

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7 (d)

Date: April 8, 2015

To:

VIOLATORS	PUBLIC AGENCIES
Anthropologie, Inc. David W. McCreight, CEO 235 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103	California's Attorney General's Office
Urban Outfitters, Inc. Richard A Hayne, President 500 South Board Street Philadelphia PA 19112-1495	District Attorney's Office for the 58 Counties of California
	City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento, and Los Angeles

From: Larry Lee

**Re: 60-Day Notice of Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic
Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65)**

Dear Sir/Madam:

Acting in the interest of the general public, Larry Lee (hereinafter "Noticing Party") hereby provides notice pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) of violations of California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). Mr. Lee's purpose in bringing this enforcement action is to reduce exposure to toxic chemicals in California. Violations are suspected to be occurring in Alameda County and throughout the State of California, pursuant to 27 California Code of Regulations § 25903(c)(3), so notice is being sent to the district attorney for each of the 58 counties in California. Further, the Noticing Party provides this notice to the California Attorney General and the city attorneys for the cities of Los Angeles, San Diego, San Francisco, and San Jose.

Pursuant to 27 California Code of Regulations § 25903(b)(1), attached for Violators (the companies listed above) is a copy of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary," which is a summary of Proposition 65 prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") of the California Environmental Protection Agency. OEHHA's Proposition 65 Implementation Office may be reached at (916) 445-6900, and the agency posts information about Proposition 65 at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65.html>.

Description of Violation

Exposure:	Consumer
Listed Chemical:	Lead and Lead Compounds
Routes of Exposure:	Ingestion
Type of Harm:	Birth Defects, Other Reproductive Harm, Carcinogen
Included Products:	Ceramic Dishware
Examples of Products:¹	Heirloom Bowl 3, HRLM Gregg F Moore #33097254, 4536 28848
Violators:	Anthropologie, Inc.; Urban Outfitters, Inc.
Relevant Period:	From November 20, 2013 until present

Violators have contravened the warning requirement at section 25249.6 of the California Health and Safety Code, which provides, “[no] person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual.” Violators have manufactured, distributed, marketed, and/or sold Products containing mercury without the warnings required by Proposition 65, dating at least as far back as November 20, 2013, and continuing each day through the present. Without proper warnings, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions to avoid consumer exposure to the Listed Chemical.

The Products containing lead are sold by retailers/distributors Anthropologie, Inc. and Urban Outfitters, Inc. in California in transactions including business-to-business and by internet purchase.

Lead and lead compounds are known to the State of California to be reproductive and developmental toxins and carcinogens. The Products are designed and specifically marketed for use with food and other household activities. Exposure to users is by ingestion, either through direct oral contact with the Products or indirectly. By way of example but not limitation, indirect oral exposure to consumers has occurred through the acts of cleaning, displaying, packing, storing, or otherwise handling the Products. Lead transfers onto the hands through this sort of routine touching of the Products containing readily available surface amounts of the Listed Chemical, and is subsequently ingested via hand-to-mouth behavior and hand-to-food-to-mouth behavior. Additionally, exposure can occur through the routine touching and ingesting of other materials (such as food items consumed while handling the products) that become contaminated with the Listed Chemical from the Products. Oral exposures may occur post-application due to insufficient hand washing prior to eating or preparation of food. These activities cause users in California, including children, adults, pregnant women, and women of childbearing age to be exposed to the Listed Chemical from the Products.

¹ These examples are non-exclusive. The purpose of the specific examples is for the recipients’ benefit to assist in their investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemicals from other items within the product type listed above.

Notice of Violation
April 8, 2015

Communications

Please direct all communication related to this matter to Rachel S. Doughty, attorney for the Noticing Party, at:

Lawrence Lee
c/o Rachel S. Doughty, Esq.
Greenfire Law
1202 Oregon Street
Berkeley, CA 94702

Resolution

To correct the violation occasioned by the failure to warn all consumers of the exposure to mercury, the Noticing Party intends to file a citizen enforcement action against the Violators at the expiration of the 60-day notice period initiated by this letter and required by law before a suit is filed. Cal. Health & Safety Code, § 252549.7(d)(1). Consistent with the public interest mission of this notice letter and to expeditiously rectify these ongoing violations of California law, the Noticing Party is open to constructively resolving the violations with a binding written agreement and short of filing suit. This would avoid both unwarned consumer exposures to mercury and the expense and time of litigation.

Sincerely,



Enclosures: Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary of Proposition 65 (to Violators only)
Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to Attorney General only)

Notice of Violation
April 8, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)

I, Rachel S. Doughty, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the party in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party(ies).

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h), *i.e.*, (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.



April 3, 2015

Enclosure (Attorney General copy only)

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as “Proposition 65”). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. Please refer to the statute and OEHHA’s implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The “Proposition 65 List.” Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly say that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Periods. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all full and part-time employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA’s website at:

<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA’s website at: [http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/ getNSRLs.html](http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html) for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4)

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27, sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A private party may not file an action against the alleged violator for these exposures, or recover in a settlement any payment in lieu of penalties or any reimbursement for costs and attorney's fees, if the notice of violation was served on or after October 5, 2013, and the alleged violator has done *all* of the following within 14 days of being served notice:

- Corrected the alleged violation;
- Agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$500 (subject to change as noted below) to the private party within 30 days; and
- Notified the private party serving the notice in writing that the violation has been corrected.

The written notification to the private-party must include a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form completed by the alleged violator as directed in the notice. On April 1, 2019, and every five years thereafter, the dollar amount of the civil penalty will be adjusted by the Judicial Council based on the change in the annual California Consumer Price Index. The Judicial Council will publish the dollar amount of the adjusted civil penalty at each five-year interval, together with the date of the next scheduled adjustment.

An alleged violator may satisfy these conditions only one time for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility or on the same premises. The satisfaction of these conditions does not prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney of a city greater than 750,000 in population, or any full-time city prosecutor with the consent of the district attorney, from filing an enforcement action against an alleged violator. The amount of any civil penalty for a violation shall be reduced to reflect any payment made by the alleged violator for the same alleged violation to a private-party.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included with this notice and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at:

<http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>. The notice is reproduced here:

Date:

Page 1

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:

Address:

Phone number:

SPECIAL COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE

PROOF OF COMPLIANCE

You are receiving this form because the Noticing Party listed above has alleged that you are violating California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 (Prop. 65).

The Noticing Party may not bring any legal proceedings against you for the alleged violation checked below if:

1. **You have actually taken the corrective steps that you have certified in this form.**
2. **The Noticing Party has received this form at the address shown above, accurately completed by you, postmarked within 14 days of your receiving this notice.**
3. **The Noticing Party receives the required \$500 penalty payment from you at the address shown above postmarked within 30 days of your receiving this notice.**
4. **This is the first time you have submitted a Proof of Compliance for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility on the same premises.**

PART 1: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE NOTICING PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR THE NOTICING PARTY

The alleged violation is for an exposure to: (check one)

☐ Alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent on-site consumption is permitted by law.

☐ A chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises for immediate consumption on or off premises to the extent: (1) the chemical was not intentionally added; and (2) the chemical was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination.

☐ Environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises.

☐ Chemicals known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking noncommercial vehicles.

IMPORTANT NOTES:

1. You have no potential liability under California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 if your business has nine (9) or fewer employees.
2. Using this form will NOT prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney, or a prosecutor in whose jurisdiction the violation is alleged to have occurred from filing an action

over the same alleged violations, and that in any such action, the amount of civil penalty shall be reduced to reflect any payment made at this time.

Date :

Page 2

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:

Address:

Phone number:

PART 2: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ALLEGED VIOLATOR OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Certification of Compliance

Accurate completion of this form will demonstrate that you are now in compliance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 for the alleged violation listed above. You must complete and submit the form below to the Noticing Party at the address shown above, postmarked within 14 days of you receiving this notice.

I hereby agree to pay, within 30 days of completion of this notice, a civil penalty of \$500 to the Noticing Party only and certify that I have complied with Health and Safety Code §25249.6 by (check only one of the following):

- ☐ Posting a warning or warnings about the alleged exposure that complies with the law, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises;
- ☐ Posting the warning or warnings demanded in writing by the Noticing Party, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises; OR
- ☐ Eliminating the alleged exposure, and attaching a statement accurately describing how the alleged exposure has been eliminated.

Certification

My statements on this form, and on any attachments to it, are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and are made in good faith. I have carefully read the instructions to complete this form. I understand that if I make a false statement on this form, I may be subject to additional penalties under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65).

Signature of alleged violator or authorized representative Date

Name and title of signatory

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2014

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this case.

 X I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred and my address in that county is: 1202 Oregon Street, Berkeley, CA 94702 . I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Post Office.

 I am an active member of the State Bar of California (bar number).

On the date shown below, I caused to be served the following documents:

- **NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5, *et seq.***
- **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**
- **"THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"** (served only on alleged violators)
- **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS** (served only on Attorney General)

by having copies of the same enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed to each of the entities shown below and deposited in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid.

Name and address of each alleged violator to whom documents were mailed:

Anthropologic, Inc.
Attn. David W. McCreight, CEO
235 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Urban Outfitters, Inc.
Attn. Richard A Hayne, President
500 South Board Steet
Philadelphia PA 19112-1495

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:

See attached distribution list.

by having copies of the same uploaded to the Attorney General of California's Proposition 65 Notice Web site: <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice>.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: April 8, 2015

By: 

Distribution List

The Honorable Nancy O'Malley
District Attorney
ALAMEDA COUNTY
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900
Oakland, CA 94612

The Honorable Todd Riebe
District Attorney
AMADOR COUNTY
708 Court Street, #202
Jackson, CA 95642

The Honorable Barbara Yook
District Attorney
CALAVERAS COUNTY
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249

The Honorable Mark Peterson
District Attorney
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
900 Ward Street
Martinez, CA 94553

The Honorable Vernon Pierson
District Attorney
EL DORADO COUNTY
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667

The Honorable Dwayne Stewart
District Attorney
GLENN COUNTY
P.O. Box 430
Willows, CA 95988

The Honorable Gilbert G. Otero
District Attorney
IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Suite 102
El Centro, CA 92243

The Honorable Lisa Green
District Attorney
KERN COUNTY
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301

The Honorable Donald Anderson
District Attorney
LAKE COUNTY
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453

The Honorable Jackie Lacey
District Attorney
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
210 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable Karen Dustman
District Attorney
ALPINE COUNTY
P.O. Box 248
Markleeville, CA 96120

The Honorable Michael Ramsey
District Attorney
BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive, Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

The Honorable John Poyner
District Attorney
COLUSA COUNTY
346 5th Street, Suite 101
Colusa, CA 95932

The Honorable Dale P. Trigg
District Attorney
DEL NORTE COUNTY
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

The Honorable Lisa Smittcamp
District Attorney
FRESNO COUNTY
2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000
Fresno, CA 93721

The Honorable Maggie Fleming
District Attorney
HUMBOLDT COUNTY
825 5th Street
Eureka, CA 95501

The Honorable Thomas L. Hardy
District Attorney
INYO COUNTY
168 North Edwards
Independence, CA 93526

The Honorable Keith Fagundes
District Attorney
KINGS COUNTY
1400 West Lacey Blvd.
Hanford, CA 93230

The Honorable Stacey L. Montgomery
District Attorney
LASSEN COUNTY
220 S. Lassen Street, Suite 8
Susanville, CA 96130

The Honorable David Linn
District Attorney
MADERA COUNTY
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637

<p>The Honorable Edward Berberian District Attorney MARIN COUNTY 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903</p>	<p>The Honorable Tom Cooke District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338</p>
<p>The Honorable C. David Eyster District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482</p>	<p>The Honorable Larry Morse II District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340</p>
<p>The Honorable Jordan Funk District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101</p>	<p>The Honorable Tim Kendall District Attorney MONO COUNTY P.O. Box 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546</p>
<p>The Honorable Dean Flippo District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY P.O. Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902</p>	<p>The Honorable Gary Lieberstein District Attorney NAPA COUNTY P.O. Box 720 Napa, CA 94559</p>
<p>The Honorable Clifford Newell Office of the District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959</p>	<p>The Honorable Tony Rackauckas District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701</p>
<p>The Honorable R. Scott Owens District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678</p>	<p>The Honorable David Hollister District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971</p>
<p>The Honorable Michael Hestrin District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501</p>	<p>The Honorable Anne Marie Schubert District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95812</p>
<p>The Honorable Candice Hooper-Mancino District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023</p>	<p>The Honorable Michael Ramos District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415</p>
<p>The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101</p>	<p>The Honorable George Gascon District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 880 Bryant Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94103</p>
<p>The Honorable Tori Verber Salazar District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY P.O. Box 990 Stockton, CA 95202</p>	<p>The Honorable Dan Dow District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408</p>
<p>The Honorable Stephen Wagstaffe District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063</p>	<p>The Honorable Joyce Dudley District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101</p>

The Honorable Jeffrey Rosen
District Attorney
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
70 West Hedding Street, West Wing
San Jose, CA 95110

The Honorable Jeff Rosell
District Attorney
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
701 Ocean Street, Room 200
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

The Honorable Stephen Carlton
District Attorney
SHASTA COUNTY
1355 West Street
Redding, CA 96001

The Honorable Lawrence Allen
District Attorney
SIERRA COUNTY
100 Courthouse Square
Downieville, CA 95936

The Honorable James Kirk Andrus
District Attorney
SISKIYOU COUNTY
P.O. Box 986
Yreka, CA 96097

The Honorable Krishna A. Abrams
District Attorney
SOLANO COUNTY
675 Texas Street, Suite 4500
Fairfield, CA 94533

The Honorable Jill Ravitch
District Attorney
SONOMA COUNTY
600 Administration Drive, Room 212J
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The Honorable Birgit Fladager
District Attorney
STANISLAUS COUNTY
832 12th Street, Suite 300
Modesto, CA 95353

The Honorable Amanda L. Hopper
District Attorney
SUTTER COUNTY
446 Second Street, Suite 102
Yuba City, CA 95991

The Honorable Gregg Cohen
District Attorney
TEHAMA COUNTY
P.O. Box 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080

The Honorable Eric Heryford
District Attorney
TRINITY COUNTY
P.O. Box 310
Weaverville, CA 96093

The Honorable Tim Ward
District Attorney
TULARE COUNTY
221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224
Visalia, CA 93291

The Honorable Laura Krieg
District Attorney
TUOLUMNE COUNTY
423 No. Washington Street
Sonora, CA 95370

The Honorable Gregory Totten
District Attorney
VENTURA COUNTY
800 South Victoria Avenue
Ventura, CA 93009

The Honorable Jeffery Reisig
District Attorney
YOLO COUNTY
301 Second Street
Woodland, CA 95695

The Honorable Patrick McGrath
District Attorney
YUBA COUNTY
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Marysville, CA 95901

San Jose City Attorney
200 E. Santa Clara St.
16th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

San Diego City Attorney
Civic Center Plaza
1200 3rd Ave. #1620
San Diego, CA 92101

Los Angeles City Attorney
800 City Hall East
200 N. Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Francisco City Attorney
City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4682