



DOVEL & LUNER
LLP

201 Santa Monica Blvd.,
Suite 600
Santa Monica,
California 90401
TEL 310.656.7066
FAX 310.656.7069

June 12, 2023

Nestle USA, Inc.
Attn: Legal Department
1812 N. Moore Street
Arlington, VA 22209

Via First Class Mail

Re: Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter serves as notification that Tiana Gamino intends to bring suit for, among other claims, violations of California Health and Safety Code 25249.6 (“Proposition 65”).

Alleged violator: Nestle, USA

Approximate time period: In or around January 2023, Ms. Gamino purchased a box of Nestle’s Rich Milk Chocolate Hot Cocoa Mix. The product has been on sale for at least two year and is currently available for sale.

Chemicals: The violations involve exposure to lead from the products listed below. The State of California has officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm.¹

Consumer Products: Nestle’s Rich Milk Chocolate Hot Cocoa Mix

Route of exposure: Ingestion. Ingestion of the product identified results in human exposure to lead. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the products regarding the risk of cancer or reproductive harm.

A certificate of merit is attached.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice of violation to:

¹ http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html

Christin Cho
c/o Dovel & Luner
201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600
Santa Monica, California 90401
(310) 656-7066
christin@dovel.com

Sincerely,



Christin Cho

Attachments:

- Certificate of Merit
- Certificate of Service
- Appendix A: THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY
- Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy); Factual information sufficient to establish basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)

Certificate of Merit

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Christin Cho, declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that Nestle USA, Inc. has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

4. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code 25249.7(h)(2), i.e. (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

5. I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: June 12, 2023



Christin Cho

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at:
<http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.



DOVEL & LUNER
LLP

Christin Cho
201 Santa Monica Blvd.,
Suite 600
Santa Monica,
California 90401
TEL 310.656.7066
christin@dovel.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 201 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 600, Santa Monica, CA 90401. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred.

On June 12, 2023 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, I verified the following documents: Notice Of Violations, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.; Certificate Of Merit Including A Summary Of Confidential Factual Information; Additional Supporting Information For Certificate Of Merit As Required By California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d)(1); "The Safe Drinking Water And Toxic Enforcement Act Of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary" were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at <https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice>:


Office of the California Attorney General
Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting
1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On June 12, 2023, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, I verified the following documents: Notice of Violations; California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 Et Seq; Certificate of Merit were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Email Service List

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org	Allison Haley, District Attorney NAPA COUNTY 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org	Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org
Barbara Yook, District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249 Phone: 209-754-6330 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Phone: 805-781-5800 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us
Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org	Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678	Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara St. Santa Barbara, CA 93101

	Phone: 916-543-8000 prop65@placer.ca.gov	Phone: 805-568-2300 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us
Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney FRESNO COUNTY 2100 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: (559) 600-3141 consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	David Hollister, District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main St. Quincy, CA 95971 Phone: (530) 283-6303 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 W Heeding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org
Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 Phone: 760.878.0282 inyoda@inyocounty.us	Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org	Nora V. Frimann, City Attorney SAN JOSE 200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 96113 Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov
Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 Phone: 530-251-8284 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us	Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org	Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Phone: 831-454-2400 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us
Walter W. Wall, District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 Phone: (209) 966-3626 mcda@mariposacounty.org	Summer Stephan, District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdca.org	Jill Ravitch, District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Jeannie.Barnes@sonoma-county.org
Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 Phone: (209) 385-7381 Prop65@countyofmerced.com	Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us
Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	Alexandra Grayner, Assistant District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 350 Rhode Island Street San Francisco, CA 94103 alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org
Henry Lifton, Deputy City Attorney SAN FRANCISCO 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Prop65@sfcityatty.org	Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org	


Christin Cho

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:


I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 201 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 600, Santa Monica, CA 90401. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Santa Monica, California.

On June 12, 2023 between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, I served the following documents: Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.; Certificate Of Merit; "The Safe Drinking Water And Toxic Enforcement Act Of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

CEO Steven Presley or Current CEO,
 President, or General Counsel
 Nestle USA, Inc.
 1812 N. Moore Street
 Arlington, VA 22209

On June 12, 2023, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time, I served the following documents: Notice of Violation, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et. seq.; Certificate of Merit on each party on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, in the mail at Santa Monica, California with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on June 12, 2023, in Santa Monica, California.


 Roma Bhojwani

Service List

Robert Priscaro, District Attorney ALPINE COUNTY P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120 Phone: (530) 694-2971 Fax: (530) 694-2980	Sarah Hacker, District Attorney KINGS COUNTY 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230 Phone: (559) 582-0326 Fax: (559) 584-9630	Jason Anderson, District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415 Phone: (909) 382-3800 Fax: (909) 382-7674
Todd Riebe, District Attorney AMADOR COUNTY 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642 Phone: (209) 223-6444 Fax: (209) 223-6304	Susan Kronen, District Attorney LAKE COUNTY 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 Phone: (707) 263-2251 Fax: (707) 263-2328	Stephen M. Wagstaffe, District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 Phone: (650) 363-4636 Fax: (650) 363-4873
Michael L. Ramsey, District Attorney BUTTE COUNTY 25 County Center Drive — Administration Building Oroville, CA 95965 Phone: (530) 538-7411 Fax: (530) 538-7071	Hydee Feldstein Soto, City Attorney LOS ANGELES 200 N Main St. #800 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 978-8100	Stephanie A. Bridgett, District Attorney SHASTA COUNTY 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001 Phone: (530) 245-6300 Fax: (530) 245-6334
Brenden Farrell, District Attorney COLUSA COUNTY 310 6th Street Colusa, CA 95932	George Gascon, District Attorney LOS ANGELES COUNTY 211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-3501 Fax: (213) 974-	Sandra Groven, District Attorney SIERRA COUNTY 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936 Phone: (530) 289-3269 Fax: (530) 289-

Phone: (530) 458-0545 Fax: (530) 458-0518	1484	2822
Katherine Micks, District Attorney DEL NORTE COUNTY 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531 Phone: (707) 464-7210 Fax: (707) 465-6609	Sally O. Moreno, District Attorney MADERA COUNTY 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637 Phone: (559) 675-7726 Fax: (559) 673-0430	James Kirk Andrus, District Attorney SISKIYOU COUNTY P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097 Phone: (530) 842-8125 Fax: (530) 842-8137
Vernon Pierson, District Attorney EL DORADO COUNTY 778 Pacific Street Placerville, CA 95667 Phone: (530) 621-6472 Fax: (530) 621-1280	Lori Frugoli, District Attorney MARIN COUNTY 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903 Phone: (415) 499-6450 Fax: (415) 499-6734	Krishna A. Abrams, District Attorney SOLANO COUNTY 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: (707) 784-6800 Fax: (707) 784-7986
Dwayne Stewart, District Attorney GLENN COUNTY P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988 Phone: (530) 934-6525 Fax: (530) 934-6529	C. David Eyster, District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY District Attorney P.O. Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482 Phone: (707) 463-4211 Fax: (707) 463-4687	Carla Rodriguez, District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Phone: (707) 565-2311 Fax: (707) 565-6187
Stacey Eads, District Attorney HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501 Phone: (707) 445-7411 Fax: (707) 445-7416	Cynthia Campbell, District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101 Phone: (530) 233-6212 Fax: (530) 233-4067	Jeff Laugero, District Attorney STANISLAUS COUNTY 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95353 Phone: (209) 525-5550 Fax: (209) 558-4027
George Marquez, District Attorney IMPERIAL COUNTY 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243 Phone: (442) 265-1175 Fax: (760) 352-4474	David Anderson, District Attorney MONO COUNTY P.O. Box 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 Phone: (760) 924-1710 Fax: (760) 924-1711	Jennifer Dupre, District Attorney SUTTER COUNTY 463 2nd Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991 Phone: (530) 822-7330 Fax: (530) 822-7337
Cynthia Zimmer, District Attorney KERN COUNTY 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 Phone: (661) 868-2340 Fax: (661) 868-2700	Todd Spitzer, District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 300 N. Flower Street Santa Ana, CA 92703 Phone: (714) 834-3600 Fax: (714) 834-5880	Matthew Rogers, District Attorney TEHAMA COUNTY P.O. Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080 Phone: (530) 527-3053 Fax: (530) 527-4735
David Brady, District Attorney TRINITY COUNTY P.O. Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093 Phone: (530) 623-1304 Fax: (530) 623-8346	Joel Buckingham, District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023 Phone: (831) 636-4120 Fax: (831) 636-4126	Clint Curry, District Attorney YUBA COUNTY 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901 Phone: (530) 749-7770 Fax: (530) 749-7363
Cassandra Jenecke, District Attorney TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 Phone: (209) 588-5450 Fax: (209) 588-5445		