



Tel: 619.382.3400 Fax: 619.615.2193

www.glicklawgroup.com

December 7, 2016

Via Certified Mail

Amazon.com, Inc. Attn: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc. 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Ste 150 N Sacramento, CA 95833

USA OFFICE: Maymom, LLC 3 Laurel Leaf Court Durham, NC 27703

Re: Proposition 65 Amended Notice of Violation

To Whom It May Concern:

We represent Arthur Zivkovic, a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. This letter serves as notice that the parties listed above are in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violations alleged by this notice consist of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"). This chemical was listed as either a carcinogen or known developmental/reproductive toxin on January 1, 1988; December 2, 2005; and December 20, 2013, respectively.

The specific type of product that is causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 is detailed below:

	Product Name	Retailer	Manufacturer	Item Number / UPC
			/ Distributor	
1.	Replacement Breast Pump	Amazon.com	Maymom, LLC	UPC793573454157 or
				698798008430
				ASIN B0033B9CZ2

The routes of exposure to the chemical(s) in violation include dermal absorption, ingestion, and inhalation by consumers. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use of the products. Procurement and use of this product could result in overexposure to adults (including pregnant women) and/or children. The measured phthalate(s) are used as plasticizers to soften PVC plastics. As additive chemicals, they are not bound to the

products they are in and are able to leach into surrounding air, water, or other surfaces (e.g., skin). Thus, dermal, inhalation, and ingestion exposures are all likely routes for these chemicals to enter the body when found in high concentrations in a product. Dermal contact would occur through normal handling and use of the product and would be a major route of exposure, as phthalates have been shown to penetrate the skin barrier. Ingestion could also be a major route of exposure following hand-to-mouth contact after touching the product. The measured phthalate(s) are semi-volatile chemicals, and inhalation could occur following volatilization of the phthalates from the product into surrounding air, particularly in higher temperature conditions, which could be encountered though normal use and storage of the product. Airborne phthalates can also become bound to dust particles, which could result in later inhalation, dermal uptake, or inadvertent ingestion. This type of tubing could have a broad range of uses, including coming into direct contact with air or other gases that could be later inhaled, or liquids that could be ingested or end up on the skin. Gases/liquids passing through the tubing at elevated temperatures or with extended residence times could result in further elevated exposures. The sales of this product has been occurring since at least June of 2011, is continuing to this day, and will continue to occur as long as the product subject to this notice is sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning is provided with these products regarding the exposures to DEHP caused by ordinary use of the product. The Parties are in violation of Proposition 65 by failing to provide such warning to consumers and as a result of the sales of these products, exposures to DEHP have been occurring without proper warnings.

Pursuant to Proposition 65, notice and intent to sue shall be provided to violators 60 days before filing a complaint. This letter provides notice of the alleged violation to the parties listed above and the appropriate governmental authorities. A summary of Proposition 65 is attached.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss any of the above, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Noam Glick

Enclosures

Appendix A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA PROTECTION AGENCY THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACTION 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead and Toxic Enforcement Act 1986 (commonly known as AProposition 65") A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide law. The reader is directed to the statue and its implementing regulations (See citations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code Regulations, Sections 250000 through 27000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor=s List.@ Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 725 chemicals have been listed as of November 16, 2001. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release, or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the

following:

Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A

business is required to warn a person before Aknowingly and intentionally@ exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be Aclear and reasonable.@ This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that is will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of the listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of the listing of chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer (Acarcinogens@), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses Ano significant risk.@ This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70- year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific A no significant risk@ levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm (Areproductive toxicants@), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the Ano observable effect level (NOEL), A divided by a 1,000- fold safety or uncertainty factor. The Ano observable effect level@ is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharge that do not result in a Asignificant amount@ of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water.

The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a Asignificant amount@ of the list chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A Asignificant amount@ means any detectable amount; expect an amount that would meet the A no significant risk@ or Ano observable effect@ test if an individual were exposed to

such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought be the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuit may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 27. California Code of Regulations, Section 25903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION....

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment=s Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916)445-6900

AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, Noam Glick, hereby declare:

- 1. This Amended Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached amended sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. In support of this certificate of merit, I have consulted with an expert who has opined as follows:

The routes of exposure to the chemical(s) in violation include dermal absorption, ingestion, and inhalation by consumers, in excess of the allowable limits. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use of the products. Procurement and use of this product could result in overexposure to adults (including pregnant women) and/or children. The measured phthalate(s) are used as plasticizers to soften PVC plastics. As additive chemicals, they are not bound to the products they are in and are able to leach into surrounding air, water, or other surfaces (e.g., skin). Thus, dermal, inhalation, and ingestion exposures are all likely routes for these chemicals to enter the body when found in high concentrations in a product. Dermal contact would occur through normal handling and use of the product and would be a major route of exposure, as phthalates have been shown to penetrate the skin barrier. Ingestion could also be a major route of exposure following hand-to-mouth contact after touching the product. The measured phthalate(s) are semivolatile chemicals, and inhalation could occur following volatilization of the phthalates from the product into surrounding air, particularly in higher temperature conditions, which could be encountered though normal use and storage of the product. Airborne phthalates can also become bound to dust particles, which could result in later inhalation, dermal uptake, or inadvertent ingestion. This type of tubing could have a broad range of uses, including coming into direct contact with air or other gases that could be later inhaled, or liquids that could be ingested or end up on the skin. Gases/liquids passing through the tubing at elevated temperatures or with extended residence times could result in further elevated exposures.

6. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified

in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 7, 2016

Noam Glick, Attorney at Law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Cassandra Inzunza, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 225 Broadway, 21st Floor, San Diego, California 92101.

On December 7, 2016, I served the following documents: (1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d); (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and (4) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General)

on the parties listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Certified Mail with the postage thereon fully prepaid:

Via Certified Mail

Amazon.com, Inc.
Attn: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc.
2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Ste 150 N
Sacramento, CA 95833

USA OFFICE: Maymom, LLC 3 Laurel Leaf Court Durham, NC 27703

On December 7, 2016, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On December 7, 2016, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized email service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's web site.

See Attached Service List

On December 7, 2016, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on **December 7, 2016,** at San Diego, California.

Cassandra Inzunza

E-Mail Service List

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney CONTRA COSA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney MONTERREY COUNTY 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Gary Lieberstein, District Attorney NAPA COUNTY 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney SAN LIUS OBISPO COUNTY County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney ALPINE COUNTY PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney AMADOR COUNTY 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney
BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive —
Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney COLUSA COUNTY 346 5th Street, Suite. 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney DEL NORTE COUNTY 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney
EL DORADO COUNTY
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney FRESNO COUNTY 2220 Tulare Street, Suite. 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney GLENN COUNTY PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney
IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Suite. 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney KERN COUNTY 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney KINGS COUNTY 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney LAKE COUNTY 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street, Suite. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney LOS ANGELES COUNTY 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney MADERA COUNTY 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney MARIN COUNTY 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY PO BOX 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY PO BOX 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101

District Attorney MONO COUNTY PO BOX 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY PO BOX 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney NAPA COUNTY PO BOX 720 Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95812

District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 880 Bryant Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY PO BOX 990 Stockton, CA 95202 District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney SHASTA COUNTY 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney SIERRA COUNTY 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney SISKIYOU COUNTY PO BOX 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney SOLANO COUNTY 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney STANISLAUS COUNTY 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney SUTTER COUNTY 446 Second Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney TEHAMA COUNTY PO BOX 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney TRINITY COUNTY PO BOX 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney
TULARE COUNTY
221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224

Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney YUBA COUNTY 215 Fifth Street, Suite. 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Mike Feuer City Attorney CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Jan Goldsmith City Attorney CITY OF SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Richard Doyle City Attorney CITY OF SAN JOSE 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102