CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM, PC

12100 WILSHIRE BLVD, SUITE 800 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025 (310)200-3227

60-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE

for violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986

December 12, 2024

Ewa Abrams, CEO	Susan Chokachi, CEO	
Kering Americas, Inc.	Gucci America, Inc.	
c/o C T Corporation System	c/o C T Corporation System	
28 Liberty Street	195 Broadway	
New York, NY 10005	New York, NY 10007	
Susan Chokachi, CEO Gucci America, Inc. c/o C T Corporation System 330 N Brand Blvd Glendale, CA 91203	Erik Nordstrom, CEO Nordstrom Inc. c/o CSC - Lawyers Incorporating Service 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N Sacramento, CA 95833	

Re: NOTICE OF VIOLATION AGAINST GUCCI AMERICA, INC., KERING AMERICAS, INC., NORDSTROM, INC. OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.6

To Whom It May Concern and to Public Prosecutors:

We represent Initiative for Safer Cosmetics ("IFSC"), an organization in the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. This letter serves as notice that the parties listed above are in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violations alleged by this notice consist of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical Diethanolamine ("DEA"). This chemical was listed as a carcinogen on December 12, 2012.

The specific type of product that is causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 is mascara, including but not limited to:

Product Name	Violative Chemical	Violators
GUCCI L'Obscur Lengthening	Diethanolamine	Nordstrom, Inc.
Mascara (Eve Black)	(DEA)	Gucci America, Inc.
UPC: 3614229475463		Kering Americas, Inc.

The routes of exposure for the violations include dermal absorption by consumers. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use of the product. The sales of this product have been occurring since at least May 23, 2024, are continuing to this day and will continue to occur as long as the product subject to this notice is sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning is provided with these products regarding the exposures to DEA caused by ordinary use of the product. The Parties are in violation of Proposition

65 by failing to provide such warning to consumers and as a result of the sales of this product, exposures to DEA have been occurring without proper warnings.

Pursuant to Proposition 65, notice and intent to sue shall be provided to violators 60 days before filing a complaint. This letter provides notice of the alleged violation to the parties listed above and the appropriate governmental authorities. A summary of Proposition 65 is attached.

IFSC identifies Dekee Yangzom as a responsible individual within the entity; 2934 1/2 Beverly Glen Blvd., Suite 46, Los Angeles, CA 90077; 310-892-5658. Ms. Yangzom requests all communications be directed to IFSC's attorneys.

Please direct all communication regarding this notice to IFSC's attorney, Elham Shabatian (ellie@cliffwoodlaw.com), Cliffwood Law Firm PC, 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90025, 310-200-3227.

Sincerely,

Elham Shabatian CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM, PC Cc: see attached distribution list

Attachments:

- 1. Certificate of Merit;
- 2. Certificate of Service;
- 3. Appendix "A" "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary" (to the Noticed Parties only);
- 4. Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy); Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit (only sent to Attorney General)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

Re: Initiative for Safer Cosmetics' (IFSC) Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Gucci America, Inc., Kering Americas, Inc., Nordstrom, Inc.

I, Elham Shabatian, attorney at law, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am the attorney for the Noticing Party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, and/or other data regarding the alleged exposures to the listed chemical that is the cause of action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 12, 2024

Elham Shabatian

By

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Elham Shabatian, am over the age of 18 and am not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 12100 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90025

On December 12, 2024, I served the following documents:

- 1. 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health and Safety Code section 25249.6
- 2. Certificate of Merit; Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)
- 3. Certificate of Merit (Attorney General Copy); Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the certificate of merit *(only sent to Attorney General)*

4. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary on the alleged violator (s) listed below via First Class Mail through the United States Postal Service by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, addressed to the entity listed below and providing such envelope to a United States Postal Service Representative:

Erik Nordstrom, CEO	Ewa Abrams, CEO	Susan Chokachi, CEO	Susan Chokachi, CEO
Nordstrom Inc.	Kering Americas, Inc.	Gucci America, Inc.	Gucci America, Inc.
c/o CSC - Lawyers	c/o C T Corporation System	c/o C T Corporation System	c/o C T Corporation System
Incorporating Service	28 Liberty Street	330 N Brand Blvd	195 Broadway
2710 Gateway Oaks Drive,	New York, NY 10005	Glendale, CA 91203	New York, NY 10007
Suite 150N			
Sacramento, CA 95833			

as well as by filing electronically a true and correct copy thereof as permitted through the website of the California Office of the Attorney General via link at oag.ca.gov/prop65:

State of California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General of California Filing link: oag.ca.gov/prop65

Copies of the notice were provided to the public enforcers by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the District Attorney and City Attorney offices the parties listed on the attached Distribution List. The District Attorney and City Attorney offices that have requested electronic service only were served electronically via the email addresses listed on the Distribution List.

I declare under penalty of perjury that under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature

Elham Shabatian

December 12, 2024

CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM, PC 12100 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 800 ◇ Los Angeles, CA 90025 ◇ ellie@cliffwoodlaw.com

DISTRIBUTION LIST

District Attorney Alpine County	District Attorney Lake County	District Attorney Sierra County			
PO Box 248	255 North Forbes Street	PO Box 457			
Markleeville, CA 96120	Lakeport, CA 95453	Downieville, CA 95936			
District Attorney Amador County	District Attorney Los Angeles County	District Attorney's Office Siskiyou			
708 Court Street, Suite 202	Hall of Justice 211 W. Temple St. Ste 1200	County Courthouse			
Jackson, CA 95642	Los Angeles, CA 90012	311 Fourth Street, Room 204			
		Yreka, CA 96097			
District Attorney Butte County	District Attorney Madera County	District Attorney Solano County			
25 County Center Drive, Suite 245	209 West Yosemite Avenue	675 Texas Street, Ste 4500			
Oroville, CA 95965	Madera, CA 93637	Fairfield, CA 94533			
District Attorney Colusa County	District Attorney Marin County	District Attorney Stanislaus County			
310 6th Street	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	832 12th Street, Ste 300			
Colusa, CA 95932	San Rafael, CA 94903	Modesto, CA 95354			
District Attorney Del Norte County	District Attorney Mendocino County	District Attorney Sutter County			
450 H Street, Suite 171	PO Box 1000	446 Second Street			
Crescent City, CA 95531	Ukiah, CA 95482	Yuba City, CA 95991			
District Attorney EL Dorado County	District Attorney Modoc County	District Attorney Tehama County			
778 Pacific Street	204 S Court Street, Room 202	PO Box 519			
Placerville, CA 95667	Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Red Bluff, CA 96080			
District Attorney Mono County	District Attorney Orange County	District Attorney Trinity County			
Post Office Box 617	300 N Flower St.	Post Office Box 310			
Bridgeport, CA 93517	Santa Ana, CA 92703	Weaverville, CA 96093			
District Attorney Glenn County	District Attorney San Benito County	District Attorney Tuolumne County			
Post Office Box 430	419 4th Street	423 North Washington St.			
Willows, CA 95988	Hollister, CA 95023	Sonora, CA 95370			
District Attorney Humboldt County	District Attorney San Bernardino County	District Attorney Yuba County			
825 5th Street 4th Floor	316 No. Mountain View Avenue	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152			
Eureka, CA 95501	San Bernardino, CA 92415	Marysville, CA 95901			
District Attorney Imperial County	District Attorney San Mateo County	Los Angeles City Attorney's Office			
940 West Main Street, Suite 102	400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor	City Hall East 200 N. Main St., Suite 800			
El Centro, CA 92243	Redwood City, CA 94063	Los Angeles, CA 90012			
District Attorney Kern County	District Attorney Shasta County	District Attorney Kings County			
1215 Truxtun Avenue	1355 West Street	1400 West Lacey Blvd.			
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Redding, CA 96001	Hanford, CA 93230			
Alameda County District Attorney	Calaveras County District Attorney	Contra Costa County District Attorney			
CEPDProp65@acgov.org	Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	sgrassini@contracostada.org			
Inyo County District Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney			
inyoda@inyocounty.us	mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us	mcda@mariposacounty.org			
Merced County District Attorney	Monterey County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney			
Prop65@countyofmerced.com	Pro65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	CEPD@countyofnapa.org			
Nevada County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney			
DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	Prop65@placer.ca.gov	davidhollister@countyofplumas.com			
Riverside County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	San Diego City Attorney			
Prop65@rivcoda.org	Prop65@sacda.org	CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov			
San Diego County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney			
SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org	alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org			
San Joaquin County District Attorney DA	San Luis Obispo County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District Attorney			
DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org	edobroth@co.slo.ca.us	DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us			
Santa Clara County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney			
EPU@da.sccgov.org	Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us	jbarnes@sonoma-county.org			
Tulare County District Attorney	Ventura County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney			
Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us	daspecialops@veutura.org	cfepd@yolocounty.org			
San Jose City Attorney's Office	District Attorney Fresno	District Attorney of Roseville			
proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov	consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	pwp65@place.ca.gov			
propositionosnotices@sanjoseca.gov	consumer protection an eshocounty ca.gov	huborehiace.ca.gov			

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <u>http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html</u>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <u>http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html</u>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM, PC 12100 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 800 ◇ Los Angeles, CA 90025 ◇ ellie@cliffwoodlaw.com Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html</u>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html</u> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq*. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html</u> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq*. of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: <u>http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html</u>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at <u>P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov</u>.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.