60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH

Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65)

This notice amends the original notice of violation AG No. 2024-00313. This notice serves CEOs for all entities listed.

DATE: November 13, 2024

TO: Snow Food, LLC; Bumble Bee Foods, LLC; Bumble Bee Holdings Inc.; Bumble Bee Seafoods,

L.P.; FCF Co, Ltd.; Bumble Bee Seafood, Inc.

California Attorney General's Office

District Attorney's Office for All California Counties; and

City Attorneys for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Sacramento, and Los Angeles

FROM: Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC ("CRA") is an organization dedicated to protecting the public from environmental health hazards and toxic exposures. Acting in the public interest, CRA seeks to safeguard the health of Californians, protect the environment and consumer rights, and hold corporations accountable. CRA has uncovered violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), codified in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below.

CRA serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") on SNOW FOOD, LLC; BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC; BUMBLE BEE HOLDINGS INC.; BUMBLE BEE SEAFOODS, L.P.; FCF CO, LTD.; BUMBLE BEE SEAFOOD, INC. ("Alleged Violators"), and the appropriate public enforcement agencies pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. CRA intends to bring a citizen enforcement lawsuit in the public interest 60 days after the effective service of this Notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to redress these violations.

Product Exposure: See Sections VI Listed Chemical: Lead & Cadmium

Routes of Exposure: Ingestion and Dermal Absorption

Type of Harm: Birth Defect and other Reproductive Harm

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION – SUMMARY

A summary of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency designated under Proposition 65, is enclosed as Appendix A and served on the Alleged Violators. For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's ("OEHHA") Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

III. STATEMENT OF VIOLATIONS

The Exemplar Product leading to consumer exposure, in violation of Proposition 65, is listed in section VI ("Covered Product"), and the specific toxin or carcinogen is identified in section VI. Consumers have been exposed to the Listed Chemical without the required "clear and reasonable warning." Without these warnings, Californians cannot make informed decisions as to how to reduce or eliminate their exposure to the Listed Chemicals.

IV. NUMBER AND DURATION OF VIOLATIONS

Every sale of the Covered Product in California, whether in-store, online, or through catalogs, constitutes a violation in the absence of a clear and reasonable warning. These violations have occurred daily since at least January 23, 2023, and will continue until such warnings are provided before exposing California consumers to the Listed Chemicals. It should be noted that CRA may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

V. ROUTE OF EXPOSURE

The consumer exposures outlined in this Notice stem from the intended use of the product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is ingestion. Additional exposure occurs through dermal absorption.

VI. COVERED PRODUCT

The product identified below ("Exemplar Product") was recently purchased and observed as available for sale in California. Publicly available information regarding its retailers, distributors, importers, and/or manufacturers is provided. The Exemplar Product is intended to assist the Notice recipients in investigating the allegations made in this Notice. It is not an exhaustive list of all products within the category of the Covered Product but represents the class of products known as "SNOW'S CHOPPED CLAMS."

Exemplar Product	Carcinogens/Toxins	Alleged Violators
Snow's Chopped Clams UPC No.: 7-9852516100-8 3087SNXQ 1303	Lead & Cadmium	SNOW FOOD, LLC; BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC; BUMBLE BEE HOLDINGS INC; BUMBLE BEE SEAFOODS, L.P.; FCF CO, LTD. BUMBLE BEE SEAFOOD, INC.

VII. PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

This Notice also serves as a formal demand that the Alleged Violators preserve and maintain all relevant evidence, including electronic documents and data, until the resolution of this matter. Relevant evidence includes, but is not limited to, documents related to the storage, shipment, purchase, and sale of the Covered Product; communications regarding actual or potential exposures to the Listed Chemical, as well as efforts to comply with Proposition 65, from January 23, 2023, through the date of any trial on the claims alleged in this Notice.

VIII. DEMAND FOR SUPPLY CHAIN INFORMATION

This Notice also formally demands, under California Code of Regulations Title 27, Article 6, Section 25600.2(g), that the following information be provided to our office promptly: The full legal entity name and any known contact information for 1-Any and all manufacturers; 2-Any and all producers; 3-Any and all packagers; 4-Any and all direct vendors; 5-Any and all exporters; 6-Any and all shippers; 7-Any and all distributors; 8-Any and all sellers of the Covered Product.

IX. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations outlined in this Notice, CRA intends to bring a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the Alleged Violators unless the Alleged Violators agree, in a binding written instrument, to (1) either reformulate the Covered Product to eliminate exposure to the Listed Chemical, or if reformulation is not possible or feasible, to provide clear and reasonable warnings for the Listed Product sold or otherwise provided to California consumers in the future, and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty and costs based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b). Should the Alleged Violators wish to resolve this matter without engaging in costly and protracted litigation, please contact CRA through its counsel, identified below.

X. PARTIES CONTACT INFORMATION

The entity giving this Notice is Consumer Rights Advocates, LLC, with a principal address at 856 S. Robertson Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90035; (424) 355-8505. CRA identifies Faraz Adjnasian as a responsible individual within the entity and requests that all communications be directed to CRA's attorneys at Cornerstone Law Firm, PC.

Consistent with Proposition 65's public interest goals and to eliminate or reduce exposure to the Listed Chemical, and a desire to resolve these ongoing violations of California law, CRA is open to seeking a constructive and amicable resolution. If you have any questions or wish to discuss the above, please contact me at davarlaw.com and include clerks@davarlaw.com in the email.

Sincerely,

Davar Danialpour, Esq. Cornerstone Law Firm, PC

Attachments:

- Certificate of Merit:
- Certificate of Service;
- The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary;
- Confidential Information in Support of Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

- I, Davar Danialpour, hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings;
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party;
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the Listed Chemical that is the subject of this action;
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established, and the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute;
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including information identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2) (*i.e.*, (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons).

Dated: 11/13/2024

Davar Danialpour

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 357 S. Robertson Blvd. 2ND Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90211.

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary
- 3) Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office by Certified Mail:

Attn: Jerry Chou, CEO	Attn: Andrew Choe, CEO	Attn: Max Chou, CEO
Bumble Bee Foods, LLC	Bumble Bee Seafoods, L.P.	FCF Co., Ltd.
Bumble Bee Holdings Inc.	Bumble Bee Seafood, Inc.	The Bumble Bee Seafood Company
Snow Food, LLC	Bumble Bee Foods, LLC	28th Floor, No. 8, Minquan 2nd Road
280 Tenth Avenue	PO Box 85362	Qianzhen District,
San Diego, CA 92101	San Diego, CA 92186-5362	Kaohsiung City
Bumble Bee Foods, LLC	Bumble Bee Seafoods, L.P.	Taiwan (R.O.C.) 806616
c/o CT Corporation System STE 700	c/o National Registered Agents, Inc.	info@fcf.com.tw
330 N. Brand Blvd.	1209 Orange Street	+886 7 3391636
Glendale, CA 91203	Wilmington, DE 19801	

Additionally,

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary
- 3) Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)
- **4)** Supporting Factual Information Sufficient to establish the basis for Certificate of Merits on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website at: https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice

Office of the California Attorney General

Proposition 65 Enforcement 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Additionally,

ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW, I SERVE THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, ET SEQ.
- 2) Certificate of Merit pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)

on each of the parties on the "Distribution List" attached, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service office with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, and on each of the parties listed on the "Electronic Service" when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail.

Executed on 11/13/2024 in Beverly Hills, California.

Davar Danialpour

Distribution List

<u>Distribution List</u>					
Honorable Robert Priscaro	Honorable Susan J. Krones	Honorable Nora Frimann			
Alpine County District Attorney	Lake County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney's Office			
PO Box 248	255 N. Forbes Street	200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor			
Markleeville, CA 96120	Lakeport, CA 95453	San Jose, CA 95113			
Honorable Todd Riebe	Honorable George Gascon	Honorable Clint Curry			
Amador County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney			
708 Court Street, Suite 202	211 West Temple Street, Suite 1200	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152			
Jackson, CA 95642	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Marysville, CA 95901			
Honorable Michael L. Ramsey	Honorable Hydee Feldstein Soto	Honorable Stephanie A. Bridgett			
Butte County District Attorney	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	Shasta County District Attorney			
25 County Center Drive	200 N. Main Street Suite 800	1355 West Street			
Oroville, CA 95965	Los Angeles, CA 90012	Redding, CA 96001			
Honorable Brendan Farrell	Honorable Sally O. Moreno	Honorable Sandra Groven			
Colusa County District Attorney 346 Fifth Street Suite 101	Madera County District Attorney 300 S. G Street, Suite 300	Sierra County District Attorney PO Box 457			
Colusa, CA 95932	Madera, CA 93637	Downieville, CA 95936			
Honorable Katherine Nell Micks	Honorable Cassandra Jenecke	Honorable Kirk Andrus			
Del Norte County District Attorney	Tuolumne County District Attorney	Siskiyou County District Attorney			
450 H Street, Suite 171	423 N. Washington Street	PO Box 986			
Crescent City, CA 95531	Sonora, CA 95370	Yreka, CA 96097			
Honorable David M. Brady	Honorable David C. Eyster	Honorable Krishna A. Abrams			
Trinity County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney			
PO Box 310	PO Box 1000	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500			
Weaverville, CA 96093	Ukiah, CA 95482	Fairfield, CA 94533			
Honorable Dwayne R. Stewart	Honorable Cynthia Louise Campbell	Honorable Jeff Laugero			
Glenn County District Attorney	Modoc County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney			
PO Box 430	204 S. Court Street, Suite 202	832 12 th Street. Suite 300			
Willows, CA 95988	Alturas, CA 96101	Modesto, CA 95354			
Honorable Stacey Eads	Honorable Jason Anderson	Honorable Jennifer Dupre-Tokos			
Humboldt County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney			
825 5 th Street 4 th Floor	303 West Third Street	463 Second Street			
Eureka, CA 95501	San Bernardino, CA 92415	Yuba City, CA 95991			
Honorable George Marques	Honorable Tim Kendall	Honorable Matt Rogers			
Imperial County District Attorney	Mono County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney			
940 West Main Street Suite 102	PO Box 617	PO Box 519			
El Centro, CA 92243	Bridgeport, CA 93517	Red Bluff, CA 96080			
Honorable Cynthia Zimmer	Honorable Todd Spitzer	Honorable Farimah Faiz Brown			
Kern County District Attorney	Orange County District Attorney	Berkeley City Attorney's Office			
1215 Truxtun Avenue, 4th Floor	PO Box 808	2180 Milvia St, 4th Floor			
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Berkely, CA 94704			
Honorable Eric Gonzalez	Honorable Joel Buckingham	Honorable Barbara J. Parker			
Kings County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney	Oakland City Attorney's Office			
1400 West Lacey Boulevard, Building # 4	419 Fourth Street, 2 nd Floor	1 Frank Ogawa Plaza 6th FL			
Hanford, CA 93230	Hollister, CA 95023	Oakland, CA 94612			
Honorable Pamela Y. Price	Honorable Allison Haley	Honorable Eric J. Dobroth			
Alameda County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo Deputy District Attorney			
CEPDProp65@acgov.org	CEPD@countyofnapa.org	edobroth@co.slo.ca.us			
Honorable Barbara Yook	Honorable Clifford H. Newell	Honorable Christopher Dalbey			
Calaveras County District Attorney	Nevada District Attorney	Santa Barbara Deputy District Attorney			
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us			
Honorable Stacey Grassini	Honorable Morgan Briggs Gire	Honorable Nora V. Frimann			
Contra Costa Deputy District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney	Santa Clara City Attorney			
sgrassini@contracostada.org	Prop65@placer.ca.gov	Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov			
Honorable James Clinchard	Honorable David Hollister	Honorable Bud Porter			
El Dorado District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Santa Clara Supervising Deputy District Attorney			
EDCDAPROP65@edcda.us	davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	EPU@da.sccgov.org			
Honorable Lisa A. Smittcamp	Honorable Paul E. Zellerbach	Honorable Jeffrey S. Rosell			
Fresno District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney			
consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	Prop65@rivcoda.org	Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us			
Honorable Thomas L. Hardy	Honorable Anne Marie Schubert	Honorable Jill Ravitch			
Inyo County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	Sonoma District Attorney			
inyoda@inyocounty.us	Prop65@sacda.org	Jeannie.Barnes@sonoma-county.org			
Honorable Devin Chandler	Honorable Summer Stephan	Honorable Phillip J. Cline			
Lassen County Program Coordinator	San Diego County District Attorney	Tulare District Attorney			
DChandler@co.lassen.ca.us	SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org	Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us			
Honorable Lori E. Frugoli	Honorable Mark Ankcorn	Honorable Gregory D. Totten			
Marin County District Attorney	San Diego Deputy City Attorney	Ventura County District Attorney			
consumer@marincounty.org	CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	daspecialops@ventura.org			
Honorable Walter W. Wall	Honorable Henry Lifton San Francisco Deputy City Attorney	Honorable Jeff W. Reising			
Mariposa County District Attorney		Yolo County District Attorney			
mcda@mariposacounty.org	Prop65@sfcityatty.org	cfepd@yolocounty.org			
Honorable Kimberly Lewis	Honorable Alexandra Grayner	Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe			
Merced County District Attorney	San Francisco Assistant District Attorney	San Mateo District Attorney			
Prop65@countyofmerced.com Honorable Jeannine M. Pacioni	alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org Honorable Tori Verber Salazar	Prop65@smcgov.org			
Monterey County District Attorney	San Joaquin District Attorney				
Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org				
		•			

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html

Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001. These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65 list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations

(http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employe a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 et seq. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getMADLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food.
Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods
(i.e., that do not result from any known human activity,
including activity by someone other than the person causing
the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of
the law. If the chemical is a contaminant it must be reduced to
the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this
exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS:

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.



