main St. N.E. Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Riverside County DA 4075 Main St., 1st Fl. Riverside, CA 92501

Sacramento County DA P.O Box 749 Sacramento, CA 95812

San Jose City Attorney 151 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110

Humboldt County DA 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

San Mateo District Attorney 1050 Mission Road South San Francisco, CA 94080

Sonoma County DA 600 Administrative Dr. Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Yolo County D A 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

El Dorado County DA 1360 Johnson Blvd. #105 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 San Diego County District Attorney 330 Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

Los Angeles County DA 210 W. Temple Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco County DA 880 Bryant Street San Francisco, CA 94103

San Bernardino County DA 316 N. Mountain View Av. San Bernardino, CA 92415

Orange County District Attorney 700 Civic Center Dr. W., 2nd Fl. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Santa Clara County DA 2645 Zanker Road San Jose, CA 95134

Shasta County District Attorney 1525 Court Street Redding, CA 96001-1632

Marin County DA 3501 Civic Center Dr. #130 San Rafael, CA 94903

Contra Costa County DA 727 Court Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney 1430 Freedom Blvd. Watsonville, CA 95076

Ventura County DA 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 95695 Napa County DA 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

Inyo County DA 386 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

Lake County DA 255 N. Forbes St. Lakeport, CA 95453

Stanislaus County DA 300 Starr Avenue Turlock, CA 95380

District Attorney 14227 Road 28 Madera, CA 93638

Sutter County DA 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

Mariposa County DA P.O. Box 748 Mariposa, CA 95338

Nevada County DA 201 Church Street, Suite 8 Nevada City, CA 95959

San Luis Obispo County DA 1050 Monterey Street, Rm. 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Merced County DA 445 I Street Los Banos CA 93635

Mondoc County DA 204 S Court Street Alturas CA 96101 Kern County DA 2100 College Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93305

San Joaquin DA 225 W. Elm Street #C Lodi, CA 95240

Mendocino County DA 700 S. Franklin St. Fort Bragg, CA 94537

Butte County DA 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95695

Orange County District Attorney 700 Civic Center Dr. W., 2nd Fl. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Solano County DA 321 Tuolomne Street Valejo, CA 94590

Santa Cruz County DA 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95061

Alameda County DA 1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612

Del Norte County DA 450 H Street Crescent City, CA 95531 Santa Clara DA 2645 Zanker Rd San Jose CA 95134

Solano County DA 321 Tuolumne St Vallejo CA 94590

Glenn County DA 540 W Sycamore St Willows CA 95988

Kings County DA 1400 W Lacey Blvd Hanford CA 93230

Riverside DA 82675 US Hwy 111 FL4 Indio CA 92201

Santa Rosa D.A. 111 N Pythian Rd Santa Rosa CA 95409

Monterey County DA 240 Church St. Sahnas, CA 93902

Placer County DA 11562 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603

Fresno County DA 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721 Siskyou County DA P.C. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

Tulare County DA 425 E. Kern Tulare, CA 93274

Inyo County DA PO Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

Mono County DA P.O. Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

Santa Barbara County DA 1105 Santa Barbara St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 31, 2008

hin freh