

**LITIGATION AND TRIAL LAW**

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.  
e-Mail: kevin@kjclawgroup.com

March 2, 2020

**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL**

NetNutri.com, LLC  
c/o Frank Huerta  
505 54<sup>th</sup> Street  
West New York, NJ 07093

Re: **60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 Violation (California Health & Safety Code § 25249 et seq.)**

Dear Mr. Huerta:

We represent Zachary Stein, a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. This letter serves as notice that NetNutri.com, LLC (“NetNutri.com”) is in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, commencing with Section 25249.6 of the Health and Safety Code (“Proposition 65”).

NetNutri.com is selling products that the State of California has identified to contain carcinogenic properties without the labeling required by Proposition 65. In particular, your sale of “Innovative Labs Helladrol 60 Tablets” (the “Subject Product”) (<https://www.netnutri.com/innovative-labs-helladrol-60-tablets>) violates Proposition 65 because the Subject Product contains 4-Androstene-3b-ol,17-one (commonly marketed as “4-Androsterone” or “4-DHEA”), which converts to Androstenedione when ingested in the human body. The sale of products that convert to Androstenedione requires warning labels in the State of California.

As recognized by the State of California, Androstenedione is a cancer-causing toxic substance. *See* Proposition 65, *Chemicals Known to the State to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity* (Jan. 3, 2020), available at <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/proposition-65/p65list010320.pdf>. Androstenedione was added to the list of chemicals known to cause cancer on May 3, 2011. *See id.*

California residents who consume the Subject Product are exposed to Androstenedione through direct ingestion. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use (i.e., ingestion) of any product containing Androstenedione, such as the Subject Product. NetNutri.com has been selling the Subject Product since at least January 1, 2017. Therefore, NetNutri.com has exposed consumers to Androstenedione in violation of Proposition 65 for over three years. These violations will continue to occur as long as the Subject Product is sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided with any product that exposes consumers to Androstenedione through its ordinary use. *See* Cal. Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.6. NetNutri.com is in violation of Proposition 65 by failing to provide the required Proposition 65 warning to consumers. As a result of the sales of this product, exposures to Androstenedione have been occurring without proper warnings.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue shall be provided to violators 60 days before filing a complaint. This letter provides notice of the alleged violation to NetNutri.com and the appropriate governmental authorities. A summary of Proposition 65 is attached as Appendix A.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss any of the above, please contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kevin J. Cole', with a stylized, cursive script.

Kevin J. Cole

Enclosures

## APPENDIX A

### OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as “Proposition 65”). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.<sup>1</sup> These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

#### *WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?*

***The “Proposition 65 List.”*** Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

---

<sup>1</sup> All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: [http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\\_list/Newlist.html](http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html).

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

***Clear and reasonable warnings.*** A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

***Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.*** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

#### ***DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?***

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

***Grace Period.*** Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

***Governmental agencies and public water utilities.*** All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

***Businesses with nine or fewer employees.*** Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

***Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer.*** For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

***Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question.*** For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

***Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food.*** Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant<sup>2</sup> it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

***Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water.*** The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

---

<sup>2</sup> See Section 25501(a)(4).

## *HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?*

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at:  
<http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

*FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...*

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at  
[P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov](mailto:P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov).

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

## **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

I, Kevin J. Cole, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice has violated California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the Plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.



Dated: March 2, 2020

---

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.  
KJC Law Group, A Professional Corporation  
*Attorneys for Zachary Stein*



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Chen Wang, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 6700 Fallbrook Avenue, Suite 207, West Hills, CA 91307.

On March 2, 2020, I served the following documents: **(1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d); (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and (4) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General)** on the party listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Certified Mail with the postage thereon fully prepaid:

### **Via Certified Mail**

NetNutri.com, LLC  
c/o Frank Huerta  
505 54th Street  
West New York, NJ 07093

On March 2, 2020, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On March 2, 2020, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized email service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's website.

### **See Attached Service List**

On March 2, 2020, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows:

### **See Attached Service List**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 2, 2020 in Los Angeles, California



---

Chen Wang

## E-Mail Service List

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
900 Ward Street  
Martinez, CA 94553  
[sgrassini@contracostada.org](mailto:sgrassini@contracostada.org)

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator  
LASSEN COUNTY  
220 S. Lassen Street  
Susanville, CA 96130  
[mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us](mailto:mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us)

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney  
MONTERREY COUNTY  
1200 Aguajito Road  
Monterey, CA 93940  
[Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us](mailto:Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us)

Allison Haley, District Attorney  
NAPA COUNTY  
1127 First Street, Ste. C  
Napa, CA 94559  
[CEPD@countyofnapa.org](mailto:CEPD@countyofnapa.org)

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
3072 Orange Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[Prop65@rivcoda.org](mailto:Prop65@rivcoda.org)

Barbara Yook, District Attorney  
CALAVERAS COUNTY  
891 Mountain Ranch Rd.  
San Andreas, CA 95249  
[Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us](mailto:Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us)

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney  
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY  
732 Brannan Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
[gregory.alker@sfgov.org](mailto:gregory.alker@sfgov.org)

Kathryn L. Turner, Chief Deputy City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
1200 Third Avenue  
San Diego, CA 92101  
[CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov](mailto:CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov)

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney  
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
[Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us](mailto:Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us)

Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[Valerie.lopez@sfcityattys.org](mailto:Valerie.lopez@sfcityattys.org)

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney  
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY  
County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
[edobroth@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:edobroth@co.slo.ca.us)

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney  
SANTA CLARA COUNTY  
70 W Hedding St  
San Jose, CA 95110  
[EPU@da.sccgov.org](mailto:EPU@da.sccgov.org)

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney  
SONOMA COUNTY  
600 Administration Drive  
Sonoma, CA 95403  
[jbarnes@sonoma-county.org](mailto:jbarnes@sonoma-county.org)

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney  
TULARE COUNTY  
221 S Mooney Blvd  
Visalia, CA 95370  
[Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us](mailto:Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us)

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney  
VENTURA COUNTY  
800 S Victoria Ave  
Ventura, CA 93009  
[daspecialops@ventura.org](mailto:daspecialops@ventura.org)

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney  
YOLO COUNTY  
301 Second Street  
Woodland, CA 95695  
[cfepd@yolocounty.org](mailto:cfepd@yolocounty.org)

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney  
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY  
222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202  
Stockton, CA 95202  
[DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org](mailto:DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org)

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney  
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY  
1112 Santa Barbara St.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
[DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us](mailto:DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us)

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney  
ALAMEDA COUNTY  
7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650  
Oakland, CA 94621  
[CEPDProp65@acgov.org](mailto:CEPDProp65@acgov.org)

Barbara M. Yook, District Attorney  
CALAVERAS COUNTY  
891 Mountain Ranch Road  
San Andreas CA 95249  
[Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us](mailto:Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us)

## Mail Service List

District Attorney  
ALAMEDA COUNTY  
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900  
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney  
ALPINE COUNTY  
PO Box 248  
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney  
AMADOR COUNTY  
708 Court Street, #202  
Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney  
BUTTE COUNTY  
25 County Center Drive  
Administration Building  
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney  
CALAVERAS COUNTY  
891 Mountain Ranch Road  
San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney  
COLUSA COUNTY  
346 5th Street, Suite. 101  
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
900 Ward Street  
Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney  
DEL NORTE COUNTY  
450 H Street, Room 171  
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney  
EL DORADO COUNTY  
515 Main Street  
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney  
FRESNO COUNTY  
2220 Tulare Street, Suite. 1000  
Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney  
GLENN COUNTY  
PO Box 430  
Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney  
HUMBOLDT COUNTY  
825 5th Street  
Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
940 West Main Street, Suite. 102  
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney  
TULARE COUNTY  
221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224  
Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney  
TUOLUMNE COUNTY  
423 No. Washington Street  
Sonora, CA 95370

Richard Doyle  
City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN JOSE  
200 East Santa Clara Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

District Attorney  
INYO COUNTY  
168 North Edwards  
Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney  
KERN COUNTY  
1215 Truxtun Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney  
KINGS COUNTY  
1400 West Lacey Blvd.  
Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney  
LAKE COUNTY  
255 N. Forbes Street  
Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney  
LASSEN COUNTY  
220 S. Lassen Street, Suite. 8  
Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
210 W. Temple Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney  
MADERA COUNTY  
209 West Yosemite Avenue  
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney  
MARIN COUNTY  
3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130  
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney  
MARIPOSA COUNTY  
PO BOX 730  
Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney  
MENDOCINO COUNTY  
PO BOX 1000  
Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney  
MERCED COUNTY  
550 West Main Street  
Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney  
MODOC COUNTY  
204 S. Court Street, Room 202  
Alturas, CA 96101

District Attorney  
MONO COUNTY  
PO BOX 2053  
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

District Attorney  
VENTURA COUNTY  
800 South Victoria Avenue  
Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney  
YOLO COUNTY  
301 Second Street  
Woodland, CA 95695

Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

District Attorney  
MONTEREY COUNTY  
PO BOX 1131  
Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney  
NAPA COUNTY  
1127 First Street, Ste. C  
Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney  
NEVADA COUNTY  
201 Commercial Street  
Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney  
ORANGE COUNTY  
401 Civic Center Drive West  
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney  
PLACER COUNTY  
10810 Justice Center Drive  
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney  
PLUMAS COUNTY  
520 Main Street, Room 404  
Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
3960 Orange Street  
Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney  
SACRAMENTO COUNTY  
901 G Street  
Sacramento, CA 95812

District Attorney  
SAN BENITO COUNTY  
419 4th Street  
Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney  
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY  
303 W. Third Street  
San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney  
SAN DIEGO COUNTY  
330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300  
San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney  
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY  
880 Bryant Street, Third Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney  
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY  
PO BOX 990  
Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney  
YUBA COUNTY  
215 Fifth Street, Suite. 152  
Marysville, CA 95901

Mike Feuer  
City Attorney  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
200 N. Main Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney  
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY  
Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney  
SAN MATEO COUNTY  
400 County Center, Third Floor  
Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney  
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY  
1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney  
SANTA CLARA COUNTY  
70 West Hedding Street, West Wing  
San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney  
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY  
701 Ocean Street, Room 200  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney  
SHASTA COUNTY  
1355 West Street  
Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney  
SIERRA COUNTY  
100 Courthouse Square  
Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney  
SISKIYOU COUNTY  
PO BOX 986  
Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney  
SOLANO COUNTY  
675 Texas Street, Suite 4500  
Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney  
SONOMA COUNTY  
600 Administration Drive, Room 212J  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney  
STANISLAUS COUNTY  
832 12th Street, Suite 300  
Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney  
SUTTER COUNTY  
446 Second Street, Suite 102  
Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney  
TEHAMA COUNTY  
PO BOX 519  
Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney  
TRINITY COUNTY  
PO BOX 310  
Weaverville, CA 96093

Jan Goldsmith  
City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
1200 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor  
San Diego, CA 92101