SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

12/31/2008

Andrew C. Taylor Chairman and CEO Enterprise Rent A Car Company 600 Corporate Park Dr. St. Louis, MO 63105

President/CEO
Enterprise Rent A Car Company of San Francisco
2950 Merced Street
San Leandro, CA 94577

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Second-Hand Tobacco Smoke or Environmental Tobacco Smoke exposures

Dear Messrs:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") upon Enterprise Rent A Car Company and Enterprise Rent A Car Company of San Francisco (collectively "Violators") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its attorney, Daniel D. Cho, Esq., 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010, telephone no. (213) 382-3183, facsimile no. (213) 382-3430. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violators in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred in each California county reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached certificate of service. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

CAG is a registered corporation based in California. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65. CAG is a nonprofit entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices.

This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

This notice concerns exposure to second hand smoke. CAG is informed and believes that Second-Hand Tobacco Smoke and Environmental Tobacco Smoke contain Tobacco Smoke, a chemical known to the State of California to cause Cancer and Reproductive Toxicity, developmental, male, female. See Cal. Code Regs. 27 § 27000(b), (c). On April 1, 1988, the Governor of California added Tobacco Smoke to the list of chemicals known to the State of California to cause Cancer and the list of chemicals known to the State of California to cause Reproductive Toxicity. Cal. Code Regs. 27 § 27000(b), (c). The Governor of California added Tobacco Smoke to these lists more than twenty (20)

months prior to the date of this notice, and therefore as of the time of this notice, Tobacco Smoke is fully subject to the warning and discharge prohibitions of Proposition 65. See Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.9, 25249.10.

CAG is also informed and believes that Second-Hand Tobacco Smoke and Environmental Tobacco Smoke also contain the following chemicals known to the State to cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity ("Constituent Chemicals"):

CARCINOGENS

CARCINOGENS					
Tobacco smoke	Acetaldehyde				
Acetamide	Acrolein				
Acrylonitrile	4-Aminobiphenyl				
Aniline	o-Anisidine				
Benz[a]anthracene	Benzene				
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Benzo[j]fluoranthene				
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Benzo[a]pyrene				
1,3-Butadiene	Captan				
Carbon disulfide	Carbon monoxide				
Chrysene	DDT				
Dibenz[a,h]acridine	Dibenz[a,j]acridine				
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	7H-Dibenzo[c,g]carbazole				
Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene				
Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene	Dibenzo[a,1]pyrene				
1,1-Dimethylhydrazine	1-Naphthylamine				
2-Naphthylamine	Nicotine				
2-Nitropropane	N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine				
N-Nitrosodiethanolamine	N-Nitrosodiethylamine				
N-Nitroso-n-methylethylamine	N'-Nitrosonornicotine				
N-Nitrosopiperidine	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine				
Styrene	Toluene				
2-Toluidine	Urethane				
Vinyl chloride	Arsenic				
Cadmium	Chromium				
Lead	Nickel				

REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS

Arsenic (inorganic oxides)	Cadmium		
Carbon disulfide	Carbon monoxide		
Lead	Nicotine		
Toluene	Tobacco Smoke		
Urethane			

The Governor of California added each of the above-listed Constituent Chemicals to the list of chemicals known to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity more than twenty (20) months prior to the date of this notice. See Cal. Code Regs. 27 § 27000(b), (c). Accordingly, each of the above-listed Constituent Chemicals is fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions. See Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.9, 25249.10.

///

///

Occupational Exposure

This Notice addresses Occupational Exposures. "Occupational exposure' means an exposure to any employee in his or her employer's workplace." Cal. Code Regs. 27 § 25602(f).

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to Occupational Exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to (a) the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California; and (b) employers with less than 10 employees. The approval also provides that an employer may use any means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement be subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the California Attorney General.

Violators are car rental companies operating in California. During the period referenced below, Violators violated Proposition 65 by allowing persons to smoke cigarettes and other tobacco products in its vehicles, which were rented from its locations listed in **Exhibit A**, and then causing its employees to be exposed to Tobacco Smoke and the Constituent Chemicals of the Second-Hand Tobacco Smoke and Environmental Tobacco Smoke left in the vehicles, without providing clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 prior to such exposures. Violator's employees were exposed to Tobacco Smoke and the Constituent Chemicals as they inhaled the ambient air containing the Constituent Chemicals in the process of cleaning, vacuuming, aerating, and otherwise preparing the vehicles for the next consumer. Since Violators were employers, and the vehicles were and are the property of Violators, Violators have caused an "Occupational Exposure" during the referenced period. The general locations of the unlawful occupational exposures occurred at the areas owned or controlled by Violators where Violators' employees tended to the task of cleaning vehicles in which smoking had occurred by Violators' customers.

Period of Violations

CAG is informed and believes the violations discussed above occurred each day between **December 31, 2005** and **December 31, 2008**, that such vehicles were cleaned, vacuumed or otherwise prepared by Violators' employees and used by Violators' consumers, and have continued each day thereafter.

Route of Exposure

The routes of exposure for the violations were and are inhalation, dermal contact, and skin absorption when tobacco smoke condensates accumulate on various surfaces, including but not limited to upholstery, dashboard, armrest, and fabric. When affected persons breathed in the ambient air containing second-hand tobacco smoke or environmental tobacco smoke, they were exposed to Tobacco Smoke and its Constituent Chemicals via their mouths, throats, bronchi, esophagi, and lungs. Exposure of Tobacco Smoke and its Constituent Chemicals generates risks of cancer and reproductive toxicity to the affected persons.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 252549.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus five (5) calendar days if the place of address and the place of mailing is within the State of

California OR plus ten (10) calendar days if the place of address is outside the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. See Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1); Cal. Code Regs. 27 § 25903(d)(1); and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013.

This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 currently known to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. from information now available to it. With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violator, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

CAG is informed and believes that Violators' competitors in the same industry have adopted smoke free practices and policies that prevent the types of exposures described above. In the interest of benefiting the public and avoiding costly litigation, CAG is prepared to forego attorney fees as well as civil penalties if Violators agree to adopt smoke free practices and make each of its vehicles smoke free, so that any of the exposures described above will be prevented.

Dated: /2/31/08

Ву:

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES

Daniel D. Cho,

Attorney for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

VIOLATORS' LOCATIONS FROM WHICH EXPOSURES OCCURRED

4250 Geary Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94118 reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharge that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply If the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the list chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys(those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations(Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's

Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

§27000. Chemicals Required by State or Federal`
Law to Have been Tested for Potential to
Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity,
but Which Have Not Been Adequately
Tested As Required.

(a) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals formally required by state or federal agencies to have testing for carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity, but that the state's qualified experts have not found to have been adequately tested as required [Health and Safety Code 25249.8)c)].

Readers should note a chemical that already has been designated as known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity is not included in the following listing as requiring additional testing for that particular toxicological endpoint. However, the "data gap" may continue to exist, for purposes of the state or federal agency's requirements. Additional information on the requirements for testing may be obtained from the specific agency identified below.

(b) Chemicals required to be tested by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation.

The Birth Defect Prevention Act of 1984(SB 950) mandates that the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) review chronic toxicology studies supporting the registration of pesticidal active ingredients.

Enterprise Rent A Car Company and Enterprise Rent A Car Company of San Francisco – Violations regarding Second-Hand Tobacco Smoke and/or Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposures

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Daniel D. Cho, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 31, 2008

y: DANIÉL D. CHC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

On the date below, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing a true copy of the same in a sealed envelope addressed to each person whose name and address is shown below and depositing the envelope in the United States mail with the postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

		By			
			SUZANA	1.50LIS	
Date of Mailing:	December 31,	2008	Place of Mailing:	Los Angeles, CA	
NAME AND AD	DRESS OF EA	ACH PERSO	ON TO WHOM DO	CUMENTS WERE MAILED) :
			∇		
		Alle	ged Violator		
Andrew C. Taylor Chairman and CEO Enterprise Rent A Car 600 Corporate Park Dr St. Louis, MO 63105		2950 Merc	Rent A Car Company o	of San Francisco	
			∇		
		Govern	ment Agencies		
		See attach	ed service List		

Distribution List

Alameda County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney
1225 Fallon St, Room 900	210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	PO Box 617
Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Bridgeport, CA 93517
Alpine County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District Attorney
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	PO Box 990
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Aniador County District Attorney ; 708 Court, Suite 202	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney
Jackson, CA 95642	P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Burte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Francisco, CA 94103 San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	11562 "B" Ave
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Auburn, CA 95603-2687
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Merced County District Attorney
725 Court St., Room 402	PO Box 808	2222 "M" St.
Martinez, CA 94553	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Merced, CA 95340
Del Norte County District Attorney 450 "H" St.	Nevada County District Attorney 201 Church St. Suite 8	Napa County District Attorney
Fig. Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	PO Box 720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Napa, CA 94559-0720
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	Riverside County District Attorney 4075 Main St
Placerville, CA 95667-5697	Quincy, CA 95971	Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
Fresno, CA 93721	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 95988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yreka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Mateo County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney
825 5th St., 4 th Floor	400 County Center	600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95501 Imperial County District Attorney	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
imperial County District Attorney 939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor	Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara St.	Sonoma County District Attorney
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	600 Administration Dr., Rm 212-J
	Janua Darona, Cr. 75101	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Kern County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Shasta County District Attorney
1215 Truxtun Ave.	70 W Hedding St.	1525 Court St, 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding, CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
Hanford, CA 93230	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	PO Box 442	PO Box 310
_akeport, CA 95453-4790	Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street	Sutter County District Attorney 446 Second Street	Yuba County District Attorney 215 5th St
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901
an Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Manysvine, CA 93901 Monterey County District Attorney
City Center Plaza	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
200 3rd Ave # 1100	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
an Diego, CA 92101		
uolumne County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
S Green St	County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
Gonora, CA 95370	Visalia, CA 93291	Woodland, CA 95695
entura County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney
00 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009	P.O. Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080	151 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110