

60 DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

DATE: August 31, 2020

To: President or CEO – Amazon.com Services, Inc.
President or CEO – Amazon.com, Inc.
President or CEO – Fosmon Distributing, LLC
President or CEO – Fospower
California Attorney General’s Office;
District Attorney’s Office for 58 counties;
City Attorney’s for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles.

From: Keep America Safe and Beautiful

- I.** Keep America Safe and Beautiful is a California nonprofit corporation acting in the interest of the general public. Keep America Safe and Beautiful seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. (“Proposition 65”). The violations covered by this Notice consist of the product exposures, routes of exposures, and types of harm potentially resulting from exposure to the toxic chemical (“listed chemical”) identified below as follows:

Product Exposure:	See Section VII. Exhibit A
Listed Chemical:	(DINP) Diisononyl Phthalate
Routes of Exposure:	Dermal absorption, Ingestion
Types of Harm:	Cancer

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and that is covered by this Notice, is listed under “Product Category/Type” in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the type covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the “products.”

The sale of these products in the state of California dating at least as far back as April 24, 2020 are subject to this notice. As a result, exposures to the listed chemical from the use of the products have been occurring without clear and reasonable warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical, resulting from contact with the products, California citizen lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

California citizens, including children, through the act of buying, acquiring or utilizing the products, are exposed to the listed chemical in homes, workplaces, and places throughout California where these products are used. By way of example but not limitation, exposures occur when California citizens use, store, move, remove, place replace, hook up, plug, unplug, remove, insert or otherwise handle these products, the listed chemical transfers onto the hands through routine touching of the parts and portions of the products containing readily available surface amounts of the listed chemical and is subsequently absorbed through the skin or ingested via inhalation, hand-to-mouth behavior, hand-to-food-to-mouth behavior, or through hand-to-cigarette-to-lung behavior. Exposure may continue to occur for a significant period after the initial contact. These activities cause California citizens to be exposed directly through migration of the listed chemical from the products. California citizens likely to be exposed are men, women, and children. These violations and threatened violations pertain to Proposition 65 chemicals that are listed as Cancer.

Pursuant to Title 8, C.C.R § 338(b), please be advised that in addition to exposure to consumers in a non-occupational capacity, “[t]his notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions with regard to occupational exposures on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliance in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney General.”

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me through my counsel’s office at the following address:

Keep America Safe and Beautiful
c/o Mr. Parker A. Smith
Sy and Smith, PC
11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92130
Tel: (858) 746-9554
Email: parker@sysmithlaw.com

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health hazard Assessment’s (“OEHHA”) Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violator’s reference, I have attached a copy of “Proposition 65: A Summary” which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, I intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violator unless such Violator enters into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those whom have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future or reformulate such products to eliminate the Lead exposures; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). If the alleged Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resort to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III above. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District or City Attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with me will resolve my claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

THIS INFORMATION IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE PROVIDED UNDER TITLE 22CAL CODE REGS., §12903 (b)(4).

Identified below is a specific example of a product recently purchased and witnessed as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 “clear and reasonable warnings” at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business-to-business, through the Internet, and/or via catalog by the Violator and other distributors and retailers of the manufacturer.

Product*

FosPower 24K Gold Plated
Audio Cable

Retailer(s)

Amazon.com Services, Inc.
Amazon.com, Inc.

Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)

Fosmon Distributing, LLC
Fospower

VII. EXHIBIT A

Product Category/Type	Such As*	Toxins
Audio Cables	FosPower 24K Gold Plated Audio Cable	(DINP) Diisononyl Phthalate

*The specifically identified example of the type of product which is subject to this Notice is for the recipient's benefit to assist in its investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposure to the listed chemical from other items within the product category/type listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that this example is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product of the type listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A. Further, it is this citizen's position that the alleged Violator is obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the type or category described above that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipient's custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

APPENDIX A
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986
(PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. Please refer to the statute and OEHHA's implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly say that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Periods. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all full and part-time employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in a Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the

alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A private party may not file an action against the alleged violator for these exposures, or recover in a settlement any payment in lieu of penalties or any reimbursement for costs and attorney's fees, if the notice of violation was served on or after October 5, 2013, and the alleged violator has done all of the following within 14 days of being served notice:

- Corrected the alleged violation;
- Agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$500 (subject to change as noted below) to the private party within 30 days; and
- Notified the private party serving the notice in writing that the violation has been corrected.

The written notification to the private-party must include a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form completed by the alleged violator as directed in the notice. On April 1, 2019, and every five years thereafter, the dollar amount of the civil penalty will be adjusted by the Judicial Council based on the change in the annual California Consumer Price Index. The Judicial Council will publish the dollar amount of the adjusted civil penalty at each five-year interval, together with the date of the next scheduled adjustment.

An alleged violator may satisfy these conditions only one time for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility or on the same premises. The satisfaction of these conditions does not prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney of a city greater than 750,000 in population, or any full-time city prosecutor with the consent of the district attorney, from filing an enforcement action against an alleged violator. The amount of any civil penalty for a violation shall be reduced to reflect any payment made by the alleged violator for the same alleged violation to a private-party.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included with this notice and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>. The notice is reproduced here:

Date: August 31, 2020

Page 1

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party: Keep America Safe and Beautiful

Address: Sy and Smith, PC, 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone number: (858) 746-9554

**SPECIAL COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE
PROOF OF COMPLIANCE**

You are receiving this form because the Noticing Party listed above has alleged that you are violating California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 (Prop. 65).

The Noticing Party may not bring any legal proceedings against you for the alleged violation checked below if:

1. You have actually taken the corrective steps that you have certified in this form.
2. The Noticing Party has received this form at the address shown above, accurately completed by you, postmarked within 14 days of your receiving this notice.
3. The Noticing Party receives the required \$500 penalty payment from you at the address shown above postmarked within 30 days of your receiving this notice.
4. This is the first time you have submitted a Proof of Compliance for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility on the same premises.

PART 1: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE NOTICING PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR THE NOTICING PARTY

The alleged violation is for an exposure to: (check one)

_____Alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent on-site consumption is permitted by law.

_____A chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises for immediate consumption on or off premises to the extent: (1) the chemical was not intentionally added; and (2) the chemical was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination.

_____Environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises.

_____Chemicals known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking noncommercial vehicles.

IMPORTANT NOTES:

1. You have no potential liability under California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 if your business has nine (9) or fewer employees.
2. Using this form will NOT prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney, or a prosecutor in whose jurisdiction the violation is alleged to have occurred from filing an action over the same alleged violations, and that in any such action, the amount of civil penalty shall be reduced to reflect any payment made at this time.

Date: August 31, 2020

Page 2

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party: Keep America Safe and Beautiful

Address: Sy and Smith, PC, 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone number: (858) 746-9554

PART 2: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ALLEGED VIOLATOR OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Certification of Compliance

Accurate completion of this form will demonstrate that you are now in compliance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 for the alleged violation listed above. You must complete and submit the form below to the Noticing Party at the address shown above, postmarked within 14 days of you receiving this notice.

I hereby agree to pay, within 30 days of completion of this notice, a civil penalty of \$500 to the Noticing Party only and certify that I have complied with Health and Safety Code §25249.6 by (check only one of the following):

- Posting a warning or warnings about the alleged exposure that complies with the law, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises;
- Posting the warning or warnings demanded in writing by the Noticing Party, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises; OR
- Eliminating the alleged exposure, and attaching a statement accurately describing how the alleged exposure has been eliminated.

Certification

My statements on this form, and on any attachments to it, are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and are made in good faith. I have carefully read the instructions to complete this form. I understand that if I make a false statement on this form, I may be subject to additional penalties under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65).

Signature of alleged violator or authorized representative

Date

Name and title of signatory

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May, 2014

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT
Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Parker A. Smith, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the party identified in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this action;
4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2) (i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: August 31, 2020



Parker A. Smith

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is, 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92130.

On August 31, 2020, I served the following documents:

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE §25249.7(d);
PROPOSITION 65: SUMMARY;
CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; AND
CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENTS (SERVED ONLY ON THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL)

on the Violator listed below via First Class Certified Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the Violator and providing such envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Current President or CEO
Fosmon Distributing, LLC
375 Rivertown Dr, Ste 500
Woodbury, Minnesota, 55125

Amazon.com Services, Inc.
CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N
Sacramento, CA 95833

Amazon.com, Inc.
c/o Corporation Service Company
251 Little Falls Dr.
Wilmington, DE 19808


Current President or CEO
Fospower
375 Rivertown Dr, Ste 500
Woodbury, Minnesota, 55125

Current President or CEO
Amazon.com Services, Inc.
440 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109

as well as providing copies of the notice to the public enforcers by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party listed below and served as follows:

By Uploading onto http://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice	The Attorney General of the State of California
By placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service Box, first class postage pre-paid	The District Attorneys for 33 California Counties and; The City Attorneys for Los Angeles, San Jose.
By sending electronic mail	The following District Attorneys: Alameda, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Sonoma, Santa Clara, Napa, Lassen, Riverside, Tulare, Ventura, Yolo, Monterey, Sacramento, San Francisco, Santa Barbara, San Diego, Santa Cruz, Contra Costa, Inyo, Mariposa, Merced, Nevada, Placer, Plumas and Calaveras County The City Attorneys for San Diego and San Francisco

A list of address for each of the recipient's is attached.
Executed on August 31, 2020 San Diego, California



Parker A. Smith

By US First Class Mail:

COLUSA COUNTY
547 Market Street, Ste. 102
Colusa, CA 95932

DEL NORTE COUNTY
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

ALPINE COUNTY
PO Box 248
Markleeville, CA 96120

EL DORADO COUNTY
778 Pacific Street
Placerville, CA 95667

AMADOR COUNTY
708 Court Street #202
Jackson, CA 95642

FRESNO COUNTY
2220 Tulare Street, Ste. 1000
Fresno, CA 93721

GLENN COUNTY
PO Box 430
Willows, CA 95988

BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive --
Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

HUMBOLDT COUNTY
825 5th Street
Eureka, CA 95501

By Electronic Mail:

ALAMEDA COUNTY
Attn: Nancy O'Malley
CEPDProp65@acgov.org

CALAVERAS COUNTY
Attn: Barbara Yook
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
Attn: Stacey Grassini
sgrassini@contracostada.org

INYO COUNTY
Attn: Thomas L. Hardy
invoda@invocounty.us

SAN DIEGO COUNTY
Attn: Summer Stephan
SanDiegoDAProp65@sdca.org

SAN DIEGO CITY ATTORNEY
Attn: Mark Ankorn
CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
Attn: Tori Verber
DAConsumer.Environmental@sjecda.org

MADERA COUNTY
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637

MARIN COUNTY
3501 Civic Center Drive,
Room 130
San Rafael, CA 94903

MENDOCINO COUNTY
PO BOX 1000
Ukiah, CA 95482

MODOC COUNTY
204 S. Court Street, Room 202
Alturas, CA 96101

MONO COUNTY
PO BOX 617
Bridgeport, CA 93546

County of Los Angeles
210 West Temple Street,
Suite 18000
Los Angeles, CA 90012-3210

ORANGE COUNTY
401 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

SAN BENITO COUNTY
419 4th Street
Hollister, CA 95023-3801

LASSEN COUNTY
Attn: Michelle Latimer
mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

MARIPOSA COUNTY
Attn: Walter W. Wall
mdda@mariposacounty.org

MONTEREY COUNTY
Attn: Jeannine M. Pacioni
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

NAPA COUNTY
Attn: Gary Lieberstein
CEPD@countvofnapa.org

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY
Attn: Gregory Alker
Gregory.alker@sfgov.org

SAN FRANCISCO CITY
Attn: Valerie Lopez
Valerie.Lopez@sfciaatty.org

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
Attn: Eric J. Dobroth
edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

SAN MATEO COUNTY
400 County Center, Third Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063

SHASTA COUNTY
1355 West Street
Redding, CA 96001

SIERRA COUNTY
100 Courthouse Square
Downieville, CA 95936

SISKIYOU COUNTY
PO BOX 986
Yreka, CA 96097

SOLANO COUNTY
675 Texas Street, Ste. 4500
Fairfield, CA 94533

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
316 N. Mountain View Ave
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

KERN COUNTY
1215 Truxtun Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301

LAKE COUNTY
255 N. Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453

IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Ste. 102
El Centro, CA 92243

NEVADA COUNTY
Attn: Clifford H. Newell
DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us

PLUMAS COUNTY
Attn: David Hollister
davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

RIVERSIDE COUNTY
Attn: Paul E. Zellerbach
Prop65@rivcoda.org

SACRAMENTO COUNTY
Attn: Anne Marie Schubert
Prop65@sacda.org

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
Attn: Christopher Dalbey
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

SANTA CLARA COUNTY
Attn: Bud Porter
EPU@da.sccgov.org

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
Attn: Jeffrey S. Rosell
Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

STANISLAUS COUNTY
832 12th Street, Ste. 300
Modesto, CA 95353

SUTTER COUNTY
446 2nd Street, Suite 102
Yuba City, CA 95991

TEHAMA COUNTY
PO BOX 519
Red Bluff, CA 96080

TRINITY COUNTY
PO BOX 310
Weaverville, CA 96093

TUOLUMNE COUNTY
423 No. Washington Street
Sonora, CA 95370

YUBA COUNTY
215 Fifth Street, Ste. 152
Marysville, CA 95901

Office of the City Attorney
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
200 N. Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Office of the City Attorney
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara Street
16th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

KINGS COUNTY
1400 West Lacey Blvd.
Hanford, CA 93230

SONOMA COUNTY
Attn: Stephan R. Passalacqua
jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

TULARE COUNTY
Attn: Phillip J. Cline
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

VENTURA COUNTY
Attn: Gregory D. Totten
daspecialops@ventura.org

YOLO COUNTY
Attn: Jeff W. Reisig
cfepd@volocounty.org

PLACER COUNTY
Attn: Morgan Briggs Gire
prop65@placer.ca.gov

MERCED COUNTY
Attn: Kimberly Lewis
Prop65@countyofmerced.com