SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

April 22, 2014

Current President/CEO Red Shoe Lover 1614 Potrero Ave., #A South El Monte, CA 91733

Current President/CEO REDSHOELOVER LLC 1614 Potrero Ave., #A South El Monte, CA 91733

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning **Footwear** containing Di-*n*-butyl phthalate (**DBP**)

To Whom It May Concern:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, located at 9903 Santa Monica Boulevard #225, Beverly Hills, California 90212, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on Red Shoe Lover and REDSHOELOVER LLC("Violator") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violators may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, telephone no. (310) 623-1926, facsimile no. (310) 623-1930. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violator in any Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred at numerous locations in each county in California as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is an organization based in California. CAG is an entity dedicated to protecting the environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- Footwear contains Di-*n*-butyl phthalate (DBP), also known as Dibutyl Phthalate. CAG has discovered Footwear specifically Sandals containing DBP. DBP is known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity, developmental, male, female. On December 2, 2005, the Governor of California added Di-*n*-butyl Phthalate (DBP) to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental, female, and male reproductive toxicity. The addition took place more than twenty (20) months before CAG served this Notice.

- O An exemplar of the violations caused by **Sandals** containing **DBP** as alleged against Violator include but is not limited to:
 - 1. L.A. Beauty Women's Sandals (Pink) "38" "XM-359" Bar Code 8 34577 00551 5
- This Notice addresses consumer products exposures. A "[c]onsumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." Cal. Code Regs. 27 tit. § 25602(b).

Violator caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing or making available for distribution or sale in California to consumers **Sandals**. The packaging for **Sandals** (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-compliant warning. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Sandals**, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, with regard to **Sandals**, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.

• This Notice also concerns occupational exposures. An "[o]ccupational exposure' means an exposure to any employee in his or her employer's workplace." Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25602(f).

Violator caused occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by allowing employees to handle the **Sandals** in the course of packaging, shipping, distributing, promoting, and selling **Sandals** without having first given clear and reasonable warnings to such employees that by handling the **Sandals** such employees would suffer exposures to **DBP**. Violator's employees were exposed to **DB**' by touching **Sandals** with their bare skin at Violator's premises among other locations where these activities take place including but not limited to other distributing, shipping, warehousing, packaging and retail centers. Violator did not provide any Proposition 65-compliant warnings on either the products or any substance present or any sign or system of signs within the workplace.

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997.

This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to (a) the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California; and (b) employers with less than ten (10) employees. The approval also provides that an employer may use any means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement be subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the California Attorney General.

These violations occurred each day between April 22, 2011, and April 22, 2014, and are ever continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure with regard to **Sandals** are and were through dermal contact, ingestion, and inhalation. Persons sustain exposures by handling **Sandals** without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling **Sandals**,

as well as through hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from **Sandals**.

Proposition 65 requires that notice of intent to sue be given to the violator(s) sixty (60) days before the suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1). With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within sixty (60) calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten (10) calendar days because the place of address is beyond the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1013; Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1); and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27 § 25903(d)(1). CAG remains open and willing to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation.

With the copy of this notice submitted to the Violator, a copy of the following is attached: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary.

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as

convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. The statute is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known

All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. This means that chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies; for example, when exposures are sufficiently low (see below). The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to

determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by a 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that occur in foods naturally (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of the regulations and in Title 11, sections 3100-3103. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business

2

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: July, 2012

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and

Safety Code. Reference: Sections

25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11,

Health and Safety Code.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Footwear containing DBP

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated:

Ajil 22/19

By:

Reuben Yeroushalmi

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (*only sent to Attorney General*)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Beverly Hills, CA

Name and address of each party to whom documents were mailed:

Current President/CEO

Current President/CEO

Red Shoe Lover

REDSHOELOVER LLC

1614 Potrero Ave., #A

1614 Potrero Ave., #A

South El Monte, CA 91733

South El Monte, CA 91733

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See Distribution List		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the la	ws of the State	of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date of Mailing: 04 – 24 - 2014	Ву: _	Hva Gingovon

Distribution List

Alameda County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney
1225 Fallon St, Room 900	210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	PO Box 617
Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Bridgeport, CA 93517
Alpine County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District Attorney
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	PO Box 990
Markleeville, CA 96120 Amador County District Attorney	Madera, CA 93637 Mariposa County District Attorney	Stockton, CA 95201 -0990 San Francisco County District Attorney
708 Court, Suite 202	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Jackson, CA 95642	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney
25 County Center Dr.	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249	P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482	316 N Mountain View Ave San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
<u> </u>		
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney # 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	200 N Main St Ste 1800 Los Angeles CA 90012	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Suite 240
		Roseville, CA 95678-6231
Contra Costa County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney PO Box 808	Merced County District Attorney 650 W. 20 th Street
725 Court St., Room 402 Martinez, CA 94553	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Merced, CA 95340
Del Norte County District Attorney	Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
450 "H" St,	201 Church St, Suite 8	PO Box 720
Crescent City, CA 95531	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
El Dorado County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney
515 Main St.	520 Main Street, Rm 404	3960 Orange St., Ste. 5
Placerville, CA 95667-5697 Fresno County District Attorney	Quincy, CA 95971 Sacramento County District Attorney	Riverside, CA 92501 San Benito County District Attorney
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	901 G Street	419 4th St
Fresno, CA 93721	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney
PO Box 430	County Government Center, Rm 450	PO Box 986
Willows, CA 95988	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Yreka, CA 96097
Humboldt County District Attorney 825 5th St., 4 th Floor	San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center	Solano County District Attorney 600 Union Ave
Eureka, CA 95501	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
Imperial County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr.,
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Rm 212-J
N. C. A. D. A. A.	S. a. Cl. Co. a. Diania Am	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Kern County District Attorney 1215 Truxtun Ave.	Santa Clara County District Attorney 70 W Hedding St.	Shasta County District Attorney 1525 Court St., 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	San Jose, CA 95110	Redding, CA 96001-1632
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr, 1400 W Lacey Blvd	PO Box 1159	PO Box 457
Hanford CA 93230	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney PO Box 310
255 N Forbes St Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	PO Box 442 Modesto, CA 95353	Weaverville, CA 96093
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street	Sutter County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991	215 5th St Marysville, CA 95901
	Lassen County District Attorney	Monterey County District Attorney
San Diego City Attorney	,	1 * * *
	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8	PO Box 1131
City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100	200 S Lassen St, Suite 8 Susanville, CA 96130	PO Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902
City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	Susanville, CA 96130	Salinas, CA 93902
City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney	Susanville, CA 96130 Tulare County District Attorney	Salinas, CA 93902 Yolo County District Attorney
City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St	Susanville, CA 96130 Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224	Salinas, CA 93902 Yolo County District Attorney 310 Second St
San Diego City Attorney City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St Sonora, CA 95370 Ventur County District Attorney	Susanville, CA 96130 Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224 Visalia, CA 93291	Salinas, CA 93902 Yolo County District Attorney 310 Second St Woodland, CA 95695
City Center Plaza 1200 3rd Ave # 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 Tuolumne County District Attorney 2 S Green St	Susanville, CA 96130 Tulare County District Attorney County Civic Center, Rm 224	Salinas, CA 93902 Yolo County District Attorney 310 Second St