

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Tel: 619-629-0527 noam@entornolaw.com jake@entornolaw.com craig@entornolaw.com janani@entornolaw.com 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

January 10, 2025

Via Certified Mail

The Hemp Doctor LLC
c/o Cynthia Shade
118 Ewart Place
Moorseville, NC 28117

Re: Proposition 65 Notice of Violation

To Whom It May Concern:

We represent Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., an organization in the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. This letter serves as notice that the parties listed above are in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violations alleged by this notice consist of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol (also known as "Delta-9-Tetrahydrocannabinol or "THC"). THC was listed as a developmental and reproductive toxin on January 3, 2020.

The type of products causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are THC-infused brownies, including but not limited to:

	Product Name	Manufacturer/Supplier	Distributor/Retailer
1.	Kayo d9-THC	The Hemp Doctor LLC	The Hemp Doctor LLC
	Brownie		

The Hemp Doctor sells THC-infused brownies online [via its website https://thehempdoctor.com/] to consumers in California without first providing clear and reasonable warnings – as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations – either on the product packaging itself or via online-warning prior to purchase, each of which is independently required under the Act.

The routes of exposure for the violations are inhalation or consumption by consumers. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use of the THC-infused products sold on The Hemp Doctor's website [https://thehempdoctor.com/] without adequate warning. The sales of these products have been occurring since at least December 2024, are continuing to this day and will continue to occur as long as the products subject to this notice are sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning is provided with these products regarding the exposures to THC caused by ordinary and reasonably foreseeable use of the products. The aforementioned parties are in violation of Proposition 65 by failing to provide such warnings to consumers and as a result of the sales of these products, exposures THC have been occurring without proper warnings.

Pursuant to Proposition 65, notice and intent to sue shall be provided to violators 60days before filing a complaint. This letter provides notice of the alleged violation to the parties listed above and the appropriate governmental authorities. A summary of Proposition 65 is attached.

EHA identifies Allan Cate as a responsible individual within the entity, 888 Prospect Street, Suite 200, La Jolla, CA 92037; 858-692-1035. Mr. Cate requests all communications be sent to EHA's attorneys.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss any of the above, please contact me at jake@entornolaw.com and include clerks@entornolaw.com in the email.

Sincerely,

ENTORNO LAW LLP

Jak Jutte

Jake Schulte

Noam Glick Craig Nicholas Janani Natarajan

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, Jake Schulte, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.

2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.

3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.

4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 10, 2025

Jak Southe

Jake Schulte, Attorney at Law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mia Conti, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, where the mailing occurs; and my businessaddress is 225 Broadway, 19th Floor, San Diego, California 92101.

On January 10, 2025, I served the following documents: (1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d); (2) **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and (4) CERTIFICATE OF** MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General) on the parties listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each party and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Certified Mail with the postage thereon fully prepaid::

	<u>Via Certified Mail</u>
The Hemp Doctor LLC	
c/o Cynthia Shade	
118 Ewart Place	
Moorseville, NC 28117	

On January 10, 2025, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a trueand correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On January 10, 2025, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized e-mail service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's web site.

See Attached Service List

On January 10, 2025, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing it at my business address with the U.S.Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 10, 2025, at San Diego, California.

Mia Conti

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: <u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html</u>.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

E-Mail Service List

The Honorable Pamela Price	The Honorable Barbara Yook	The Honorable Stacey Grassini	
Alameda County, District Attorney 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650	Calaveras County, 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249	Contra Costa County, Deputy District Attorney 900 Ward Street	
Oakland, CA 94621	Phone: 209-754-6330	Martinez, CA 94553	
CEPDProp65@acgov.org	Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	sgrassini@contracostada.org	
The Honorable James Clinchard	The Honorable Lisa A. Smittcamp,	The Honorable Thomas L. Hardy	
El Dorado County, Assistant District Attorney	Fresno County, District Attorney	Inyo County, District Attorney	
778 Pacific Street	2100 Tulare Street	168 North Edwards Street	
Placerville, CA 95667	Fresno, CA 93721	Independence, CA 93526	
EDCDAPROP65@edcda.us	Phone: (559) 600-3141	Phone: 760.878.0282	
č	consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	inyoda@inyocounty.us	
The Honorable Michelle Latimer	The Honorable Lori Frugoli	The Honorable Walter W. Wall,	
Lassen County, Program Coordinator	Marin County, District Attorney	Mariposa County, District Attorney	
220 S. Lassen Street	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 145	P.O. Box 730	
Susanville, CA 96130	San Rafael, CA 94903	Mariposa, CA 95338	
Phone: 530-251-8284	consumer@marincounty.gov	Phone: (209) 966-3626	
mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us		mcda@mariposacounty.org	
The Honorable Kimberly Lewis,	The Honorable Jeannine M. Pacioni,	The Honorable Allison Haley	
Merced County, District Attorney	Monterey County, District Attorney	Napa County, District Attorney	
550 West Main Street	1200 Aguajito Road	1127 First Street, Suite C	
Merced, CA 95340	Monterey, CA 93940	Napa , CA 94559	
Phone: (209) 385-7381	Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	CEPD@countyofnapa.org	
Prop65@countyofmerced.com			
The Honorable Clifford H. Newell	The Honorable Morgan Briggs Gire	The Honorabble David Hollister	
Nevada County, District Attorney	Placer County, District Attorney	Plumas County, District Attorney	
201 Commercial Street	10810 Justice Center Drive	520 Main St.	
Nevada City, CA 95959	Roseville, CA 95678	Quincy, CA 95971	
DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us	Phone: 916-543-8000	Phone: (530) 283-6303	
	prop65@placer.ca.gov	davidhollister@countyofplumas.com	
The Honorable Paul E. Zellerbach	The Honorable Anne Marie Schubert	The Honorable Summer Stephan	
Riverside County, District Attorney	Sacramento County, District Attorney	San Diego County, District Attorney	
3072 Orange Street	901 G Street	330 West Broadway	
Riverside, CA 92501	Sacramento, CA 95814	San Diego, CA 92101	
Prop65@rivcoda.org	Prop65@sacda.org	SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org	
The Honorable Alexander Grayner	The Honorable Tori Verber Salazar	The Honorable Eric J. Dobroth	
San Francisco County, Asst. District Attorney	San Joaquin County, District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County, Deputy District Attorney	
350 Rhode Island Street	222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202	County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor	
San Francisco, CA 94103	Stockton, CA 95202	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	
alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org	DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org	Phone: 805-781-5800	
		edobroth@co.slo.ca.us	
The Honorable Christopher Dalbey	The Honorable Bud Porter	The Honorable Jeffrey S. Rosell	
Santa Barbara County, Deputy District Attorney	Santa Clara County, Supervising Deputy District	Santa Cruz County, District Attorney	
1112 Santa Barbara St.	Attorney 70 W	701 Ocean Street	
Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	
Phone: 805-568-2300	EPU@da.sccgov.org	Phone: 831-454-2400	
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us		Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us	
The Honorable Jill Ravitch	The Honorable Phillip J. Cline	The Honorable Gregory D. Totten	
Sonoma County, District Attorney	Tulare County, District Attorney	Ventura County, District Attorney	
600 Administration Drive	221 S Mooney Blvd	800 S Victoria Ave	
Santa Rosa, CA 95403 ECLD@sonoma-	Visalia, CA 95370	Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org	
county.org	Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us		
The Honorable Jeff W. Resig	The Honorable Mark Ankcorn	The Honorable Henry Lifton	
Yolo County, District Attorney	City of San Diego, Deputy City Attorney 1200 Third	City of San Francisco, Deputy City Attorney	
	Avenue	1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102	
301 Second Street	S Dises CA 02101	I Sup Eropoisco I A 9/1117	
Woodland, CA 95695	San Diego, CA 92101		
	San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	Prop65@sfcityatty.org	
Woodland, CA 95695			
Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.gov			
Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.gov The Honorable Nora V. Frimann			
Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.gov The Honorable Nora V. Frimann City of Santa Clara, City Attorney			

MAIL SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Robert Priscaro	The Honorable Todd Riebe	The Honorable Michael L. Ramsey
Alpine County, District Attorney	Amador County, District Attorney	Butte County, District Attorney
P.O. Box 248	708 Court Street, #202	25 County Center Drive - Administrative Building
Markleeville, CA 96120	Jackson, CA 95642	Oroville, CA 95965
The Honorable Brenden Farrell	The Honorable Katherine Micks	The Honorable Dwayne Stewart Glenn
Colusa County, District Attorney	Del Norte County, District Attorney	County, District Attorney
310 6 th Street	450 H Street, Room 171	P.O. Box 430
Colusa, CA 95932	Crescent City, CA 95531	Willows, CA 95988
The Honorable Stacey Eads Humboldt	The Honorable George Marquez	The Honorable Cynthia Zimmer
County, District Attorney	Imperial County, District Attorney	Kern County, District Attorney
825 5th Street	940 West Main Street, Suite 102	1215 Truxtun Avenue
Eureka, CA 95501	El Centro, CA 92243	Bakersfield, CA 93301
The Honorable Sarah Hacker	The Honorable Susan Krones	The Honorable George Gascon
Kings County, District Attorney	Lake County, District Attorney	Los Angeles County, District Attorney
1400 West Lacey Blvd.	255 N. Forbes Street	211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200
Hanford, CA 93230	Lakeport, CA 95453	Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Sally O. Moreno,	The Honorable C. David Eyster	The Honorable Cynthia Campbell
District Attorney	Mendocino County, District Attorney	Modoc County, District Attorney
300 South G Street, Suite 300	P.O. Box 1000	204 S. Court Street, Room 202
Madera, CA 93637	Ukiah, CA 95482	Alturas, CA 96101
The Honorable David Anderson	The Honorable Todd Spitzer	The Honorable Joel Buckingham
Mono County, District Attorney	Orange County, District Attorney	San Benito County, District Attorney
P.O. Box 2053	300 N. Flower Street	419 4th Street
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546	Santa Ana, CA 92703	Hollister, CA 95023
The Honorable Jason Anderson	The Honorable Stephen M. Wagstaffe	The Honorable Stephanie A. Bridgett
San Bernardino County, District Attorney	San Mateo County, District Attorney	Shasta County, District Attorney
303 W. Third Street	400 County Center, Third Floor	1355 West Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415	Redwood City, CA 94063	Redding, CA 96001
The Honorable Sandra Groven	The Honorable James Kirk Andrus	The Honorable Krishna A. Abrams
Sierra County, District Attorney	Siskiyou County, District Attorney	Solano County, District Attorney
100 Courthouse Square	P.O. Box 986	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500
Downieville, CA 95936	Yreka, CA 96097	Fairfield, CA 94533
The Honorable Jeff Laugero	The Honorable Jennifer Dupre	The Honorable Matthew Rogers
Stanislaus County, District Attorney	Sutter County, District Attorney	Tehama County, District Attorney
832 12th Street, Suite 300	463 2nd Street, Suite 102	P.O. Box 519
Modesto, CA 95353	Yuba City, CA 95991	Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable David Brady	The Honorable Cassandra Jenecke	The Honorable Clint Curry
Trinity County, District Attorney	Tuolumne County, District Attorney	Yuba County, District Attorney
P.O. Box 310	2 S. Green St.	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Weaverville, CA 96093	Sonora, CA 95370	Marysville, CA 95901
The Honorable Mike Feuer City of Los Angeles, City Attorney 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012		